

Influence of Acacia auriculiformis extracts on Bactrocera cucurbitae. Journal of Biopesticides, 3(2): 499 - 504 (2010) 499

Development inhibitory effect of *Acacia auriculiformis* extracts on *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Coquillett) (Diptera:Tephritidae)

Amandeep Kaur<sup>1</sup>, S. K. Sohal<sup>\*1</sup>, Rajbir Singh<sup>2</sup>, Saroj Arora<sup>2</sup>

# ABSTRACT

The effect of acetone and water extracts of *Acacia auriculiformis* A. Cunn. bark was investigated on biology of *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Coquillett). The eggs, larvae and adults were treated with different concentrations (1, 5, 25, 125 and 625 ppm) of the bark extracts. Both the extracts significantly prolonged the larval period and total developmental period. Percentage pupation, percentage emergence, oviposition and egg hatching decreased in a dose dependent manner. The  $LC_{50}$  values were lower for the acetone extract as compared to water extract indicating that acetone extract was more toxic than water extract. The present findings demonstrated the potential of the plant extracts in controlling melon fruit fly.

Keywords: Acacia auriculiformis, plant extracts, Bactrocera cucurbitae, development.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Plants contain thousands of compounds which are virtually an untapped reservoir of pesticides that can be used directly or as templates for synthetic pesticides. India being a tropical country has a vast resource of plants, a large number of which have not been screened for their pesticidal properties. *Acacia auriculiformis* A. Cunn (Fabaceae) is an important medicinal plant. It has a rich source of tannins and terpenoids along with polyphenols (Singh and Sehgal, 2001). It's antimutagenic, cytotoxic and antioxidant activities have been reported (Singh *et al.*, 2007a, 2007b, 2007c). However, it has not been explored for its pesticidal potential.

*Bactrocera cucurbitae* is distributed widely in temperate, tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world but India is considered as its native home (Dhillon *et al.*, 2005). It damages over 81 plant species and plants belonging to the family Cucurbitaceae are preferred most which include fruits of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia*), muskmelon (*Cucumis melo*), snap melon (*Cucumis melo* var. *momordica*) and snake gourd (*Trichosanthes anguina* and *T. cucumeria*) (Sapkota *et al.* 2010). Plant products (Shivayya and Kumar, 2008), *Arisema* sp. (Kaur 2009) Imapact of methoprene (Ihsan ul Hag *et al.* 2010) on this pest was reported. Therefore, in the present study it was envisaged to investi gate the effect of the extracts of the plant on the develop ment of the melon fruit fly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Coquillett).

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material and extract preparation

A.auriculiformis procured in the month of September was identified by comparing it with the specimen available in © JBiopest. 193

the herbarium (Voucher No. 6422) of the Guru Nanak Dev University. The fresh bark of *A. auriculiformis* was selected, washed and dried in oven at 30°C overnight and ground to fine powder with grinder. The extracts of the bark powder were prepared by dissolving the bark powder in acetone and water and these were concentrated in rotary vacuum evaporator. The dried extracts were weighed and preserved in sealed bottles in a refrigerator after making stock solutions of the required concentrations. The desired amount of stock solution required for making various test concentrations for the experiment was calculated as given below: Amount of stock solution required (ml) = Concentration required/concentration of the stock solution X Amount of diet (ml).

#### Insect

The infested bitter gourd, *Momordica charantia* (L.) and guava, *Psidium guajava* L. were collected from the vegetable market and scanned for melon fruit fly, *B. cucurbitae*. The flies were identified on the basis of criteria given in the taxonomic keys (Kapoor, 1993; White and Elson-Harris, 1992). The cultures of *B. cucurbitae* were maintained in insect culture room under a constant photoperiod (10L: 14D), temperature ( $28\pm20^{\circ}$ C) and relative humidity (70-80%). They were fed on a diet of sugar solution and protinex incorporated with vitamin E.

