

Oviposition deterrent and adult emergence activities of plant extracts Journal of Biopesticides 3(1 Special Issue) 325 - 329 (2010) 325

Oviposition deterrent and adult emergence activities of some plant aqueous extracts against *Callosobruchus maculatus* F. (Coleoptera : Bruchidae)

### M. Jayakumar

# ABSTRACT

The present study was undertaken to study the effect of aqueous extracts of *Acorus calamus* root (Acoraceae), *Artemisia nilagirica* (Asteraceae), *Cassia auriculata* (Fabaceae), *Cassia siamia* (Caesalpiniaceae), *Citrus aurantium* peel (Rutaceae) and *Percularia daemia* (Asclepiadaceae). Oviposition deterrent, F1 adult emergence and weight loss were carried out at four different concentrations (1.25%, 2.5%, 5% and 10%) on cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) against *C. maculatus*. Maximum oviposition deterrent activity was observed in *C. siamia* (84.66%) followed by *C. aurantium* peel (82.11%) at higher concentration. Notably these two extracts showed above 50% oviposition deterrent activity even at lower concentration. Reduction in F1 adult emergence was higher in *P. daemia* (91.25%) treated seeds. The other plant extracts also exhibited their higher potential against *C. maculatus*. Weight loss due to insect infestation was drastically reduced when compared to the control. All extracts did not affect the germination of the seeds.

Key words: Callosobruchus maculatus, oviposition deterrent activity, aqueous extracts

## INTRODUCTION

The cowpea beetle, Callosobruchus maculatus is a cosmopolitan insect pest of cowpea. It is a field -to-store pest as its infestation of cowpea often begins in the field as the mature pods dry (Huignard et al., 1985; Sathyaseelan et al., 2008) and when such seeds are harvested and stored, the pest population increases rapidly and results in total destruction with in a short duration of 3-4 months (Rahman and Talukder, 2006). It multiplies very rapidly in storage (Ouedraogo et al., 1996) and reported 8.5% loss in pulses during post harvest handling and storage in India. Synthetic chemical insecticides have proved very effective in the control of the beetle. However, the problems associated with chemical insecticides such as health hazards, insect resistance, pest resurgence, residual toxicity, widespread environmental hazards and increasing costs of application have directed the need for effective, biodegradable pesticides (Talukder and Howse, 2000; Elhag, 2000). The use of plant materials for the protection of field crops and stored commodities against insect attack has a long history (Golob and Webley, 1980). It appears to be quite safe and promising (Jilani et al., 1988). Earlier, Petroleum ether extract of Neem (Ranjana Saxena and Beenam Saxena, 2000), dichloromethane and methanol extract of Acorus calamus and Cassia siamia (Jayakumar et al., 2005a), Jatropha curcas seed oil (Adebowale and Adedire, 2006), powdered leaves and extracts of Vitex negundo (Rahman and Talukder, 2006), plant lectins derived from Cicer arietinum (Sadeghi et al., 2006) and powder of Terminalia chebula and Cassia auriculata (Govindan and Jeyarajan Nelson, 2008) were reported to have significant oviposition deterrent and other biological activity against C. maculatus. In this context, based on the earlier literatures and easy availability of the plants, six plants were screened viz. Acorus calamus root, Artemisia nilagirica, Cassia auriculata, Cassia siamia, Citrus aurantium peel and Percularia daemia for their oviposition deterrent and adult emergence activity against C. maculatus.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS Insect culture

Cowpea seeds infested by the *C. maculatus* were colleted from the grocery shop and brought to the laboratory. The infested seeds were set aside in a plastic container and covered with muslin cloth till the emergence of adult. Healthy adults emerged from the container were shifted to another plastic container (24.5 X 11.5 cm) and provided cleaned cowpea seed for oviposition and maintained at  $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and  $70 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C % R.H. The container was undis turbed until the emergence of adults. Freshly emerged subsequent generations were used for further experiments.

### M. Jayakumar

#### **Preparation of plant extracts**

Fresh leaves of selected plants (A. calamus root, A. nilagirica, C. auriculata, C. siamia, C. aurantium peel and P. daemia) were collected at their respective places and brought to the laboratory. Each plant material was dried under shade and powdered by using electric grinder and pass through a 20 mesh sieve and kept in a 1 kg capacity polypropylene bag. 300 g of each powdered plant material were taken into a 2 litre capacity conical flask and 1000 ml of distilled water was added to it and shaken for 8 h in a mechanical shaker and then kept it for 24 h. The extract was separated using fine muslin cloth and then filtered. The filtrate was collected in a 2 litre capacity conical flask and volume was made up to 1000 ml. This was considered as stock solution. Required concentrations (1.25%, 2.5%, 5% and 10%) were prepared from the stock solution.

