

# Status of biopesticides for control of house flies

## Christopher J. Geden

# ABSTRACT

House flies (Musca domestica L.) have resisted human attempts to control them since antiquity, and the global problem of fly resistance to conventional insecticides has resulted in renewed interest in biopesticides as alternative management tools. Entomopathogenic nematodes such as Steinernema and Heterorhabditis spp. and their associated symbionts are virulent for fly larvae in certain substrates (e.g., cow manure mixed with soil), but the harsh environments presented by poultry and swine manure are inimical to their survival. Entomophthora muscae is an important natural regulator of fly populations, but constraints imposed by production, storage stability, and slow kill rate have limited its use as an operational control agent. Beauveria bassiana has many advantages and has been developed into commercial fly control products. B. bassiana is compatible with other biological agents and strains with superior kill rates have been identified. Field tests of this pathogen in poultry houses and calf hutches have been largely positive. New developments in genetic modification of B. bassiana could lead to new faster-acting biopesticide products that are competitive with conventional insecticides. Early research with exotoxin-producing strains of *Bacillus thuringiensis* was promising, but the shift in emphasis to endotoxin-only strains with high activity against Lepidoptera limited discovery of fly-active strains. Surveys have suggested that strains with high levels of the Cry1B endotoxin are more virulent than other strains for muscoid flies. Recent successes with B. thuringiensis var. israelensis on poultry farms suggest that Bti warrants further study. House fly salivary gland hypertrophy virus (MdSGHV) has the appealing property of shutting down reproductive development in adult flies but attempts to develop infective baits have been hampered by the refractoriness of older flies to oral infection. Space sprays to treat flies directly may have more potential for delivering MdSGHV into fly populations. Essential oils with substantial amounts of 1,8-cineole, pulegone, limonene, and menthol have high toxicity against fly adults. Combinations of house fly-active oils (e.g., rosemary, peppermint, pennyroyal mint, blue gum, bay laurel) could be more effective than products that focus on single active constituents. New formulations and possible use of synergists could increase the efficacy of botanicals for fly control.

Key words: Biopesticides, essential oils, house fly, microbial control, Musca domestica

# INTRODUCTION

The house fly, *Musca domestica* L., is a worldwide pest of agricultural and public health importance that has plagued humans throughout recorded history (West, 1951). The ability of the fly to develop in a vast array of patchily distributed and ephemeral organic larval substrates has enabled it to exploit virtually any area inhabited by humans and their associated animals. Adult flies pose nuisance problems to farm workers and neighboring residents. More importantly, the habit of adult flies to defecate and regurgitate on animal and human food led to the early recognition of their role as vectors of human and animal pathogens, especially those responsible for enteric diseases (Howard, 1911). Recent concerns about food-borne human illnesses have led to increased documentation of the role of flies in spreading

disease-causing organisms, especially *Escherichia coli*, *Shigella* spp., and *Salmonella* spp. (Nayduch and Stuzenberger, 2001; Ahmad *et al.*, 2007; Macovei *et al.*, 2008; Holt *et al.*, 2007). Pathogen-carrying flies are commonly found around human and animal waste and landfills, from which they disperse to areas of human habitation and activity (Moriya *et al.*, 1999; Sulaiman *et al.*, 2000; Mian *et al.*, 2002).

House flies are notorious for their ability to develop behavioral and metabolic mechanisms to avoid and detoxify chemical insecticides. Resistance to DDT was noticed within a few years of its introduction (Varzandeh *et al.*, 1954; Perry, 1958). New chemistries were introduced over the years, and the flies responded by developing resistance to organophosphate, carbamate, and pyrethroid insecticides (Boxler and Campbell, 1983; Plapp, 1984; Scott and Georghiou, 1986; Scott *et al.*, 1989; Kaufman et al., 2001b; Butler et al., 2007; Kozaki et al., 2009; Memmi, 2010) as well as to growth regulators such as diflubenzuron and cyromazine (Bloomcamp et al., 1987; Shen and Plapp, 1990). The use of continuous delivery systems such as feed-throughs and frequent use of residual premise treatments has exacerbated the problem, resulting in efficacy losses of once-effective materials such as cyromazine and permethrin, respectively (Sheppard et al., 1990). Crossresistance also poses challenges and can shorten the effective life of what were thought to be novel chemistries. For example, the avermectins were thought to have a novel mode of action, and initial tests against house fly were encouraging (Geden et al., 1990; Geden et al., 1992b), but flies with high levels of pyrethroid (e.g. Ectiban and related products) resistance showed high levels of cross-resistance to abamectin (Scott, 1989).

During the past 10 years, several promising new insecticides were introduced in bait form that, at least for a time, provided control of populations that were resistant to older chemistries. Spinosad (Elector) and neonicotinoids, such as imidacloprid (QuickBayt) and nithiazine (Quick Strike), were highly effective at the time of their introduction to the market. However, resistance to spinosad was documented almost immediately after market entry (Shono and Scott, 2003; Deacutis et al., 2006). Imidacloprid has essentially become a victim of its own success. At the time of its introduction in 2004, the QuickBayt products containing imidacloprid provided such superior performance and such a rapid fly knockdown that it quickly dominated the fly control market. Sprayable formulations of this sugar bait product followed soon afterwards. Early warning signs of resistance appeared within 2 years of product rollout (Kaufman et al., 2006) and have now reached levels where product failure is imminent (Kaufman et al., 2010a,b; Memmi, 2010).