## **Experiments with eggs**

About 100 gravid females were released for 6-8 h in a wire mesh cage, in which 3-4 pumpkin pieces were placed for oviposition. The pumpkin pieces were removed and the top layer of the pumpkin flesh was teased with the help of

# Amandeep Kaur et al.

scalpel and a needle in the saline water. The eggs were collected from water with the help of camel hair brush, washed in distilled water and placed on the sterilized filter paper to soak the extra water. These eggs were dipped in 5ml solution of various test concentrations of bark extracts for one minute. The eggs were dried on filter paper and transferred to petridishes with moist filter paper. These Petri dishes were kept in B.O.D. incubator and observed at a dial periodicity for egg hatching. All the five concentrations of bark extracts had six replications with 20 eggs in each replication.

## **Experiments with larvae**

The first instar, second instar and third instar larvae were collected after 48-56 hours, 64-72hours and 88-96 hours respectively and used for the experiments. The larvae of same age were procured by releasing around 100 gravid females in a cage for oviposition on pumpkin pieces for an interval of 6 to 8 hours. The larvae were transferred to artificial diet containing different concentrations of the plant extracts along with control. There were six replications for each concentration of all the extracts, each having 15 larvae for each experiment. Observations were made for larval period, pupal period, total developmental period, percentage pupation and percentage of adult emergence.

#### **Experiments with adults**

Pumpkin pieces  $(2.5\frac{1}{2} \times 1.5\frac{1}{2} \times 1.25\frac{1}{2})$  cleaned and incubated for 48h at  $27\pm1^{\circ}$ C and  $65\pm5\%$  R. H. were dipped in different concentrations of extracts for 30 seconds and allowed to dry at room temperature. Five pairs of 20 days old flies were transferred to small wire cages  $(15\times15\times16 \text{ cm})$ . These flies were provided with protinex and sugar solution as their food and plant extract impregnated pumpkin pieces for oviposition. Water treated fruit served as control. Three replications were provided for each treatment including control. Pumpkin pieces were removed after 6 hours and dissected under a binocular microscope to count the number of eggs laid by the female flies.

## **Statistical analysis**

The data was subjected to one way analysis of variance (ANOVA), using SPSS (10.0 for window) computer software programme to assess the significance of observations on the development period, pupation and emergence of *B. cucurbitae*. The LC<sub>50</sub> value was calculated for adult emergence through probit analysis (Finney, 1971) and the means were separated using Tukey HSD test (Assistat v7.5beta).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In tropical countries having a rich biodiversity of plants, botanical pesticides are fast emerging as a viable component of integrated pest management. The toxic effect of plant extracts is due to the synergistic effect of secondary compounds which are postulated to have evolved for the plant's defense. These compounds confer protection to crops through reduction of fitness to insect herbivores. Although the plant extracts may be less toxic than the conventional pesticides (Koul *et al.*, 2008) but they are relatively safe and ecofriendly.

# Eggs

From the present study it was evident that extracts of A. auriculiformis had an adverse effect on the development of B. cucurbitae. A concentration dependent decreased egg hatching was observed when melon fly eggs were treated with different concentrations of A. auriculiformis acetone and water extracts. Between the two extracts, acetone highly reduced the egg hatching (45.75%) than water extract (49.15%) at 625ppm (Figure 1). Reduced egg hatching in B. cucurbitae has also observed by Nair and Thomas (2001) after treatment of eggs with different concentrations of Acorus calamus L. (Acoraceae) extracts. Similar impact was reported in peach fruit fly, Bactrocera zonata (Saunders) (Mahmood and Shoeib, 2008) and western cherry fruit fly (Renden et al., 1998). The egg shell in Diptera is comprised of vitelline membrane, waxy layer and chorion (Margaritis, 1985a). The chorion consists of several proteins which are crosslinked via di- and tri-tyrosine bonds and provide the egg shell with the required hardness and elasticity. The enzyme, Peroxidase which is a functional and structural component of the chorion involved in the hardening process is inhibited by Phloroglucinol, a phenolic compound produced during the breakdown of plant polyphenols (Mindrinos et al., 1980; Margaritis, 1985a, 1985b, 1985c; Keramaris et al., 1991). Decreased egg hatching observed in the present study could be attributed to the secondary compounds particularly polyphenols present in the bark extracts of A. auriculiformis which might have interfered in the protein synthesis or cross linking of proteins.



**Figure 1**. Effect of extracts of *A. auriculiformis* on egg hatching of *B. cucurbitae* 

## Larvae

The larval period and total developmental period of the insect prolonged in the first instar and second instar



Influence of Acacia auriculiformis extracts on Bactrocera cucurbitae.

