



## Toxic properties of certain botanical extracts against three major stored product pests

Pala Rajasekharreddy and Pathipati Usha Rani

### ABSTRACT

Acetonic extracts of melon pumpkin, *Cucurbita maxima* (Duchesne), Sweet Orange, *Citrus sinensis* (L.) and Sour Orange, *Citrus aurantium* (L.), were evaluated for insecticidal activity against adults of three stored product pests viz., *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.), *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst), by filter paper diffusion method (direct contact application) and fumigation methods. The insect responses towards the treatment depended on the type of plant, the time of exposure and also the mode of application. In a test with the filter paper diffusion method (contact application), *C. maxima* leaf extract showed 100% mortality to *S. oryzae* and *R. dominica* within three days after treatment (DAT) at the rate of 8.5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>, whereas, only 65% mortality was observed against *T. castaneum* at this dosage. The application of the crude plant extracts, *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantium* in the same concentrations, caused 89 % and 76% mortality to *S. oryzae* and *R. dominica* respectively 72 hrs post treatment. Both the extracts produced comparatively less toxicity to *T. castaneum* and *C. sinensis* within the same duration of time. Among the 3 insects tested *T. castaneum* was the most tolerant having least mortality against all the phytochemicals. The plant compounds lack volatile toxic chemicals as indicated by the absence of significant mortality in fumigation bioassays.

**Key words :** *Cucurbita maxima*, *Citrus sinensis*, *Citrus aurantium*, toxicity, *Sitophilus oryzae*, *Rhyzopertha dominica*, *Tribolium castaneum*, stored product.

### INTRODUCTION

Stored-product insects can cause post harvest losses, estimated from 9% in developed countries to 20% or more in developing countries (Phillips and Throne, 2010). The rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.), Lesser Grain Borer, *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius) and red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst), are major pests of stored grain products in the tropics. At first the control of insect pests in storage is largely based on synthetic insecticides and fumigants (pirimiphos methyl and phosphine) which have led to the development of insecticide resistant strains, increasing cost of application, lethal effects on non-target organisms in addition to direct toxicity to users (Champman and Dyte, 1976; Ignatowicz and Wesolowska, 1994; Best and Ruthven, 1995). Also their adverse environmental effects and the need to maintain a sustainable environment have created the need for environmental-safe, degradable and target specific insecticides. Because of this much effort has been focused on plants or their constituents as potential sources of commercial insect control agents (Han *et al.*, 2006). Considerable efforts have been focused on plant-derived materials, potentially useful as commercial insecticides.

The uses of plant materials in pest control become an important alternative to the use of synthetic insecticides (Aranson *et al.*, 1989). Plants are a rich source of the chemical compounds with various medicinal and insecticidal properties (Aranson *et al.*, 1989). The insecticidal activity of many plant derivatives against several stored product pests has been demonstrated (Golob *et al.*, 1999, Weaver and Subramanyam, 2000; Usha Rani and Udaya Lakshmi, 2007). Many plant chemicals have larvicidal, pupicidal and adulticidal activities, most being repellants, ovipositional deterrents and antifeedants against both agricultural pests and medically important insect species. Some of the Citrus species have been reported as a source of botanical insecticides: as a variety of these plants contain secondary metabolites that show insecticidal activity against several coleopteran and dipteran (Su *et al.*, 1972; Abbassy *et al.*, 1979; Greany *et al.*, 1983; Sheppard, 1984; Salvatore *et al.*, 2004; Shrivastava *et al.*, 2010) and lepidopteran species (Sahayaraj, 1998). Limonoids, extremely bitter chemicals present in citrus seeds, act as antifeedants or antagonize ecdysone action in many lepidopteran species (Klocke and Kubo, 1982, Jayaprakaha *et al.*, 1997).

The present study was undertaken to assess the potential of plant extracts for their insectistatic potential against various stored product pests. In this paper we report the results obtained on biological evaluation of *Cucurbita maxima*, *Citrus sinensis* and *Citrus aurantium* in the form of foliar and peel extracts. The aim in selecting this plants is to identify the plants effective as natural pest control agents that can be grown easily in the farmers residential or cropping area, so that the pesticides of natural origin that are economically viable and environmentally safe are easily available for the users.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Insects

The cultures of rice weevil *Sitophilus oryzae*, the adzuki bean weevil *Rhyzopertha dominica* and the red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum*, were maintained in the laboratory without exposure to any insecticide. *S. oryzae*, *T. castaneum* and *R. dominica* were reared on rice grain, at  $28 \pm 2$  °C, 55–65% RH, and a 16:8 light: dark photoperiod.