There are a number of alternatives to conventional chemical insecticides for fly control. Histerid beetles and macrochelid mites feed ravenously on fly eggs and young larvae and have been studied extensively (reviewed by Geden, 1990; Kaufman et al., 2000, 2001a, 2002; Achiano and Giliomee, 2005). Larvae of some species of the fly genus Hydrotaea (Ophyra) are facultative predators of house fly larvae that have been used for fly control (Nolan and Kissam, 1987; Geden et al., 1988; Turner and Carter, 1990; Turner et al., 1992; Hogsette and Washington, 1995; Farkas et al., 1998; Hogsette et al., 2002). Pteromalid parasitoids that attack the fly in the pupal stage are the best known fly biocontrol agents and have been used extensively for operational fly control for decades (Morgan et al., 1975; Rutz and Axtell, 1979; Morgan and Patterson, 1990; Geden et al., 1992a; Skovgaard and Nachman, 2004; Geden and Hogsette, 2006). Biopesticides in the broad sense including microbial agents and botanicals have received less attention and are the subject of this review.

#### Nematodes

Steinernematids and Heterorhabditids. Steinernematid and heterrhabditid nematodes have been studied extensively for control of filth flies, with mixed results. In laboratory studies using substrates that are favorable for nematode survival, fly larvae are highly susceptible to most of the entomogenous nematodes that have been tested (Renn et al., 1985; Geden et al., 1986; Mullens et al., 1987a; Taylor et al., 1998). However, results on more natural substrates have generally been disappointing. An early report suggested that nematodes were effective for controlling fly populations in British Columbia poultry houses (Belton et al., 1987), but several other studies have demonstrated that the nematodes perform poorly in poultry and pig manure (Geden et al., 1986; Georgis et al., 1987; Mullens et al., 1987a; Renn, 1995, 1998). Cow manure, especially when mixed with soil or bedding, may be a more suitable habitat for nematode use (Taylor et al., 1998). Adult flies are less susceptible to parasitism than larvae on treated filter paper but can be infected by visiting bait stations with parasites (Renn et al., 1985; Renn, 1998). In spite of these mixed results, nematodes are widely available from commercial sources that promote their effectiveness for control of fly larvae.

Paraiotonchium muscadomesticae. The life cycle of P. muscadomesticae is similar to that of P. (Heterotylenchus) autumnalis in the face fly, Musca autumnalis (Coler and Nguyen, 1994; Geden, 1997). Young adult nematodes are deposited from the ovaries of infected female flies into fly breeding habitats, where they mate and females seek mature fly larvae. Mated female nematodes penetrate the larval cuticle and enter the haemacoel. As the fly develops into the adult stage the nematodes go through first a parthenogenetic and then a gametogenetic generation resulting in the production of ca. 30,000 nematodes per fly. The nematodes then move into the ovaries of the fly where they are deposited during "mock oviposition" events. Infected flies live about half as long as uninfected flies and do not produce any eggs. The parasite, which has only been found in Brazil, appears to be fairly specific for house flies. So far, two attempts to get P. muscaedomesticae established outside its home range have been unsuccessful, but it may have potential as a biopesticide if appropriate production, formulation, and storage methods can be developed.

### Fungi

*Entomophthora muscae* complex. Adult house flies are susceptible to infection with the fungal pathogens

#### Management of house flies

Entomophthora muscae and E. schizophorae, which typically kill the flies 4-6 days after exposure to conidia. Flies become infected when exposed to conidia discharged from cadavers of infected flies. The intensity and duration of conidial discharge and the survival of conidia depends on temperature and relative humidity (Mullens and Rodriguez, 1985; Krasnoff et al., 1995; Six and Mullens, 1996; Madeira, 1998; Kalsbeek et al., 2001a). Natural epizootics are common in the fall months in temperate regions, with infection rates commonly exceeding 50% (Mullens et al., 1987b; Watson and Petersen, 1993; Steinkraus et al., 1993; Six and Mullens, 1996). Although E. muscae is an important natural regulator of fly populations it remains unclear whether this pathogen can be manipulated as a biopesticide. Mass-rearing methods have been developed to produce large numbers of infected flies, and field releases of E. muscae and E. schizophorae have resulted in increased disease prevalence (Kramer and Steinkraus, 1987; Steinkraus et al., 1993; Geden et al., 1993; Six and Mullens, 1996). The impact of releases on fly control may be dampened by the need for high fly populations to sustain epizootics (Geden et al., 1993) and by the ability of the flies to mitigate the effects of infection by resting in warm areas to raise their body temperature (behavioral fever) (Kalsbeek et al., 2001b; Watson et al., 1993).

Beauveria and Metarhizium. Field populations of house flies and stable flies usually have low rates of infection with B. bassiana and M. anisopliae (Steinkraus et al., 1990; Skovgaard and Steenberg, 2002). In laboratory bioassays, larval and adult flies are highly susceptible to these entomopathogens. Virulence varies widely depending on strain and formulation, and adult house flies are particularly susceptible to sugar baits with B. bassiana conidia (Kuramoto and Shimazu, 1992; Geden et al., 1995; Watson et al., 1995; Darwish and Zayed, 2002; Lecuona et al., 2005). Laboratory and field data indicate that use of entomopathogenic fungi is compatible with other natural enemies including C. pumilio and the parasitoids Spalangia cameroni and Muscidifurax raptor (Geden et al., 1995; Kaufman et al., 2005; Nielsen et al., 2005). Although much of the research in this area has concentrated on B. bassiana, some strains of M. anisopliae have been demonstrated to have superior performance against both adult and larval house flies (Mishra et al., 2011).