**Table 1.** Larval Period of *B. cucurbitae* when first instar, second instar and third instar larvae were treated with different extracts of *A. auriculiformis*.

Concen trations	Remaining Larval Period when different age groups were treated						
	First instar		Second instar		Third instar		
(ppm)	acetone extract	water extract	acetone extract	water extract	acetone extract	water extract	
00	20.25±0.66 <sup>b</sup>	20.36±0.39 <sup>d</sup>	6.58±0.33 <sup>e</sup>	6.99±0.22 <sup>b</sup>	3.55±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	3.37±0.11ª	
01	22.91±0.25°	21.38±0.25°	$8.63 \pm 0.35^{d}$	$7.01 \pm 0.14^{b}$	2.66±0.14 <sup>b</sup>	3.15±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	
05	24.38±0.28 <sup>b</sup>	22.23±0.18b	9.66±0.26°	7.21±0.20 <sup>b</sup>	$2.53 \pm 0.09^{bc}$	3.07±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	
25	25.50±0.47 <sup>a</sup>	22.37±0.23 <sup>ab</sup>	10.64±0.25 <sup>b</sup>	$7.55 \pm 0.24^{ab}$	$2.42\pm0.06^{bc}$	2.86±0.05°	
125	24.92±0.24 <sup>ab</sup>	22.97±0.24ª	$11.03\pm0.18^{b}$	$7.82\pm0.18^{a}$	$2.27 \pm 0.07^{cd}$	2.75±0.04°	
625	0.00±0.00	$0.00\pm0.00$	11.86±0.18ª	$8.08 \pm 0.25^{a}$	$2.02\pm0.07^{d}$	$2.28\pm0.08^{d}$	
F-value	24.48**	14.88**	44.99**	4.12**	25.38**	30.64**	

\*\* Significant at 1% level; Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to the Tukey test (P=0.05).

larvae, however it was reduced in the third instar larvae (Tables 2 and 3). This could be a stage specific response of the insect to the plant extracts. All first instar larvae were dead at 625 ppm concentration of both the extracts. As observed in eggs, acetone extract has more impact than water extract on larvae too. In a study conducted by Mustafa and Al-Khazraji (2008), delayed larval development of the mosquito, *Culex pipiensmolestus* Forskal was observed with the extracts of *Azadirachta excelsa* Jack. Previously, 12 different New Zealand gymnosperm species extracts were tested against larvae of Australian sheep blowfly, *Lucilia cuprina* (Wiedemann) and results showed that extracts significantly reduced larval and developmental period (Gerard *et al.*, 1997).

Pupa formation and emergence was adversely affected in the larvae of the melon fly treated with bark extracts of *A. auriculiformis* (Tables 4 and 5). A very few number of larvae pupated after ingesting the treated food. Statistically significant effects were observed in all the treated instars with both acetone and water extract. The  $LC_{50}$  values calculated on the basis of adult emergence was lower in all the three instars with acetone extract as compared to water extract indicating that acetone extract was more toxic to the larvae of the melon fruit fly. Toxic effects of other species of Acacia has been reported against Aedes aegypti (L.) and Culex quinquefasciatus (Say) mosquito larvae (Tauro et al., 2004; Chaubal et al., 2005), C. quinquefasciatus, A. stephensi and A. aegypti (Sakthivadivel and Daniel, 2008). Larvicidal effects of Pavonia zeylanica and Acacia ferruginea have been reported in the late third instar larvae of C. quinquefasciatus (Vahitha et al., 2002). Other plant extracts such as Parthenium hysterophorus, Peganum harmala L., Sawsswera lappa C. B. Klarke and Valariana

501

**Table 2.** Total development period of *B. cucurbitae* when first instar, second instar and third instar larvae were treated with different extracts of *A. auriculiformis*.