### **Oviposition deterrent activity**

Cowpea seeds were cleaned and sterilized at  $45^{\circ}$  C for 6 h in order to kill the eggs and developing larvae. For each concentration, 250 cowpea seeds were taken in a conical flask and mixed with each concentration of aqueous extracts and seeds treated with water alone used as control. After through mixing the seeds were air dried and they were separated into five lots each having 50 seeds, stored in plastic containers (8 X 6.5 cm) and 5 pairs of newly emerged adult *C. maculatus* were introduced in each concentration and controls. After 15 days, number of eggs laid on treated seeds (Ts) and control seeds (Cs) were recorded and the percentage of oviposition deterrence (POD) was calculated as POD=[(Ts-Cs)/Cs] X 100.

#### **Adult Emergence activity**

After the eggs were counted the experimental set up was kept undisturbed till the emergence of F1 adults from the treated and untreated seeds. The number of F1 adults emerged from the control seeds (Ac) and treated seeds (At) were recorded. The percentage reduction in F1 adult (PRA) emergence (F1) was calculated as PRA=[(Ac-At)/Ac] X 100.

### Measurement of loss of weight

After complete emergence of F1 adults, the weight losses due to *C. maculatus* infestation on cowpea seeds were recorded. The weight of the treated seeds (Wt) and control seeds (Wc) were observed before and after experiment and the percentage protection in weight loss (PPW) was calculated as PPW=[(Wc-Wt) / Wc] X100

#### **Germination test**

To study the viability of treated seeds, 700 seed were selected randomly and treated with higher concentrations of plant extracts and controls as mentioned earlier. After treatments the seeds were air dried and were placed in a petridish containing moist cotton and rewetted with water. Percentage of seeds germinated was recorded after 5 days and compared with control. All germination experiments were conducted at room temperature. The experiments were replicated four times for all concentrations with 25 seeds per replication.

#### **Data analysis**

Mean number of eggs laid on treated and control seeds, F1 adult emergence and weight loss were calculated using the above said formula. The data obtained from the experiments were subjected to two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Further the significant difference between the means was separated using Least Significant Difference (LSD) test.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Many methods have been adopted to control *C. maculatus*. Traditionally the seeds can be mixed with ash, sand, or other dry fine substances that fill up the space between the seeds and provide a barrier to insect

<b>Table 1.</b> Oviposition detertent activity of selected plant aqueous extracts against the pulse beene, C. <i>ma</i>	. maculatus
---	-------------

Plants	Concentrations (%)						
i failts	1.25	2.5	5	10			
Acorus calamus root	24.97 (29.93) <sup>ab</sup>	20.77 (27.06) <sup>a</sup>	27.30 (31.50) <sup>a</sup>	32.61 (34.82) <sup>b</sup>			
Artemisia nilagirica	12.66 (20.79) <sup>a</sup>	14.35 (22.22) <sup>a</sup>	17.44 (24.65) <sup>a</sup>	$19.25 (25.99)^{a}$			
Cassia auriculata	12.31 (20.53) <sup>a</sup>	16.28 (23.73) <sup>a</sup>	23.34 (28.86) <sup>a</sup>	30.92 (33.77) <sup>b</sup>			
Cassia siamia	55.37 (48.04)°	65.69 (54.09) <sup>b</sup>	77.19 (61.41) <sup>d</sup>	84.66 (67.70) <sup>e</sup>			
Citrus aurantium peel	55.89 (48.33) <sup>c</sup>	64.35 (53.31) <sup>b</sup>	70.25 (56.91) <sup>c</sup>	82.11 (65.05) <sup>d</sup>			
Percularia daemia	$19.08(25.84)^{a}$	20.48 (26.85) <sup>a</sup>	29.93 (33.15) <sup>ab</sup>	47.61 (43.62) <sup>c</sup>			

Within in the column different alphabets was statistically significant (p<0.05) by LSD.