Data on efficacy under field conditions are limited but encouraging. Watson *et al.* (1996) applied *B. bassiana* to the inside walls of calf hutches and observed up to 47% infection among house flies in the treated hutches. Kaufman *et al.* (2005) found that space sprays with *B. bassiana* in poultry houses provided fly control comparable to that observed in houses treated with pyrethrin. Three weekly aerosol conidial applications in Venezuelan poultry sheds provided 100% control of adult flies, although fly populations recovered quickly once treatments were stopped (Cova *et al.*, 2009a,b). *B. bassiana* is commercially produced for fly control in the US under the trade name BalEnce (http://www.terregena.com).

One disadvantage of B. bassiana and M. anisopliae is the rather long time that is required to kill the host, typically 4-6 days. However, a recent comparison of 34 strains identified several with  $LT_{50}$ 's of less than 24 hours (Mwamburi *et al.* 2011a). Such rapid kill rates would place B. bassiana biopesticides in a more competitive position relative to conventional chemical insecticides. Further increases in kill rates could be achieved by genetic modification of the pathogen to accelerate cuticular penetration. The potential for this approach was demonstrated by Fan et al. (2010), who fused a Bombyx mori chitinase to a protease in B. bassiana. The chimeric pathogen was substantially more virulent than the wild-type, presumably because of improved binding and delivery of proteases to the target cuticle. This is an exciting development that could lead to significant improvements in efficacy of biopesticides based on this agent.

# Bacteria

Early work with Bacillus thuringensis against filth flies was encouraging. For instance, considerable maggot control was achieved by feeding Bt spore formulations to cattle and chickens and thereby delivering the bacteria to fly breeding sites in manure (Burns et al., 1961; Miller et al., 1971). Promising results were also obtained by mixing Bt directly with fly breeding substrates (Rupes et al., 1987). In these early studies, exotoxin-producing Bt strains were used, and flies were more susceptible than most other insect orders to the exotoxin (Carlberg, 1986). However, resistance to exotoxins developed quickly in house flies that were already resistant to chemical insecticides (Harvey and Howell, 1965; Wilson and Burns, 1968). Moreover, safety concerns over vertebrate toxicity led to a prohibition on the use of exotoxin-containing Bt products in the US in the mid 1980's (McClintock et al., 1995; Tsai et al., 2003).

When the focus shifted to *Bt* strains that do not produce beta-exotoxins the results with flies were often disappointing, possibly because the discovery process favored strains producing delta-endotoxins with high activity against Lepidoptera (Al-Azawi and Jabbr, 1989; Lonc *et al.*, 1991; Sims, 1997). Indrasith *et al.* (1992) identified several strains with good activity against adult house flies. Subsequently, Johnson *et al.* (1998) identified other strains with activity against house flies and determined that the endotoxin Cry1B was found in all the *Musca domestica*-active strains. The Cry1B endotoxin may be the key item in the activity of these strains for higher flies (Zhong *et al.*, 2000; Lysyk *et al.*, 2010). The Cry1B producing YBT-226 strain, for instance, is a proprietary strain owned by Dupont with high fly activity (Zhong *et al.*, 2000). The *cry1B* gene is also present in the fly active strains HD2 and HD-290, of which there is a mutant (HD-290-1 a.k.a. HD-290-I) that produces only Cry1B (Brizzard *et al.*, 1991). These results suggest that a screen of *Bt* isolates with the Cry1B toxin could reveal strains with superior activity against house fly.

Lately there has been increased interest in the discovery and use of *Bt* strains for fly control. Promising new strains have been identified in Korea (Choi *et al.*, 2000; Oh *et al.*, 2004). Labib and Rady (2001) reported that *Bt* was more effective for fly control on Egyptian poultry farms when it was added to the birds' food than when it was applied directly to the manure. Similar results were noted on South African poultry farms using a locally obtained strain of *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* (*Bti*) (Mwamburi *et al.*, 2009, 2011b). Earlier testing with *Bti* indicated that this subspecies had little effect on flies, either because of gut pH conditions or lack of receptors for the endotoxins in most strains (Vankova, 1981; Wilton and Klowden, 1985). The recent successes in South Africa suggest that *Bti* should be reexamined for use against house flies.