### Plant materials and their preparations

The three plants melon pumpkin, *Cucurbita maxima* (DUCH.) (Cucurbitaceae), sweet orange, *Citrus sinensis* (L.), and sour Orange, *Citrus aurantium* (L.), (Rutaceae) were chosen for the experiments and were grown in the fields of Acharya N. G. Ranga agricultural University at Hyderabad, India. The leaf of *C. maxima* (500 g) and the fruit peels of *C. sinensis* (650 g) and *C. aurantium* (600 g) were shade dried and coarsely powdered using an auto mixer. The materials were then extracted twice with 1000 ml acetone at room temperature for 3 days and filtered (Whatman No. 1). The filtrate was concentrated to dryness by rotary evaporation at 55°C. The yield of each acetone extraction was *C. maxima* 26.5 g, *C. sinensis* 32.3 g and *C. aurantium* 18.1 g.

### Bioassay

The insecticidal activities of the *C. maxima*, *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantium* against test insects were determined by direct contact application. The crude extracts at different concentrations of 100, 90, 80, 70 and 60 mg in 200  $\mu$ l acetone was applied on to filter papers (Whatman (No.1) 4.25 cm diameter), which gave a dosage of 8.5, 7.65, 6.80, 5.95 and 5.10 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> respectively. Whereas the control category received 200  $\mu$ l acetone without any plant products. After drying under a fume hood for 3 min each filter paper was placed in the bottom of a petridish (5 cm diameter  $\times$  1 cm) and then ten numbers of 2-5 d old, adults of *S. oryzae*, *R. dominica* and *T. castaneum* were released into the petridish and exposed to treatments. Results on

mortality were noted after every 24 hrs of treatment. In a similar experiment, the filter papers treated with acetone alone served as controls. Less than 5% of test insects were in contact with the wall or lid of a petridish at a given time. All the experiments were carried at room temperature  $28 \pm 2$  °C, 55 - 65% RH, and photoperiod of 16:8 light dark.

In a separate experiment, the responses of *S. oryzae*, *R. dominica* and *T. castaneum*, to the fumigant action of the crude extracts were investigated according to the method of Kim and Ahn (2001). Filter paper discs (4.7cm dia) treated with a concentration of 8.5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> of the compounds, was placed at the bottom of a polyethylene cup (4.8 cm diameter  $\times$  8.6 cm), and a diet cup containing adults was put into the polyethylene cup. This prevented direct contact of the test adults with the test compound. Groups of 10 adults were placed in diet cups (3.8 cm dia  $\times$  4 cm) covered with a 60-mesh cloth. Each polyethylene cup was then sealed with a lid. The insects were exposed for 3 days. Mortality counts were made every 24hrs. All treatments were replicated ten times.

### Statistical analysis

The percentage mortality was determined and transformed to arcsine square - root values for analysis of variance (ANOVA). Treatment means were compared and separated by Scheffe's test at  $P = 0.05$ .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The acetonic extracts of *C. maxima* and the peel extracts of *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantium* that produced varied levels of toxic properties to the pest species were examined. In the contact application method, the mortality rates depended on the type of plant extract, exposure time and the dosage. At a dose of 8.5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> *C. maxima* extract showed 100% mortality against *S. oryzae* and *R. dominica*, whereas it was only  $68 \pm 1.5\%$  in the case of *T. castaneum* 3 DAT (Table 1). The peel extracts of *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantium* were somewhat similar in action and produced nearly the same percentage of mortality i.e. 89% and 76% to *S. oryzae* and *R. dominica* at 8.5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> and 3 DAT. *T. castaneum* is slightly tolerant to most of the treatments as evident from the percentage mortality obtained with *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantium* (Table 1). However, in control category no toxicity was observed. Entirely different results were obtained with the fumigation treatments of the plant crude extracts. The toxicity of the compounds is not significant to all the test insects (Table 2). It appears that the volatile toxicants are not present in significant quantities in all the 3 plant extracts. It is somewhat surprising, as though the plants selected have strong odour, they failed to show any effect on the pest toxicity.