Oviposition deterrent and adult emergence activities of plant extracts

				-						
	Concentrations (%)									
	1.25%		2.5%		5%		10%			
Plant name	No. of F1	% reduction	No. of F1	% reduction	No. of F1	% reduction	No. of F1	% reduction		
	emerged	in adult	emerged	in adult	emerged	in adult	emerged	in adult		
		emergence		emergence		emergence		emergence		
Acorus	78.8±58.16°	45.28	112±30.4°	22.22	67.8±13.04°	52.92	27.8±27.76 <sup>b</sup>	80.69		
calamus root										
Artemisia	131.2±34.24ª	8.89	$125.8 \pm 27.36^{ab}$	12.64	97.6±22.32 <sup>b</sup>	32.22	33.4±12.88 <sup>b</sup>	76.81		
nilagirica										
Cassia	137.8±23.44 <sup>a</sup>	4.31	$130.8 \pm 29.36^{a}$	9.17	105.4±17.68 <sup>b</sup>	26.81	$37.8 \pm 25.76^{b}$	73.75		
auriculata										
Cassia	$97 \pm 24.8^{b}$	32.64	63.8±11.36 <sup>e</sup>	55.69	$46.4{\pm}8.88^{\rm d}$	67.78	$25.8 \pm 7.76^{b}$	82.08		
siamia										
Citrus	61.6±10.08°	57.22	$51.8 \pm 8.64^{\circ}$	60.42	$43.4{\pm}11.52^{\text{d}}$	80.56	$36.8 \pm 5.12^{b}$	72.92		
aurantium peel										
Percularia	99±24.4 <sup>b</sup>	31.25	$87.2 \pm 16.56^{d}$	39.44	$49\pm8^{d}$	65.97	$12.6 \pm 9.68^{b}$	91.25		
daemia										
Control	$144\pm17.2^{a}$		$144\pm17.2^{a}$		$144\pm17.2^{a}$		$144\pm17.2^{a}$			

Table 2. F1 adult emergence of selected aqueous plant extract against C. maculatus

Within in the column different alphabets was statistically significant (p < 0.05) by LSD.

movement (Golob and Webley, 1980). Fresh, dry or processed plant materials can be applied as insecticides or to repel the pest insects (Boeke *et al.*, 2004). Earlier literature indicate the importance of plant extract is protecting seeds by way of direct mixing of the dried leaves, plant powders, solvent extracts, vegetable/ essential oils on seeds during post harvest storage (Rajapakse, 1996; Ngamo *et al.*, 2007; Meera Srivastava and Lalitha Gupta, 2007; Zahra Sahaf and Moharramipour, 2008; Othira *et al.*, 2009).

The reduction in oviposition was increased with the increase in dosage of each treatment. Earlier, Olaifa and Erhun (1998) found that higher concentration of the powder of Piper guineense significantly reduced the oviposition. These earlier findings are in conformation with present study at higher concentrations were found to be effective as compared to lower ones in bringing down the egg laying by the pest insect. Present study revealed that, maximum oviposition deterrent activity was observed in C. siamia followed by C. aurantium peel (Table 1). It is noteworthy that these two plant extracts showed more than 50% of deterrent activity even at lower concentration. It appears that these plant extracts might possess repellent and/or oviposition deterrent principles. Oviposition deterrency may be due to the changes induced in physiology and behaviour in the adult of C. maculatus as reflected by their egg laying capacity. Similar results were also obtained from Andrograpis peniculata (Annie bright et al., 2001), Hyptis suaveolens (Jayakumar et al., 2005b), Jatropha curcas (Adebowale and Adedire, 2006), V. negundo (Rahman and Talukder, 2006) and T. chebula (Govindan and Jeyarajan Nelson, 2008) against C. maculatus.

The data shown in Table 2 revealed the effect of leaf extracts on adult emergence of cowpea beetle shows a significant reduction among the treatments. It is added that efficacy of these selected plant extracts was much stronger against F1 than egg laying. Jayakumar et al. (2003) reported that plant extracts have obvious effects on postembryonic survival of the insect and resulting reduction in adult emergence. In the present study, maximum reduction in the adult emergence was observed in the seeds treated with P. daemia (91.25%) followed by C. siamia (82.08%) and A. calamus (80.69%). Earlier, Prabu Seenivasan et al. (2004) recorded 86.5% reduction in adult emergence due to petroleum ether extract of C. colocynthis. Annie Bright (2001) and Raja et al. (2001) reported that botanicals inhibited adult emergence in C. maculatus in cowpea. They further stated that, when the eggs lay on treated seeds, the toxic substance present in the extract may enter in to the egg through chorion and suppressed further embryonic development. It is in agree with the present study that adult emergence was greatly reduced in treated seeds than control seeds. Similar results were observed by Javakumar et al. (2005a), Ajavi and

### M. Jayakumar

Wintola (2006) and Sathyaseelan *et al.* (2008). Harvesting adversely influenced almost all vital aspects of the pest, the weight loss in treated grains was remarkably reduced. Weight loss indicated the quantitative loss in stored grains due to insect feeding showing a direct relationship between insect population and weight loss. Present observation revealed that the weight loss for treated grain ranged from 0.6 to 3.29 and in control it was about 4.88. Minimum weight loss was observed in the cowpea seeds treated with *P. daemia* and *A. calamus* at higher concentration (Fig 1).