Concen	Total development period when different age groups were treated					
trations (ppm)	First instar		Second instar		Third instar	
	acetone extract	water extract	acetone extract	water extract	acetone extract	water extract
00	$26.59 \pm 0.54^{d}$	$27.47 \pm 0.46^{e}$	14.89±0.24°	14.42±0.19e	$13.27{\pm}0.08^{a}$	$11.75 \pm 0.04^{a}$
01	30.11±0.29°	$28.67 \pm 0.19^{d}$	$18.09 \pm 0.36^{d}$	$15.55 \pm 0.32^{d}$	12.88±0.12 <sup>b</sup>	$11.45{\pm}0.10^{a}$
05	32.33±0.26 <sup>b</sup>	30.56±0.38°	19.32±0.25°	$15.88{\pm}0.28^{\text{cd}}$	12.59±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	$11.07 \pm 0.07^{b}$
25	33.14±0.20 <sup>ab</sup>	32.25±0.31b	20.24±0.31b	$16.36 \pm 0.28^{bc}$	12.16±0.11°	$10.81 \pm 0.11^{bc}$
125	33.75±0.17 <sup>aa</sup>	$33.50 \pm 0.37^{a}$	21.09±0.20 <sup>ab</sup>	$17.05 \pm 0.16^{b}$	$11.72 \pm 0.11^{d}$	$10.71 \pm 0.10^{\circ}$
625	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$21.87 \pm 0.37^{a}$	17.93±0.22ª	11.28±0.11°	$10.29 \pm 0.19^{d}$
F-value	84.92**	79.33**	103.33**	27.13**	47.39**	24.93**

\*\* Significant at 1% level ; Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to the Tukey test (P=0.05).

# Amandeep Kaur et al.

**Table 3**. Percentage pupation of *B. cucurbitae* when first instar, second instar and third instar larvae were treated with different extracts of *A. auriculiformis*.

Concent rations (ppm)	Percentage Pupation					
	First instar		Second instar		Third instar	
	acetone extract	water extract	acetone extract	water extract	acetone extract	water extract
00	$41.67 \pm 3.07^{a}$	35.00±2.24ª	$83.33 \pm 5.58^{a}$	85.00±2.24ª	86.67±2.11ª	$90.0{\pm}2.58^{a}$
01	$36.67 \pm 3.33^{a}$	$30.0 \pm 2.58^{ab}$	73.33±2.11 <sup>b</sup>	$78.33 \pm 3.07^{ab}$	76.67±2.11 <sup>b</sup>	78.33±3.07 <sup>b</sup>
05	$35.00 \pm 2.24^{a}$	28.33±3.07 <sup>ab</sup>	66.67±3.33 <sup>b</sup>	$71.67 \pm 3.07^{bc}$	73.33±3.33 <sup>b</sup>	68.33±3.07°
25	25.00±2.24 <sup>b</sup>	$25.00 \pm 2.24^{bc}$	56.67±3.33°	66.67±3.33 <sup>cd</sup>	51.67±1.67°	56.67±2.11 <sup>d</sup>
125	16.67±2.11°	$20.00 \pm 2.58^{\circ}$	53.33±2.11°	$60.00 \pm 2.58^{d}$	45.00±2.24°	53.33±2.11 <sup>de</sup>
625	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	48.33±3.07°	46.67±2.11°	45.00±2.24°	48.33±1.67°
F-value	14.76**	4.06**	15.51**	22.57**	52.24**	40.48**

officianalis L.were also found to have an inhibitory effect on percentage pupation and emergence of *B. cucurbitae*, *B. zonata*, western cherry fruit fly, *Rhagoletic indifferens* Curran (VanRenden and Roiteberg, 1998; Sohal *et al.*, 2003; Jilani *et al.*, 2006; Khattak *et al.*, 2006). The diethyl ether extract obtained from fresh lemon peel was reported to cause 98.8% larval mortality in the larvae of mediter ranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Salvatore *et al.*, 2004). It is apparent from the present study that the *A. auriculi formis* bark extracts possess potent alleloch emicals which could be exploited for management of fruit flies.

## Adults

The extracts from the bark of *A. auriculiformis* had a deterrent effect on the oviposition of the melon fruit fly,

*B. cucurbitae.* The extracts significantly reduced the number of eggs laid by the adult flies (Figure 2). The effect was more severe in the flies treated with the acetone extract where no egg laying was observed at the highest concentration of 625ppm. Singh and Singh (1998) had observed deterrent effects of neem seed kernel extracts and Azadirachtin against *B. cucurbitae* and Oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* Hendel. Oviposition deterrent effect of neem extracts on *D. cucurbitae* has also been observed by Singh and Srivastva (1983). Similar findings have been reported in *B. dorsalis* after the insect was treated with neem extracts (Areekul *et al.*, 1988; Chen *et al.*, 1996). Mohmood and Shoeib (2008) revealed a high effect of neem formulation in repelling oviposition in *B. zonata*.