**Table 1.** Insecticidal activity of *C. maxima*, *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantium* extracts against 3 major stored product pests in contact mode of application 3DAT<sup>a</sup>

Plants	Dose (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	<i>S.oryzae</i>	<i>R.dominica</i>	<i>T.castaneum</i>
<i>C. maxima</i>	5.10	0 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>
	5.95	27.8 ± 3.3	37.8 ± 3.3	14.4 ± 2.9
	6.80	69 ± 3	80 ± 3.6	40.2 ± 3.9
	7.65	80.4 ± 3.1	82.8 ± 2.3	46.8 ± 3.0
	8.5	100	100	68 ± 1.5
<i>C. sinensis</i>	5.10	0 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>
	5.95	0 <sup>a</sup>	5.0 ± 0.3	0 <sup>a</sup>
	6.80	20 ± 2.8	35.6 ± 2.8	11.6 ± 1.2
	8.5	89 ± 2.0	75.6 ± 1.7	67.6 ± 2.7
<i>C.aurantium</i>	5.10	0 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>a</sup>
	5.95	10.4 ± 1.9	14 ± 1.4	0 <sup>a</sup>
	6.80	29 ± 2.3	34 ± 1.5	4.0 ± 0.3
	7.65	61.4 ± 1.9	67 ± 2.6	20.6 ± 0.7
	8.5	88.2 ± 2.0	75.2 ± 1.6	49.4 ± 1.4

<sup>a</sup>Each datum represents the mean of five replicates, each set up with 10 adults (n = 50); <sup>b</sup>Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at *P* = 0.05 (Scheffe's test).

We conclude that only *C. maxima* crude extract is highly effective against the stored product insects used in the investigations which caused 100% mortality to *S. oryzae* and *R. dominica*. Previously, *C. maxima* seeds were shown to have diversified biological functions, such as insecticidal properties against certain agricultural pests (Zhou *et al.*, 2000). Larvicidal, ovicidal and repellent activities of the leaf extract of *C. maxima* plants against *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Say) (Mullai and Jebanesan, 2007) and also for organic farming (Shrivastava

**Table 2.** Insecticidal activity of *C. maxima*, *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantium* extracts against three major stored product pests in contact mode of application 3DAT<sup>a</sup>

Plants	Dose (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	<i>S.oryzae</i>	<i>R.dominica</i>	<i>T.castaneum</i>
<i>C. maxima</i>	8.5	18.3 ± 03	21 ± 0.5	0 <sup>a</sup>
<i>C. sinensis</i>	8.5	14 ± 0.5	17.6 ± 0.3	0 <sup>a</sup>
<i>C. aurantium</i>	8.5	5.6 ± 0.3	13 ± 0.5	0 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Each datum represents the mean of five replicates, each set up with 10 adults (n = 50); <sup>b</sup>Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at *P* = 0.05 (Scheffe's test).

*et al.*, 2010) have been reported. *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantifolia* were shown to contain insecticidal activity against mosquito, cockroach and housefly (Ezeonu *et al.*, 2001). Siskos *et al.*, 2007 suggested that *C. aurantium* contains secondary metabolites that are toxic to olive fruit fly, *Bactrocera oleae* adults. There are several reports on the insecticidal activity of *C. aurantifolia*, *C. sinensis* and grapefruit, *C. paradisi*, peel oils against *T. confusum* J. and *S. granarius* L. (Abbassy *et al.*, 1979). However, the present data suggests that the acetone extracts of the peels of *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantium* were effective against *S. oryzae*, *R. dominica* and *T. castaneum*. Govindan and Nelson (2009) suggested *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss. and *Alpinia officinarum* Hance. for the management of *S. oryzae*.

From these results it was concluded that the plants *C. maxima*, *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantium* exhibits insecticidal activity to *S. oryzae*, *R. dominica* and *T. castaneum*. However, these plants did not show much impact on *T. castaneum* than other two insects studied. Further analysis to isolate the active compounds for insect control is under way in our laboratory.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Dr. J. S. Yadav, Director of Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad, for the facilities and encouragement.

#### REFERENCES

- Abbassy, M. A. A., Hosny, A. H., Lamaei, O. and Choukri, O. 1979. Insecticidal and synergistic Citrus oils isolated from Citrus peels. *Med. Fac. Landbouww. Rijksuniv. Gent*, **44**: 21 - 29.
- Arnason, J. T., Philogene, B. J. R. and Morand, P. 1989. Insecticides of plants origin. *American Chemical Society Symposium Series*. **387**: Washington.
- Best, G. A. and Ruthven A. D. 1995. Pesticides-developments, impacts and controls. *Royal Society of Chemistry*, UK.
- Chapman, B. R. and Dyte, C. E. 1976. Report of the FAO global survey of pesticides susceptibility of stored grain pests. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. *Plant Production and Protection*, Series no. **5**. FAO, Rome.
- Ezeonu, F. C., Chidume, G. I. and Udedi, S. C. 2001. Insecticidal properties of volatile extracts of orange peels. *Bioresource Technology*, **76** : 273 - 274.
- Golob, P., Dales, M., Fidge, A., Evans, J. and Gudrups, I. 1999. The Use of Spices and Medicinals as Bioactive Protectants for Grains. *Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations*, Rome.