# MdSGHV virus

Salivary gland hypertrophy virus of house flies (MdSGHV) is one of three members of the Hytrosaviridae, a recently described family that includes pathogens of adult house flies, tsetse flies (Glossina spp.), and the narcissus bulb fly (Merodon equestris Fabr.) (Abd-Alla et al., 2009; Lietze et al., 2011a). The virus infecting house flies is an enveloped, double stranded, circular DNA virus with a 124,279 bp genome (Garcia-Maruniak et al., 2008, 2009). MdSGHV was first discovered infecting flies at a dairy farm in central Florida in the early 1990's (Coler et al., 1993). Infected flies do not exhibit any external disease symptoms. The most conspicuous feature of infection is the presence of greatly enlarged (hypertrophied) salivary glands with a blue-whitish appearance that often dominate the abdominal cavity of the fly when dissected. Both sexes can be infected, with somewhat higher prevalence rates in males. Viral replication and morphogenesis is restricted to salivary gland cells, although complete virions are also found in asymptomatic tissues such as midgut, ovaries, fat body and brain (Lietze et al., 2010). The ovaries of females that are infected as young flies do not develop, probably because infection blocks hexamerin and yolk protein gene transcription (Lietze et al., 2007). Infected flies of both sexes have reduced mating success and shorter life spans than healthy flies (Lietze et al., 2007). Stable fly (Stomoxys calcitrans), which occurs sympatrically with house fly, supports viral replication in the laboratory but does not show the classic symptoms of salivary gland hypertrophy (Geden *et al.*, 2011b).

Because of its recent discovery, little is known about the ecology and epizootiology of MdSGHV in the field. Coler *et al.* (1993) found infection rates on a Florida dairy farm to be generally low. In a subsequent field survey, Geden *et al.* (2008) observed that infections were positively correlated with fly abundance, with highest infection rates from June through August. Survey results from the US and Denmark (Geden *et al.*, 2011a) indicate that prevalence is typically low, 0.5-5% but occasional spikes of over 30% infection have been observed. Although most of the research on the virus has been conducted in Florida, infected flies have been collected throughout the world (Prompiboon *et al.*, 2010).

The mechanisms of MdSGHV transmission are still not completely understood. There is no vertical transmission from mothers to progeny, no venereal transmission, and no evidence that flies acquire the infection as immatures (Lietze et al., 2007; Geden et al., 2008). Infected females deposit ca. one million virus particles each over a period of seconds when they feed on solid foods (Lietze et al., 2009). Healthy flies can become infected when they are given food or water from cages of infected flies, and even when they are housed in cages from infected flies and given clean food and water supplies (Geden et al., 2008). Viable virus particles pass through the alimentary tract of infected flies and are deposited with feces, albeit at low rates (Lietze et al., 2009). These elements are all suggestive of oral acquisition by healthy flies when they cofeed with infected flies. However, flies are only susceptible to oral infection during a narrow window after adult emergence; the peritrophic matrix of older flies renders them largely refractory to oral transmission (Lietze et al., 2009). When viremic flies are introduced into a population of healthy flies and monitored over time, the result is decreasing infection levels until a stasis level of ca. 10% is reached (Lietze et al., 2011b). The opportunities for using MdSGHV as a biopesticide in a food bait appears to be limited. However, it has been discovered recently that flies are surprisingly susceptible to infection when they make direct contact with low-dose aqueous virus suspensions (Geden et al., 2011a). Large numbers of infected flies can be produced easily with this method and virus suspensions can be applied as space sprays with conventional mist blowers and other equipment. Further research with new formulations to improve stability, shelf life and adherence to target flies could greatly improve prospects for use of MdSGHV as an operational biopesticide.

## **Botanicals**

Essential oils are generally known to have fumigant insecticidal properties, and the mode of action may involve elements of acetylcholinesterese inhibition and octopaminergic effects (Isman, 2000). Additional effects can be seen in behavior modification (attraction/repellency) and contact toxicity for different life stages (Koul et al., 2008). Natural oils are complexes of many biologically active constituents including terpenes, acyclic monoterpene alcohols, monocyclic alcohols, aliphatic aldehydes, aromatic phenols, monocyclic ketones, bicyclic monoterpenic ketones, acids, and esters (Koul et al., 2008). The composition of oils from a particular plant species can be affected by the plant tissues extracted, cultivar variation, climatic and growth conditions, and the methods used for extraction and analysis. For this reason, there have been considerable efforts to examine the effects of individual components that are common to those essential oils known to have insecticidal properties (Isman, 2000; Koul et al., 2008).

Preparations of plant materials have long been used to kill or repel flies. Over 100 years ago, Howard (1911) described a method for making a fly adulticide from quassia (*Quassia amara*) wood that he had seen in "old dispensaries". He also pointed out that "the butchers in Geneva have from time immemorial prevented flies from approaching... by the use of laurel oil". Essential oils of bay laurel (*Laurus nobilis*) include large 1,8-cineol (eucalyptus) and linalool fractions (Palacios *et al.*, 2009b). With the advent of synthetic chemical insecticides there was little research on botanical extracts until resistance problems in house flies became acute in the 1970's.

Sharma and Saxena (1974) evaluated the effects of a range of individual terpenoids on house flies and found a wide variety of effects. Some acted as attractants but had inhibitory effects on embryonic or larval development (eugenol and fernesol) whereas others repelled gravid females and inhibited embryonic/larval development. Fly responses to terpenoids were highly dose-dependent, and some were attractive at low concentrations but repellent at high ones. Larvicidal effects of the tested materials were modest at all doses. In another study, neem extracts and refined azadirachtin were moderately toxic to larvae of the horn fly (Haematobia irritans), but doses required to control house fly larvae were deemed too high to be practical at the time (Miller and Chamberlain, 1989). Khan and Ahmed (2000) later observed up to 85% mortality of adult house flies after exposure to neem extract, which suggests that this product warrants further study. Ezeonu et al. (2001) found that extracts of sweet orange peels (Citrus sinensis) were effective as fumigants against adult flies. Malik et al. (2007) provided an excellent review of the status of botanicals against house fly at that time.