**Figure 1.** Weight loss caused by *C.maculats* as influenced by aqueous extracts of selected plants

The reductions of germination of treated seeds can be explained by the problem of water absorption by seeds (Mbaiguinam *et al.*, 2006). Onu and Aliyu (1995) and Keita *et al.* (2001) reported that seeds treated with botanical extract/oils did not loose their viability. The present study revealed that no significant harmful effect was observed even at higher concentration. Raja *et al.* (2001), Keita *et al.* (2001) and Sathyaseelan *et al.* (2008) reported that though various plant products were effective in reducing oviposition and damage of *C. maculatus*, seed quality and germination were not affected. These results are in general agreement with our findings.

The present investigation has brought out the efficacy of *A. calamus* root, *A. nilagirica, C. auriculata, C. siamia, C. aurantium* peel and *P. daemia* against *C. maculatus.* Preparation of these aqueous extracts and application on the seeds are so easy and cheaper. Hence, effectual plant extract can be used as one of the component in Integrated Pest Management especially in small godowns or shop retailer for short term storage.

### REFERENCES

Adebowale, K. O. and Adedire, C. O. 2006. Chemical composition and insecticidal properties of the

underutilized *Jatropha curcas* seed oil. *African Journal* of *Agricultural Research*, **5**(10): 901-906.

- Ajayi, F. A. and Wintola, H. U. 2006. Suppression of the cowpea bruchid (*Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) infesting stored cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.)) seeds with some edible plant product powders. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 9(8): 1454-1459.
- Annie Bright, A., Babu, A., Ignacimuthu, S. and Dorn, S. 2001. Efficacy of Andrographis peniculata Nees. On Callosobruchus chinensis L. during post harvest storage of cowpea. Indian Journal of Experimental Biology, 39: 715-718.
- Boeke, S. J., Baumagart, I. R., Van Loon, J. J. A., Van Huis, A., Dicke, M. and Kossou, D. K. 2004. Toxicity and repellence of African plants traditionally used for the protection of stored cowpea against *Callosobruchus maculatus*. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 40: 423-438.
- Elhag, E. A. 2000. Deterrent effects of some botanical products on oviposition of the cowpea bruchid, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *International Journal of Pest Management*, **46**(2): 109-113.
- Golob, P. and Webley, D. J. 1980. The use of plants and minerals as traditional protectants of stored products. *Report of the Tropical Products Institute*, G (138): 32 PP.
- Govindan, K. and Jeyarajan Nelson, S. 2008. Effect of ten plant powders on mortality, oviposition, adult emergence and seed weight loss on pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Hexapoda*, **15**(1): 64-66.
- Huignard, J., Leroi, B., Alzouma, I. And Germain, J. F., 1985. Oviposition and development of *Bruchidius* atrolineatus and Callosobruchus maculatus in Vigna unguiculata in cultures in Nigera. Insect Science Application, 6: 691-699.
- Jayakumar, M., Raja, N. and Ignacimuthu, S. 2003. Efficacy of crude extracts of *Hyptis suaveolens* and *Melochia* corchorifolia on pulse beetle Callosobruchus maculates. In: Biological control of Insect Pests. (Ignacimuthu, S. and Jeyaraj, S. eds.). Phoenix Publishing House, New Delhi, 218-221 PP.
- Jayakumar, M., John William, S. and Ignacimuthu, S. 2005a. Evaluation of some plant extracts on the oviposition deterrent and adult emergence activity of *Callosobruchus maculates* F. (Bruchidae: Coleoptera). *Pestology*, 29(1): 37-41.
- Jayakumar, M., Elumalai, K., Jeyasankar, A., Raja, N. and Ignacimuthu, S. 2005b. Biological activity of *Hyptis*



Oviposition deterrent and adult emergence activities of plant extracts

suaveolens Poit (Lamiaceae) and Melochia chorcorifolia L. (Sterculiaceae)on cowpea weevil, Callosobruchus maculates F. (Bruchidae: Coleoptera). Journal of Entomological Research, **29**(4): 265-269.

