Spentwash on pulses production

Journal of Biopesticides 3(1 Special Issue) 051 - 054 (2010) 51

Nutritional additives of spentwash on pulses production

C. S. Chidankumar, S. Chandraju*, Girijanagendraswamy and R. Nagendraswamy

ABSTRACT

Cultivation of some pulses by distillery spentwash irrigation in normal and spentwash treated soil was studied. The primary treated distillery spentwash (PTSW) and 33% spentwash were analyzed for their additive plant nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium, sulphur and other physical and chemical parameters. Untreated soil (plot-1) and spentwash treated soil (plot-2) were tested for chemical and physical parameters. The seeds of pulses (Namadhari and Mahyco) were sown in the prepared land dimension of 4' x 6' blocks in both plot-1 and plot-2. Seeds were irrigated with raw water and 33% spentwash. The nature of yields were studied and compared. Irrigation with 33% spentwash more yield for all pulses in plot-2 than compare to plot-1 concludes that spentwash treated soil is enriched with plant nutrients.

Key Words: Pulses; Distillery Spentwash; Yield; Untreated soil; Spentwash treated soil.

INTRODUCTION

Ethanol is manufactured by the fermentation of molasses distilleries. In India, about 40 billion liters of waste water is annually discharged from distilleries (or raw spentwash), which is characterized by undesirable color, foul odor, high biological oxygen demand and chemical oxygen demand (Joshi et al., 1994). Raw spentwash is normally discharged into open land or near by water bodies resulting environmental, soil and underground water pollution (including threat to plant and human/animal lives). The raw spentwash is highly acidic and containing easily oxidisable organic matter. Distillery spentwash has highest content of nitrogen and plant nutrients (Ramadurai and Gerard, 1994). By installing biomethenation plant in distilleries has reduce the oxygen demand of raw spentwash. The resulting spentwash obtained is called primary treated spentwash (PTSW) and primary treatment to raw spentwash increases the nitrogen (N), potassium (K), and phosphorous (P) contents and decreases the calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), sodium (Na), chloride (Cl) and sulphate (SO²⁻) (Zalawadia *et al.*, 1947) and rich in potassium (K), sulphur (S), nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P) as well as easily biodegradable organic matter and its application to soil has been reported to be beneficial to increase sugar cane (Mohamed Haron and Subash Chandra Bose, 2004), rice (Deverajan and Oblisami, 1995), wheat and rice yield (Pathak et al., 1998) and ground nut quality and physiological response of soybean (Ramana et al., 2000). However, higher concentration of spentwash causes delayed in seed germination, seedling growth and

and the spentwash could safely used for irrigation purpose at low concentration. Diluted spentwash could be used for irrigation purpose without adversely affecting soil fertility (Pujar, 1995; Raverkar et al., 2000; Kaushik, 2005) and crop productivity (Ramana et al., 2000). Twelve pre sowing irrigations with the diluted spentwash had no adverse effect on the germination of maize but improved the growth and yield (Singh and Raj Bahadur, 1998). The diluted effluent irrigation improved the physical and chemical properties of the soil and further increased soil microflora (Devarajan and Rajanna, 1994; Kaushik, 2005). The application of diluted spentwash increased the uptake of Zinc (Zn), Copper (Cu), Iron (Fe) and Manganese (Mn) in maize and wheat as compared to control and the highest total uptake of these were found at lower dilution levels (Ramana et al., 2001; Rajendran, 1990) than at higher dilution levels. Diluted spentwash increase the uptake of nutrients, leafy vegetables (Chandraju et al., 2008) of cabbage and mint leaf (Chandraju et al., 2008a), condiments and root vegetables (Chandraju et al., 2008b), leaf vegetables (Chandraju et al., 2008c) nutrients of pulses in untreated and treated soil (Chidankumar and Chandraju, 2008). The present investigation has been carried out to study the impact of 33% SW irrigation on the yields of pulses untreated and spentwash treated soil.

chlorophyll content in sunflower (Helianthus annuus)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Physico-chemical parameters and amount of nitrogen (N), potassium (K), phosphorous (P) and sulphur (S) present