In the past few years there has been renewed interest in the topic of essential oils for fly control. Palacios et al. (2009a,b) examined the efficacy of essential oils of 21 medicinal and edible plants against house fly. Of the edible plants, essential oils from orange peel and eucalyptus leaves were the most toxic to flies; the principal components of these oils were limonene (92.5%) and 1,8-cineole (56.9%), respectively. Of the medicinal plants, the most toxic to house flies were those whose essential oils were high in pulegone, menthone, limonene, and 1,8-cineole. In a survey of 34 plants conducted by Pavela (2008), essential oils of rosemary (Rosemarinus officinalis) and pennyroyal mint (Mentha pulegium) had high activity against adult flies in both fumigant and contact toxicity assays. Pennyroyal mint was the most effective overall, and GC/MS analysis of the extract indicated that pulegone made up 83.3% of the extract. Pulegone is also highly toxic to larvae of Aedes aegypti L. (Waliwitiya et al., 2008). Oil of rosemary is high in pinene and 1,8-cineole (Jamshidi et al., 2009). Essential oils of peppermint (Mentha piperita) and blue gum (Eucalyptus globulus) were the most effective of 6 plant extracts examined by Kumar et al. (2011) and had both insecticidal and repellent properties. Application of an emulsifiable concentrate formulation of peppermint oil in field tests resulted in over 95% control of house flies (Kumar et al., 2011). The principal components of peppermint oil are menthone (20.9%) and menthol (41.5%) (Palacios et al., 2009b). As part of an assessment of plants native to Chile, Urzua et al. (2010) recently found that essential oils from Haplopappus foliosus (Asteraceae) had high activity against adult house flies; limonene was the most abundant component in the extract.

Taken together, these results do not point to any single component of essential oils that stand out as the critical element that accounts for activity against house flies. Complex interactions may occur among major and minor constituents in an unforeseen manner that affect insecticidal activity. Similarly, mixtures of essential oils from different plants may have higher activity than individual extracts in ways that are difficult to predict. A new product on the US market, EcoExempt IC, is a combination of essential oils of rosemary and peppermint. Unpublished results in our laboratory indicate that this combination is effective as a space spray and a residual surface treatment for house fly adults. Judicious use of synergists could improve efficacy further. Addition of piperonyl butoxide can reduce the  $LC_{50}$  of essential oils and their individual constituents by several orders of magnitude (Waliwitiya et al., 2008). Further research on blends of essential oils and improved formulations and delivery systems

### Christopher J. Geden

could lead to substantial improvements in the performance of botanicals for house fly control.

## REFERENCES

- Abd-Alla, A. M. M., Vlak, J. M., Bergoin, M., Maruniak, J. E., Parker, A., Burand, J. P., Jehle, J. A. and Boucias, D. G. 2009. Hytrosaviridae: a proposal for classification and nomenclature of a new insect virus family. *Archives of Virology*, **154**: 909-918.
- Achiano, K. A. and Giliomee, J. H. 2005. Biology of the house fly predator *Carcinops pumilio* (Erichson) (Coleoptera: Histeridae). *Biocontrol*, **50**: 899-910.
- Ahmad, A., Nagaraja, T. G. and Zurek, L. 2007. Transmission of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 to cattle by house flies. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 80: 74-81.
- Al-Azawi, B. and Jabbr, L. 1989. The effects of different concentrations of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner on house fly *Musca domestica* L. *Bulletin of Endemic Diseases*, **30**: 69-73.
- Belton, P., Rutherford, T. A., Trotter, D. B. and Webster, J. M. 1987. *Heterorhabditis heliothidis*: A potential biological control agent of house flies in caged-layer poultry barns. *Journal of Nematology*, **19**: 263-266.
- Bloomcamp, C. L., Patterson, R. S. and Koehler, P. G. 1987. Cyromazine resistance in the housefly (Diptera: Muscidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, **80**: 352-357.
- Boxler, D. J. and Campbell, J. B. 1983. Survey of resistance by housefly, *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera: Muscidae), to dichlorvos in Nebraska feedlots. *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society*, **56**: 159-163.
- Brizzard, B. L., Schnepf, H. E. and Kronstad, J. W. 1991. Expression of the *cryIB* crystal protein gene of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Molecular and General Genetics*, 231: 59-64.
- Burns, E. C., Tower, B. A. and Wilson, B. H. 1961. Effect of feeding *Bacillus thuringiensis* to caged layers for fly control. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 54: 913-921.
- Butler, S. M., Gerry, A. C. and Mullens, B. A. 2007. House fly (Diptera: Muscidae) activity near baits containing (Z)-9tricosene and efficacy of commercial toxic fly baits on a southern California dairy. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, **100**: 1489-1495.
- Carlberg, G. 1986. *Bacillus thuringiensis* and microbial control of flies. *Journal of Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **2**: 267-274.
- Choi, C. S., Chung, Y. S., Kim, W. Y. and Chung, S. I. 2000. Isolation of *Bacillus sphaericus* and *Bacillus thuringiensis* displaying insecticidal activity against mosquito (*Culex pipiens*) and house fly (*Musca domestica*). Korean Journal of Veterinary and Public Health, 24: 169-180.