- Jilani, G, Khan, M. M. I. and Ghiasuddin. A. L. 1988. Studies on insecticidal activity of some indigenous plant materials against the pulse weevil *Callosobruchus* analis (F.). Pakistan Journal of Entomology, **3**: 21-29.
- Keita, S. M., Vincent, C., Schmit, J. P., Arnason, J. T., Belanger, A. 2001. Efficacy of essential oil of Ocimum basilicum L. and O. gratissimum L. applied as an insecticidal fumigant and powder to control Callosobruchus maculatus (Fab.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Journal of Stored Products Research, 37(4): 339-349.
- Mbaiguinam, M., Maoura, N., Bianpambe, A., Bona, G. and Alladoumbaye, E. 2006. Effects of six common plant seed oils on survival, eggs lying and development of the cowpea weevil, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.). *Journal of Biological Sciences*, **6**(2): 420-425.
- Meera Srivastava and Lalita Gupta, 2007. Effect of formulations of *Solanum surratense* (Family: Solnaceae) an Indian desert plant on oviposition by the pulse beetle *Callosobruchus chinensis* Linn. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, **2**(10): 552-554.
- Ngamo, T. S. L., Ngatanko, I., Ngassoum, M. B., Mapongmestsem, P. M. and Hance, T. 2007. Persistence of insecticidal activities of crude essential oils of three aromatic plants towards four major stored product insect pests. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, **2**(4): 173-177.
- Olaifa, J. I. and Erhun, W. O. 1998. Laboratory evaluation of *Piper guineense* for the protection of cowpea against *Callosobruchus maculatus*. *Insect Science and Its Application*, **9:** 55-59.
- Onu, I. and Aliyu, M. 1995. Evaluation of powdered fruits of four peppers (*Capsicum* spp.) for the control of *Callosobruchus maculatus* on stored cowpea seed. *International Journal of Pest Management*, **41** (3): 143-145.
- Othira, J. O., Onek, L. A., Deng, L. A. and Omolo, E. O. 2009. Insecticidal potency of *Hyptis spicigera* preparation against *Sitophilus zeamais* (I.) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) on stored maize grains. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, **4**(3): 187-192.
- Ouedraogo, A. P., Sou, S., Sanon, A., Monge, J. P., Huignard, J., Tran, B. and Crdland, P. F. 1996. Influence of temperature and humidity on population of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae)

and its parasitoid *Dinarmus basalis* (Pteromalidae) in two climatic zones of Burkina Faso. *Bulletin Entomological Research*, **86:** 695-702.

- Prabu Seenivasan, S., Jayakumar, M., Raja, N. and Ignacimuthu, S. 2004. Efficacy of bitter apple (*Citrullus* colocynthis) seed extracts against pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus* Fab. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Entomon*, **29**(1): 81-84.
- Rahman, A. and Talukder, F. A. 2006. Bio efficacy of some plant derivatives that protect grain against the pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus*. *Journal of Insect Science*, 6(3): 19-25.
- Raja, N., Babu, A., Dorn, S. and Ignacimuthu, S. 2001. Potential of plants for protecting stored pulses from *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) infestation. *Biological Agriculture and Horticulture*, **19:** 19-27.
- Rajapakse, R. H. S. 1996. The effect of four botanicals on the oviposition and adult emergence of *Calloso* bruchus maculatus (F.). (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Entomon, 21: 211.
- Ranjana Saxena and Beenam Saxena, 2000. Bioactivity of certain plant extracts against Callosobruchus maculatus (Fab.). Journal of Applied Zoological Research, 11(1): 29-32.
- Sadeghi, A., Van Damme, E. J. M., Peumans, W. J., Smagghe, G. 2006. Deterrent activity of plant lectins on cowpea weevil *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) oviposition. *Phytochemistry*, 67: 2078–2084.
- Sathyaseelan, V., Baskaran, V. and Mohan, S. 2008. Efficacy of some indigenous pesticidal plants against pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.) on green gram. *Journal of Entomology*, **5**(2): 128-132.
- Talukder, F. A. and Howse, P. E. 2000. Isolation of secondary plant compounds from Aphanamixis polystachya as feeding deterrents against adults Tribolium castaneum (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Journal of Stored Product Research, 107(5): 395-402.
- Zahra Sahaf, B. and Moharramipour, S. 2008. Fumigant toxicity of *Carum copticum* and *Vitex pseudo-negundo* essential oils against eggs, larvae and adults of *Callosobruchus maculatus. Journal Pest Science*, 81(4): 213-220.

#### M. Jayakumar

Sun Agro Biotech Research Centre, 3/340, Main Road, Madanandapuram, Porur, Chennai - 600 116, Phone: 9444108483, Fax: 091-44-55134954, E-Mail: jaismohai@gmail.com

# 329