Concen	Percentage emergence					
trations	First instar		Second instar		Third instar	
(ppm)	acetone extract	water extract	acetone extract	water extract	acetone extract	water extract
00	38.33±3.07ª	$30.0{\pm}2.58^{a}$	$71.67 \pm 4.77^{a}$	73.33±2.11ª	76.67±3.33ª	$80.0{\pm}2.58^{a}$
01	$26.67 \pm 3.33^{b}$	$25.0{\pm}2.24^{ab}$	$51.67{\pm}3.07^{b}$	$60.00{\pm}2.58^{\mathrm{b}}$	$70.0{\pm}2.58^{ab}$	$71.67 \pm 1.67^{b}$
05	$25.0{\pm}2.24^{b}$	$23.33{\pm}2.11^{bc}$	$45.00 \pm 2.24^{bc}$	$58.33 {\pm} 3.07^{bc}$	$66.67 \pm 4.22^{b}$	63.33±2.11°
25	16.67±3.33°	$18.33{\pm}1.67^{\rm cd}$	$43.33 \pm 2.11^{bc}$	$51.67{\pm}3.07^{cd}$	$48.33{\pm}3.07^{\circ}$	$51.67{\pm}3.07^{d}$
125	$11.67{\pm}1.67{}^{\circ}$	$15.0{\pm}2.24^{d}$	$38.33{\pm}3.07^{cd}$	$46.67 {\pm} 2.11^{d}$	$36.67{\pm}2.11^{\text{d}}$	$45.0{\pm}2.24^{\rm d}$
625	$0.00{\pm}0.00$	$0.00{\pm}0.00$	$33.33{\pm}4.22^{d}$	$35.00{\pm}2.24^{e}$	$36.67{\pm}2.11^{\text{d}}$	33.33°±2.11°
F-value	13.56**	6.21**	14.79**	29.66**	31.74**	49.14**
LC50(ppm)	19.5	57.02	251.2	354.8	100	229.1

**Table 4**. Percentage emergence of *B. cucurbitae* when first instar, second instar and third instar larvae were treated with different extracts of *A. auriculiformis*.

.\*\* Significant at 1% level ; Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to the Tukey test (P=0.05).

502

#### Influence of Acacia auriculiformis extracts on Bactrocera cucurbitae.



**Figure 2.** Effect of extracts of *A. auriculiformis* on the oviposition of *B. cucurbitae*.

The present study clearly revealed the susceptibility of *B. cucurbitae* to both the extracts of *A. auriculiformis* as indicated by their deleterious effects on growth and development of melon fruit fly. Further studies are being undertaken to isolate and identify the bioactive compounds from the most potent extract and evaluate its effect on the melon fruit fly.

# REFERENCES

- Areekul, S., Sinchavsri, P. and Tigvattanonts, S. 1988. Effect of thai plant extracts on the oriental fruit fly. II Repellency test. *Kasetsart Journal (Natural Sciences)*, **22**: 56-61.
- Chaubal, R., Pawar, P. V., Hebbalkar, G. D., Tungikar, V. B., Puranik, V. G., Deshpande, V. H. and Deshpande, N. R. 2005. Larvicidal activity of *Acacia nilotica* extracts and isolation of D-pinitol- A bioactive carbohydrate. *Chemistry and Biodiversity*, 2: 684-688.
- Chen, C. C., Dong, Y. J., Cheng, L. L. and Hou, R. F. 1996. Deterrent effect of neem seed kernel extracts on oviposition of the oriental fruit fly (Diptera:tephritidae) in Guava. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, **89**: 462-466.
- Dhillon, M. K., Singh, R., Naresh, J. S. and Sharma, H. C. 2005. The melon fruit fly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae*: A review of its biology and management. *Journal of Insect Science*, 5:1-16.
- Finney, D. J. 1971. Probit analysis, 3<sup>rd</sup> edn, Cambridge University Press, London, 318 **PP**.
- Gerard, P. J., Ruf, L. D., Lorimer, S. D. and Heath, A. C. G. 1997. Activity of extracts and compounds from New Zealand gymnosperms against larvae of *Lucilia cuprina* (Diptera:Calliphoridae). *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, **40**: 261-267.
- Ihsan ul Hag, Carlos Caceres, Jorge Hendrichs, Peter Teal, Viwat Wornoayporn, Christian Stauffer and Alan S. Robinson. 2010. Effects of the juvenile hormoe analogue methoprene and dietary protein on male melon fly *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Diptera : Tephritidae) mat-