- Coler, R. R. and Nguyen, K. B. 1994. Paraiotonchium muscadomesticae n. sp. (Tylenchida: Iotonchidae) a parasite of house fly (Musca domestica) in Brazil and key to species of the genus Paraiotonchium. Journal of Nematology, 26: 392-401.
- Coler, R. R., Boucias, D. G., Frank, J. H., Maruniak, J. E., Garcia-Canedo, A. and Pendland, J. C. 1993. Characterization and description of a virus causing salivary gland hyperplasia in the housefly, *Musca domestica. Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, 7: 275-282.
- Cova, L. J., Scorza, J. V., García, D. E., Cañizales, L. M., Guedez, C. C., Avendaño, M. L. and Medina, M. G. 2009a. Efecto de *Beauveria bassiana*, *Beauveria brongniartii* y la aplicación de gasoil en el control de moscas caseras en galpones avícolas. Avances en Investigación Agropecuaria, 13: 41-54.
- Cova, L. J., Scorza-Dagert, J. V., Garcia, D. E., Cañizales, L. M., Guedez, C. C., Avendaño, M. L. and Medina, M. G. 2009b.
  Efecto de *Beauveria bassiana*, *Beauveria brongniartii* en el control de moscas (*Musca domestica*) en condiciones de laboratorio y en galpones avícolas. *Boletin de Malariaologigia y Salud Ambiental*, **49**: 151-160.
- Darwish, E. and Zayed, A. 2002. Pathogenicity of two entomopathogenic hyphomycetes, *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae*, to the housefly *Musca domestica* L. *Journal of the Egyptian Society for Parasitology*, **32**: 785-796.
- Deacutis, J. M., Leichter, C. A., Gerry, A. C., Rutz, D. A., Watson, W. D., Geden, C. J. and Scott, J. G. 2006. Susceptibility of field collected house flies to spinosad before and after a season of use. *Journal of Agricultural and Urban Entomology*, 23: 105-110.
- Ezeonu, F. C., Chidune, G. I. and Idedi, S. C. 2001. Insecticidal properties of volatile extracts of orange peels. *Bioresource Technology*, **76**: 273-274.
- Fan, Y., Pei, X., Guo, S., Zhang, Y., Luo, Z., Liao, X. and Pei, Y. 2010. Increased virulence using engineered proteasechitin binding domain hybrid expressed in the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana*. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, **49**: 376-380.
- Farkas, R., Hogsette, J. A. and Boerzsoenyi, L. 1998. Development of *Hydrotaea aenescens* and *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) in poultry and pig manures of different moisture content. *Environmental Entomology*, 27: 695-699.
- Garcia-Maruniak, A., Maruniak, J. E., Farmerie, W. and Boucias, D. G. 2008. Sequence analysis of a non-classified, nonoccluded DNA virus that causes salivary gland hypertrophy of *Musca domestica*, MdSGHV. *Virology*, 377: 184-186.

- Garcia-Maruniak, A., Abd-Alla, A. M. M., Salem, T. Z., Parker, A., Lietze, V.-U. van Oers, M. M., Maruniak, J. E., Kim, W., Burand, J. P., Cousserans, F., Robinson, A., Vlak, J. M., Bergoin, M. and Boucias, D. G. 2009. Two viruses that cause salivary gland hypertrophy in *Glossina pallidipes* and *Musca domestica* are related and form a distinct phylogenetic clade. *Journal of General Virology*, **90**: 334-346.
- Geden, C. J. 1990. The role of coleopteran and acarine predators in house fly population regulation in poultry production facilities. In: *Biocontrol of Arthropods Affecting Livestock and Poultry* (Rutz, D. A. and Patterson, R. S., eds.), Westview Press, Boulder, 177-200 PP.
- Geden, C. J. 1997. Evaluation of *Paraiotonchium muscadomesticae*, a potential biological control agent of the house fly. *Biological Control*, **10**: 42-47.
- Geden, C. J. and Hogsette, J. A. 2006. Suppression of house flies (Diptera: Muscidae) in Florida poultry houses by sustained releases of *Muscidifurax raptorellus* and *Spalangia cameroni* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). *Environmental Entomology*, **35**: 75-82.
- Geden, C. J., Axtell, R. C. and Brooks, W. M. 1986. Susceptibility of the house fly, *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) to the entomogenous nematodes *Steinernema feltiae*, *S. glaseri* (Steinernematidae) and *Heterorhabditis heliothidis* (Heterorhabditidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 23: 326-332.
- Geden, C. J., Stinner, R. E. and Axtell, R C. 1988. Predation by predators of the house fly in poultry manure: effects of predator density, feeding history, interspecific interference, and field conditions. *Environmental Entomology*, **17**: 320-329.
- Geden, C. J., Steinkraus, D. C., Long, S. J., Rutz, D. A. and Shoop, W. L. 1990. Susceptibility of insecticidesusceptible and wild house flies (Diptera: Muscidae) to abamectin on whitewashed and unpainted wood. *Journal* of Economic Entomology, 83: 1935-1939.
- Geden, C. J., Steinkraus, D. C., Miller, R. W. and Rutz, D. A. 1992a. Suppression of house flies on New York and Maryland dairies using *Muscidifurax raptor* in an integrated management program. *Environmental Entomology*, 21: 1419-1426.
- Geden, C. J., Rutz, D. A., Scott, J. G. and Long, S. J. 1992b. Susceptibility of house flies (Diptera: Muscidae) and five pupal parasitoids (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) to abamectin and seven commercial insecticides. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 85: 435-440.
- Geden, C. J., Steinkraus, D. C. and Rutz, D. A. 1993. Evaluation of two methods for release of *Entomophthora muscae* (Entomophthorales: Entomophthoraceae) to infect house