C. S. Chidankumar et al.

in PTSW and 33% SW were analyzed (Tables 1). PTSW was used for irrigation with a dilution of 33% in the plots 1 and 2. Before initiation of the field work, plot-2 soil was treated with 33% SW for four times with an intervals of one week, each time land was ploughed and exposed to sunlight. A composite soil samples from both plot-1and plot-2 were collected from the experimental site at 25 cm depth. The soil samples were air dried, powdered and analyzed for physico-chemical properties (Table 2). The pulses selected for field experiment were Black gram (Phaseolus mungo Roxb), Cow pea (Vigna cetjang), Field bean (Dolichos lablab), Red gram (Cajanus cajan), Bengal gram (Cier arietinum), Peas (Pisum sativum), soybean (Glycine max Merr.), horse gram (Dilichosbidlorus) and Green gram (Phaseolus aureus Roxb.). The seeds are sowed in the prepared block field and irrigated with 33% SW at the dosage of twice a week and rest of the period with raw water. The nature of yields of pulses was recorded at their maturity.

Table 1. Chemical composition of distillery spentwash $(a - \mu S; b - mg/L)$

Chemical parameters	Primary treated spentwash	Spentwash (33%)
 nH	7 36	7 24
Electrical conductivity ^a	28800	10020
Total solids ^b	26000 46140	20870
Total dissolved solids ^b	35160	10140
Total suspended solids ^b	10540	4380
Settleable solids ^b	10070	3010
COD ^b	40530	10228
BOD ^b	16200	4800
Carbonate ^b	Nil	Nil
Bicarbonate ^b	13100	4200
Total Phosphorous ^b	30.26	6.79
Total Potassium ^b	7200	2400
Calcium ^b	940	380.0
Magnesium ^b	1652.16	542.22
Sulphur ^b	74.8	22.6
Sodium ^b	480	240
Chlorides ^b	5964	3164
Iron ^b	9.2	5.20
Manganese ^b	1424	368
Zinc ^b	1.28	0.41
Copper ^b	0.276	0.074
Cadmium ^b	0.039	0.010
Lead ^b	0.16	0.06
Chromium ^b	0.066	0.014
Nickel ^b	0.165	0.040
Ammoniacal Nitrogen ^b	743.68	276.64

Table 2.	Characteristics	of	experimental	soils	(a-	%;
b- μS; c- p	pm)					

Parameters	Untreated	Spentwash
soil	Soil	treated
Coarse sand ^a	9.72	10.94
Fine sand ^a	40.80	42.86
Slit ^a	25.28	26.32
Clay ^a	24.2	19.88
Organic carbon ^a	0.61	0.93
Electrical conductivity ^b	526	451
pH(1:2 soln)	8.16	8.15
Available Nitrogen ^c	340	460
Available Phosphorous ^c	130	180
Available Potassium ^c	80	65
Exchangeable Calcium ^c	140	150
Exchangeable Magnesium	° 220	190
Exchangeable Sodium ^c	90	180
Available Sulphur ^c	240	230
DTPA Iron ^c	200	240
DTPA Manganese ^c	220	260
DTPA Copper ^c	5	8
DTPA Zinc ^e	50	65

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Distillery spent wash is the unwanted residual liquid waste generated during alcohol production and pollution caused by it is one of the most critical environmental issue. Despite standards imposed on effluent quality, untreated or partially treated effluent very often finds access to watercourses. The distillery wastewater with its characteristic unpleasant odor poses a serious threat to the water quality in several regions around the globe. The ever-increasing generation of distillery spent wash on the one hand and stringent legislative regulations of its disposal on the other has stimulated the need for developing new technologies to process this effluent

Table 3. Average weight of pulses (per plant) at different irrigations (kg) (Average weight is taken from ten plants)

inigations (kg) (Average weight is taken from ten plants)						
Name of	Untreated Soil		Spentwash treated soil			
pulses	Raw water	Spentwash	Raw water	Spentwash		
		(33%)		(33%)		
Black gram	0.165	0.195	0.185	0.325		
Cow pea	0.145	0.215	0.180	0.315		
Field bean	0.390	0.620	0.520	0.816		
Red gram	0.022	0.040	0.060	0.098		
Bengal gram	0.019	0.036	0.048	0.080		
Peas0.024	0.042	0.058	0.086			
Soybean	2.470	3.350	3.580	4.150		
Horse gram	1.150	1.860	2.140	2.650		
Green gram	1.850	3.350	3.640	4.170		