ing succes. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, (doi: 10.1016/j.phyletb. 2003.10.071)

- Jilani, G., Khattak, M. K. and Shahzad, F. 2006. Toxic and growth regulating effect of ethanol extract and petroleum ether extract of Valariana officinalis L. against Bactrocera zonata Saunders. Pakistan Journal of Entomology, 28: 11-14.
- Kapoor, V. C. 1993.Indian fruit flies (Insecta: Diptera: Tephritidae), Oxford. IBH Publishing Co. (P) Ltd., New Delhi, 228 PP
- Kaur, M., Kuljinder Singh, Pushpinder J. Rup, Sukhdev Singh Kamboj and Jatinder Singh. 2009. Anti-insect potential of lectins from Arisaema species towards Bactrocera cucurbitae. Journal of Environmental Biology, 30(6): 1019-1023.
- Keramiris, K. E., Stravopodis, D. and Margaritis, L. H. 1991. Structural protein that plays an enzymatic role in the egg shell of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Cell Biology International Reports*, **15**: 151-159.
- Koul, O., Walia, S. and Dhaliwal, G. S. 2008. Essential oils as green pesticides: Potential and constraints. *Biopesticides International*, **4**: 63-84.
- Khattak, M. K., Shahazad, M. F. and Jilani, G. 2006. Effect of different extracts of Harmal (*Peganum harmala* L.), Rhizomes of kuth (*Saussurea lappa* C. B. Clarke) and Balchar (*Valariana officianalis* L.) on the settling and growth of peach fruit fly, (*Bactrocera zonata* Saunders). *Pakistan Entomologist*, 28: 15-19.
- Mahmood, M. F. and Shoeib, M. A. 2008. Sterilant and oviposition deterrent activity of neem formulation on peach fruit fly *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Journal of Biopesticides*, **1**: 177-181.
- Margaritis, L. H. 1985a. Comparative study of the egg shell of fruit flies *Dacus oleae* and *Ceratitis capitata*. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **63**: 194-206.
- Margaritis, L. H. 1985b.Structure and physiology of the egg shell. In "Comprehensive insect biochemistry, physiology and pharmacology. Vol.1 (Gilbert L I. and Kerkut G.A..eds.)". Oxford and New York, Pergamon Press.
- Margaritis, L. H. 1985c. The egg shell of *Drosophila melanogaster* III. Covalent crosslinking of the chorion protein involves endogenous hydrogen peroxide. *Tissue and cell*, **17**: 553-559.
- Mindrinos, M. N., Petri, W. H., Galanopoulos, V. K., Lombard, M. F. and Margaritis, L. H. 1980. Crosslinking of the *Drosophila* chorion involves a peroxidase. *Wilhelm Roux's Archives*, 189: 187-196.
- Mustafa, M. A. and Khajraji, A. 2008. Effect of some plant extracts on the *Culex pipiens molestus* Forskal larvae. *Iraqi Journal of Veterinary Science*, **22**: 9-12.