- Geden, C. J., Rutz, D. A. and Steinkraus, D. C. 1995. Virulence of different isolates and formulations of *Beauveria bassiana* for house flies and the parasitoid *Muscidifurax raptor*. *Biological Control*, 5: 615-621.
- Geden, C. J., Lietze, V.-U. and Boucias, D. G. 2008. Seasonal prevalence and transmission of salivary gland hypertrophy virus of house flies (Diptera: Muscidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **45**: 42-51.
- Geden, C. J., Steenberg, T., Lietze, V.-U. and Boucias D. G. 2011a. Salivary gland hypertrophy virus of house flies in Denmark: Prevalence, host range, and comparison with a Florida isolate. *Journal of Vector Ecology*, (in press).
- Geden, C. J., Garcia-Maruniak, A., Lietze, V.–U., Maruniak, J. E. and Boucias, D. G. 2011b. Impact of house fly salivary gland hypertrophy virus (MdSGHV) on a heterologous host, stable fly (*Stomoxys calcitrans*). *Journal of Medical Entomology*, (in press).
- Georgis, R., Mullens, B. A. and Meyer J. A. 1987. Survival and movement of insect parasitic nematodes in poultry manure and their infectivity against *Musca domestica*. *Journal of Nematology*, **19**: 292-295.
- Harvey, T. L. and Howell, D. E. 1965. Resistance of the house fly to *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 7: 92-100.
- Hogsette, J. A. and Washington, F. 1995. Quantitative mass production of *Hydrotaea aenescens* (Diptera: Muscidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 88: 1238-1242.
- Hogsette, J. A., Farkas, R. and Coler, R. R. 2002. Development of *Hydrotaea aenescens* (Diptera: Muscidae) in manure of unweaned dairy calves and lactating cows. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 95: 527-530.
- Holt, P. S., Geden, C. J., Moore, R. W. and Gast, R. K. 2007. Isolation of Salmonella enterica serovar enteriditis from houseflies (Musca domestica) found in rooms containing Salmonella serovar enteriditis-challenged hens. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 73: 6030-6035.
- Howard, L. O. 1911. The House Fly Disease Carrier. Frederick A. Stokes, New York, 312 P.
- Indrasith, L. S., Suzuki, N., Ogiwara, K., Asano, S. and Hori, H. 1992. Activated insecticidal crystal proteins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* serovars killed adult house flies. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 14: 174-177.
- Isman, M. B. 2000. Plant essential oils for pest and disease management. *Crop Protection*, 19: 603-608.
- Jamshidi, R., Afzali, Z. and Afzali, D. 2009. Chemical composition of hydrodistillations of essential oil of rosemary in different origins in Iran and comparison with other countries. *American-Eurasian Journal Agricultural* and Environmental Science, 5: 78-81.

- Johnson, C., Bishop, A. H. and Turner, C. L. 1998. Isolation and activity of strains of *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxic to larvae of the housefly (Diptera: Muscidae) and tropical blowflies (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, **71**: 138-144.
- Kalsbeek, V., Pell, J. K. and Steenberg, T. 2001a. Sporulation by *Entomophthora schizophorae* (Zygomycetes: Entomophthorales) from housefly cadavers and the persistence of primary conidia at constant temperatures and relative humidities. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 77: 149-157.
- Kalsbeek, V., Mullens, B. A. and Jespersen, J. B. 2001b. Field studies of *Entomophthora* (Zygomycetes: Entomophthorales) - induced behavioral fever in *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) in Denmark. *Biological Control*, 21: 264-273.
- Kaufman, P. E., Long, S. J., Rutz, D. A. and Glenister, C. S. 2000. Prey- and density-mediated dispersal in *Carcinops pumilio* (Coleoptera: Histeridae), a predator of house fly (Diptera: Muscidae) eggs and larvae. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **37**: 929-932.
- Kaufman, P. E., Long, S. J., Rutz, D. A. and Glenister, C. S. 2001a. Larval production from field-collected *Carcinops pumilio* (Coleoptera: Histeridae) following three starvation periods. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **38**: 278-281.
- Kaufman, P. E., Scott, J. G. and Rutz, D. A. 2001b. Monitoring insecticide resistance in house flies (Diptera: Muscidae) from New York dairies. *Pest Management Science*, 57: 514-521.
- Kaufman, P. E., Rutz, D. A. and Waldron, J. K. 2002. Seasonal variation in *Carcinops pumilio* (Coleoptera: Histeridae) dispersal and potential for suppression of dispersal behavior. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **39**: 106-111.
- Kaufman, P. E., Reasor, C., Rutz, D. A., Ketzis, J. K. and Arends, J. J. 2005. Evaluation of *Beauveria bassiana* applications against adult house fly, *Musca domestica*, in commercial caged-layer poultry facilities in New York state. *Biological Control*, **33**: 360-367.
- Kaufman, P. E., Gerry, A. C., Rutz, D. A. and Scott, J. G. 2006. Monitoring susceptibility of house flies (*Musca domestica* L.) in the United States to imidacloprid. *Journal of Agricultural and Urban Entomology*, 23: 195-200.
- Kaufman, P. E., Nunez, S., Mann, R. S., Geden, C. J. and Scharf, M. E. 2010a. Nicotinoid and pyrethroid insecticide resistance in house flies (Diptera: Muscidae) collected from Florida dairies. *Pest Management Science*, 66: 290-294.
- Kaufman, P. E., Nunez, S. C., Geden, C. J. and Scharf, M. E. 2010b. Selection for resistance to imidacloprid in the house fly (Diptera: Muscidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, **103**: 1937-1942.