52

Spentwash on pulses production

efficiently and economically including plant growth and yield (Sarayu Mohana et al., 2009). It was found that the growth and yields of all pulses were in the order 33% SW (Plot-2),>33% SW (Plot-1)> RW (Plot-2)> RW (Plot-1) (Table 3). Among 33% SW and RW irrigation in both untreated and spentwash treated soil, the growth of all pulse plants were highly potential and high yield in the case of 33% SW as compared to RW. Also, in plot-2 growth and yield was much greater than plot-1. This concludes that, the spentwash treated soil is enriched with the plant nutrients N.P.K. Subsequent use of spentwash for irrigation enriches the soil fertility without any adverse effect and hence spentwash (33%) can be conveniently used for the irrigation of pulses without external fertilizers (either organic or inorganic), this elevates the economy of farmers. Spent wash increase soil enzymatic activity (Kalaiselvi and Mahimairaja, 2009) subsequently increase the plant growth and yield.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are thankful to The General Manager and staff of the Chamundi Distilleries Pvt. Ltd. Maliyur, T. Narasipur Tq. Mysore Dist.Karnataka, India, for providing facilities.

REFERENCES

- Chandraju, S. Basavaraju, H. C. Chidankumar, C. S. 2008. Invastigation of impact of irrigation of distillery spent wash on the nutrients of some condiments and root vegetables. *Chemical and Environmental Research Journal*, **17** (1&2)
- Chandraju, S. Basavaraju, H.C. Chidankumar, C.S., 2008. Invastigation of impact of irrigation of distillery spentwash on the growth, yield and nutrients of leafy vegetable. *Chemical and Environmental Research Journal*, **17** (1&2).
- Chandraju, S. Basavaraju, H. C. Chidankumar, C. S. 2008. Invastigation of impact of irrigation of distillery spent wash on the nutrients of pulses. *Asian Journal of Chemistry*, **20** (8): 6342-6348.
- Chandraju, S. Basavaraju, H. C. Chidankumar, C. S. 2008. Invastigation of impact of irrigation of distillery spentwash on the nutrients of cabbage and mint leaf, *Indian Sugar*, **39**: 19-28.
- Chidankumar, C. S. Chandraju, S. 2008. Impact of distillery spentwash irrigation on the nutrients of Pulses in untreated and treated soil, *Sugar Technology*, **10** (4), 314-318.
- Devarajan, L., Rajanna, G. 1994. Performance of field crops under distillery effluent irrigations, *Kisan world*, **21**: 48-50.

- Deverajan, L. and Oblisami, G., 1995. Effect of distillery effluent on soil fertility status, yield and Quality of rice. *Madras Agricultural Journal*, **82:** 664-665.
- Joshi, H.C, Karla, N., Chaudhary, A., Deb, D. L. 1994. Environmental issues related with distillery effluent utilization in agriculture in India, *Asia Pacific Journal* of Environment Development, **1** (1994) 92-103.
- Kalaiselvi, P. and Mahimairaja, S. 2009. Effect of Biomethanated Spent Wash on Soil Enzymatic Activities. *Botany Research International*, **2** (4): 267-272.
- Kaushik, A. 2005. Impact of long and short term irrigation of a sodic soil with distillery Effluent in combination with bioamendments. *Bioresource Technology*, **96** (17): 1860 - 1866.
- Kuntal M. Hati., Ashish, K. B., Bandyapadhyaya, K., Misra K. 2004. Effect of post- methanation effluent on soil physical properties under Soyabean-wheat system in a vertisol. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **167** (5): 584-590.
- Mohamed Haron, A. R. and Subash Chandra Bose, M., 2004. Use of distillery spentwash for alkali soil reclamation, treated distillery effluent for ferti irrigation of crops. *Indian Farming*, March. 48-51.
- Pathak, H., Joshi, H. C., Chaudhary, A., Karla, N., Dwivedi M. K. 1998. Distillery effluent as soil amendment for wheat and rice. *Journal of Indian Soil Science*, 46: 155-157.
- Pujar, S. S. 1995. Effect of distillery effluent irrigation on growth, yield and quality of crops. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dhahran.
- Rajendran, K. 1990. Effect of distillery effluent on the seed germination, seedling growth, chlorophyll Content and mitosis in *Helianthus annuus-Indian Botanical Contactor*, 7: 139- 144.
- Ramadurai, R. and Gerard, E. J. 1994. Distillery effluent and downstream products, SISSTA, *Sugar Journal*, **20**: 129-131.
- Ramana, S., Biswas, A. K., Kundu, S., Saha, J. K., Yadava,
 R. B. R. 2000. Physiological response of soyabean (*Glycine max* L.) to foliar application of distillery effluent. *Annals of Plant Soil Research*, 2: 1-6.
- Ramana, S., Biswas, A. K., Kundu, S., Saha, J. K., Yadava, B. R. 2001. Effect of distillery effluent on seed germination in some vegetable crops. *Bioresource Technology*, 82(3): 273-275.
- Raverkar, K. P., Ramana, S., Singh, A. B., Biswas, A. K., Kundu, S. 2000. Impact of post methanated spentwash (PMS) on the nursery rising, Biological parameters of *Glyricidia sepum* and biological activity of soil. *Annual Plant Research*, 2(2): 161-168.