503

# Amandeep Kaur et al.

- Nair, S. and Thomas, J. 2001. Oviposition deterrence of *Acorus calamus* L on melon fly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae* COQ. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture*, **39**: 149-150.
- Renden, E. J., Roither, B. D. and Randan, E. J. 1998. Effect of a neem Azadirachta indica based insecticides on oviposition deterrence, survival, behavior and reproduction of adult western cherry fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae). Journal of Economic Entomology, 91, 123-130.
- Salvatore, A., Borkosky, S., Willink, E. and Bardeon, A. 2004. Toxic effects of Lemon peel constituents on *Ceratitis capitata. Journal of Chemical Ecology*, **30**: 323-333.
- Sapkota, R. Dahal, K. C. and Thapa, R. B. 2010. Damage assessment and management of cucurbit fruit files in spring-summer squash. *Journal of Entomology and Nematology*, Vol **2**(1): 007-012.
- Sakthivadivel, M. and Daniel, T. 2008. Evaluation of certain insecticidal plants for the control of vector mosquitoes viz. *Culex quinquefasciatus, Anopheles stephensi* and *Aedes aegypti. Applied Entomology and Zoology*, **43**: 57-63.
- Shivayya, V. and Kumar, C. T. A. 2008. Management of Melon Fly, *Bactrocera Cucurbitae Coq.* on Bitter Gourd *Momardica charantia* Linn. by using plant products. *Environment and Ecology*, **26**: (1A): 245-249.
- Sohal, S. K., Rup, P. J. and Arora, G. K. 2003.Growth regulatory influence of extracts of *Parthenium hysterophorus* Linn. on the melon fruit fly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Coquillett).*Indian Journal of Environment and Ecology*, 7: 269-272.
- Singh, S. and Sehgal, S. S. 2001. Investigations on constituents of Acorus calamus root oil as attractants to melonfly, Bactrocera cucurbitae and Oriental fruit fly, Bactrocera dorsalis. Indian Journal of Entomology, 63, 340-344.
- Singh, R., Singh, S. and Arora, S. 2007a. Evaluation of antioxidant potential of acetate extract/fractions of Acacia auriculiformis A. Cunn. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 45: 1216-1223.
- Singh, R., Singh, S., Kumar, S. and Arora, S. 2007b. Studies on Methanolic extract/fractions of *Acacia auriculiformis* A. Cunn. as a potent antioxidant. *Food Chemistry*, **103**: 505-511.

Singh, R., Singh, S., Kumar, S. and Arora, S. 2007c. Free radical scavenging activity of acetone extract/fractions of *Acacia auriculiformis* A. Cunn. *Food Chemistry*, 103: 1403-1410.

- Singh, R. P. and Srivastva, B. G. 1983. Alcohol extracts of neem (Azadirachta indica A. Juss.) seed oil as oviposition deterrent for Dacus cucurbitae (Coquillett). Indian journal of Entomology, 45: 497-498.
- Singh, S. and Singh, R. P. 1998. Neem (Azadirachtin indica) seed kernel extracts and Azadirachtin as oviposition deterrent against melon fly (Bactrocera cucurbitae) and oriental fruit fly (Bactrocera dorsalis). Phytoparasitica, 26: 191-197.
- Taura, D. W., Mukhtar, M. D. and Adoum, O. A. 2004. Lethality of the aqueous extracts of Acacia nilotica, Guiera senegalensis, Kigelia Africana and Securidaca longepedunculata on Culex mosquito larva. Ife Journal of Science, 6:115-118.
- Vahitha, R., Venkatachalam, M. R., Murugan, K. and Jebanesan, A. 2002. Larvicidal efficacy of *Pavonia* zeylanica L. and Acacia ferruginea D. C. against Culex quinquefasciatus Say. Bioresource Technology, 82: 203-204.
- VanRenden, E. J. and Roiteberg, B. D. 1998. The effect of neem (Azadirachta indica) based insecticides on ovipositional deterrence, survival, behaviour and reproduction of adult western cherry fruit fly (Diptera:Tephritidae). Journal of Economic Entomology, 91: 123-130.
- White, Ian M. and Elson-Harris 1992. Fruit flies of economic significance: Their identification and Bionomics, CAB International, U. K., 601**PP**.

# Amandeep Kaur<sup>1</sup>, S. K. Sohal<sup>\*1</sup>, Rajbir Singh<sup>2</sup>, Saroj Arora<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Zoology, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, India- 143005.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Botanical and Environmental Sciences, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, India- 143005.

\*Phone : 0183-2258802-09 EPABX 3398, Fax : 0183-2258819, 2258820, E-mail address: satudhillon@hotmail.com.

Received: February 09, 2010;

Revised: March 23, 2010;

Accepted: March 29, 2010.

504