- Govindan, K. and Nelson, S. J. 2009. Insecticidal activity of twenty plant powders on mortality, adult emergence of *Sitophilus oryzae* L. and grain weight loss in paddy. *Journal of Biopesticides*, **2** (2): 169 – 172.
- Greany, P. D., Styer, S. C., Davis, P. L., Shaw, P. E. and Chambers, D. L. 1983. Biochemical resistance of citrus to fruit flies. Demonstration and elucidation of resistance to the Caribbean fruit fly, *Anastrepha suspensa*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, **34**: 40 - 50.
- Han, M. K., Kim, S. I. and Ahn, Y. J. 2006. Insecticidal and antifeedant activities of medicinal plant extracts against *Attagenus unicolor japonicus* (Coleoptera: Dermestidae). *Journal of Stored product Research* **42**: 15 - 22.
- Ignatowicz, S. and Wesolowska, B. 1994. Insecticidal and deterrent properties of extracts from herbaceous plants, *Ochrone Roslin*, **38** :14 - 15.
- Jayaprakaha, G. K., Signh, R. P., Pereira, J. and Sakariah, K. K. 1997. Limonoids from *Citrus reticulata* and their moult inhibiting activity in mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus* larvae. *Phytochemistry*, **40** : 142 - 146.
- Kim, D. H. and Ahn, Y. J. 2001. Contact and fumigant activities of constituents of *Foeniculum vulgare* fruit against three coleopteran stored-product insects. *Pest Management Science*, **57** : 301 - 306.
- Klocke, J. A. and Kubo, I. 1982. Citrus limonoid by-products as insect control agents. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, **32** : 299 - 301.
- Mullai, K. and Jebanesan, A. 2007. Bioefficacy of the leaf extract of *Cucumis pubescens* Willd (Cucurbitaceae) against larval mosquitoes. *Bulletin of Biological Science*, **4**: 35-37.
- Phillips, T.W., James, E. and Throne, J. E. 2010. Biorational Approaches to Managing Stored - Product Insects. *Annual Review of Entomology*, **55**: 375 - 397.
- Sahayaraj, K. 1998. Anti - feedant effect of some plant extracts on the Asian armyworm, *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius). *Current Science*, **74** (6) : 523 - 526.
- Salvatore, A., Borkosky, S., Willink, E. and Bardon, A. 2004. Toxic effects of lemon peel constituents on *Ceratitidis capitata*. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, **30** : 323-333.
- Sheppard, D. C. 1984. Toxicity of Citrus peel liquids to the house fly and red imported fire ant. *Journal of Agricultural Entomology*, **1** : 95 - 100.
- Siskos, E. P., Konstantopoulou, M. A., Mazomenos, B. E. and Jervis, M. 2007. Insecticidal activity of Citrus aurantium fruit, leaf and shoot extracts against adults of the olive fruit fly *Bactrocera oleae* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, **100** : 1215 - 1220.
- Shrivastava, G., Rogers, M., Annette Wszelaki., Dilip, R. Panthee and Feng Chen 2010. Plant Volatiles-based Insect Pest Management in Organic Farming. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, **29** (2) : 123 - 133.
- Su, H.C.F., Speirs, R.D. and Mahany, P. G. 1972. Toxicity of Citrus oils to several stored - product insects laboratory evaluation. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, **65** : 1438 - 1441.
- Usha Rani, P. and Udaya Lakshmi, S. 2007. Evaluation of *Tagetes erecta* essential oil, floral and leaf extracts as grain protectants: efficacy against four species of stored product pests. *Uttar Pradesh Journal of Zoology*, **27** (2) 159 - 169.
- Weaver, D. and Subramanyam, B. 2000. Botanicals. In : B. Subramanyam and D. Hagstrum, Editors, Alternatives to Pesticides in Stored-Product IPM, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 303 - 320 PP.
- Zhou, X., Li, X. D., Yuan, J. Z., Tang, Z. H., Liu, W. Y. 2000. Toxicity of cinnamomin a new type II ribosome - inactivating protein to bollworm and mosquito. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, **30** (3) :259 - 64.

---

**Pala Rajasekharreddy and Pathipati Usha Rani \***

Biology and Biotechnology Division, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Tarnaka, Hyderabad - 500 607 (AP), India. Tel : 91(40) 2719 3148; Fax : 091(40) 27160757, 091-40-27160387,\* E-mail : purani @ iict.res.in

Received: October 14, 2009

Revised: July 7, 2010

Accepted: July 19, 2010