53

C. S. Chidankumar et al.

- Sarayu Mohana, Bhavik, K., Acharya and Datta Madamwar. 2009. Distillery spent wash: Treatment technologies and potential applications. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, **163** (1): 12 – 25.
- Singh, Y. and Raj Bahadur. 1998. Effect of application of distillery effluent on maize crop and soil properties. *Indian Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, **68**: 70-74.
- Zalawadia, N. M., Raman, S. and Patil, R. G. 1947. Influence of diluted spentwash of sugar industries application on yield and nutrient uptake by sugarcane and changes in soil properties. *Journal of Indian Soceity Soil Science*, **45**: 767-769.

C. S. Chidankumar¹, S. Chandraju^{*}, Girijanagendraswamy³ and R. Nagendraswamy²

*Department of Studies in Sugar Technology, Sir M.Visweswaraya Post Graduate Center, University of Mysore, Tubinakere, Mandya-571402, Karnataka, India. E-mail: chandraju1@yahoo.com Phone: +91-9964173700. ¹Dept. of Chemistry, Bharathi College, Bharathi Nagar-571422, Mandya Dist. Karnataka, India. E-mail: chidankumar@gmail.com, Phone:+91 9980200463.

²Dept. of Chemistry, Govt. First Grade College, Hanagodu, Mysore, Karnataka, India.

³Dept. of Chemistry, Maharani's Science College, Mysore Dt. Karnataka, India

Acknowledgement

The Chief and Managing Editors of Journal of Biopesticides sincerely acknowledges the following reviewers of volume 3(1) special issue published during April 2010.

- 1. Dr. Y. S. Johnson Thangaraj Edward, Tirunelveli
- 2. Dr. E. G. Ebenesar, Tirunelveli
- 3. Dr. M. Narayanan, Palayamkottai
- 4. Dr. N. Murugesan, Srivilliputhur
- 5. Dr. P. Usha Rani, Hyderabad
- 6. Dr. V. Nandagopal, Cuttack
- 7. Dr. C. Murugan, Channai
- 8. Dr. Hem Sexena, Kanpur
- 9. Dr. Suresh Walia, New Delhi

10. Prof. C. M. I. Singaraj, Palayamkottai

11. Prof. (Mrs). Lizzie Willams, Palayamkottai

12. Dr. P. Selvaraj, Palayamkottai

- 13. Dr. D. Adiroubane, Karaikal
- 14. Dr. A. Babu, Coimbatore
- 15. Dr. R. Swaminathan, Udiapur

16. Dr. Badal Kumar Mandal, Vellore

- 17. Dr. Jayanthi Abraham, Vellore
- 18. Dr. V. Ambethgar, Vridhachalam
- 19. Dr. John Prasant Jacob, Coimbatore
- 20. Dr. R. Kandasamy, Kancheepuram
- 21. Dr. B. Padmananapan, Thanjur
- 22. Dr. S. Malarvannan, Chennai

54