- Khan, M. F. and Ahmed, S. M. 2000. Toxicity of crude neem leaf extract against housefly (*Musca domestica* L.) adults as compared with DDVP, dichlorvos. *Turkish Journal of Zoology*, 24: 219-223.
- Koul, O., Walia, S. and Dhaliwal, G. S. 2008. Essential oils as green pesticides: Potential and constraints. *Biopesticides International*, 4: 63-84.
- Kozaki, T., Brady, S. G. and Scott, J. G. 2009. Frequencies and evolution of organophosphate insensitive acetylcholinesterase alleles in laboratory and field populations of the house fly, *Musca domestica* L. *Pesticide Biochemistry* and Physiology, **95**: 6-11.
- Kramer, J. P. and Steinkraus, D. C. 1987. Experimental induction of the mycosis caused by *Entomophthora muscae* in a population of house flies (*Musca domestica*) in a poultry building. *Journal of the New York Entomological Society*, **95**: 114-117.
- Krasnoff, S. B., Watson, D. W., Gibson, D. M. and Kwan, E. C. 1995. Behavioral effects of the entomopathogenic fungus, *Entomophthora muscae* on its host *Musca domestica*: Postural changes in dying hosts and gated pattern of mortality. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, **41**: 895-903.
- Kumar, P., Mishra, S., Malik, A. and Satya, S. 2011. Repellent, larvicidal and pupicidal properties of essential oils and their formulations against the housefly, *Musca domestica*. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, **25**: 302-310.
- Kuramoto, H. and Shimazu, M. 1992. Pathogenicity of some entomogenous fungi of the adult housefly, *Musca* domestica (Diptera: Muscidae). Japanese Journal of Entomology and Zoology, 36: 202-203.
- Labib, I. M. and Rady, M. 2001. Application of *Bacillus thuringiensis* in poultry houses as a biological control agent against the housefly, *Musca domestica sorbens*. *Journal of the Egyptian Society of Parasitology*, **31**: 531-544.
- Lecuona, R. E., Turica, M., Tarocco, F. and Crespo, D. C. 2005. Microbial control of *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) with selected strains of *Beauveria bassiana*. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **42**: 332-336.
- Lietze, V.U., Geden, C. J., Blackburn, P. and Boucias, D. G. 2007. Effects of salivary gland hypertrophy virus on the reproductive behavior of the housefly, *Musca domestica*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **73**: 6811-6818.
- Lietze, V. U., Sims, K. R., Salem, T. Z., Geden, C. J. and Boucias, D. G. 2009. Transmission of MdSGHV among adult house flies, *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae), occurs via salivary secretions and excreta. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, **101**: 49-55.
- Lietze, V. U., Salem, T. Z., Prompiboon, P. and Boucias, D. G. 2010. Tissue tropism of the *Musca domestica* salivary gland hypertrophy virus. *Virus Research*, **155**: 20-27.

- Lietze, V. U., Abd-Alla, A. M. M., Vreysen, M. J. B., Geden, C. G. and Boucias, D. G. 2011a. Salivary gland hypertrophy viruses: a novel group of insect pathogenic viruses. *Annual Review of Entomology*, **56**: 63-80.
- Lietze, V. U., Geden, C. J., Doyle, M. A. and Boucias, D. G. 2011b. Disease dynamics and persistence of MdSGHVinfections in laboratory house fly (*Musca domestica*) populations. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, (in press).
- Lonc, E., Lachowic, T. M. and Jedryka, U. 1991. Insecticidal activity of some *Bacillus* strains against house fly larvae of *Musca domestica*. *Wiadomoœci Parazytologiczne*, 37: 357-365.
- Lysyk, T. J., Kalischuk-Tymensen, L. D., Rochon, K. and Selinger, L. B. 2010. Activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolates against immature horn fly and stable fly (Diptera: Muscidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, **103**: 1019-1029.
- Macovei, L., Miles, B. and Zurek, L. 2008. The potential of house flies to contaminate ready-to-eat food with antibiotic resistant enterococci. *Journal of Food Protection*, **71**: 432-439.
- Madeira, N. G. 1998. Persistence of conidia of *Entomophthora muscae* in relation to age, temperature, and humidity. *BioControl*, 43: 87-95.
- Malik, A., Singh, N. and Satya, S. 2007. House fly (*Musca domestica*): a review of control strategies for a challenging pest. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part B*, 42: 453-469.
- McClintock, J. T., Schaffer, C. R. and Sjoblad, R. D. 1995. A comparative review of the mammalian toxicity of *Bacillus thuringiensis*-based pesticides. *Pesticide Science*, **45**: 95-105.
- Memmi, B. K. 2010. Mortality and knockdown effects of imidacloprid and methomyl in house fly (*Musca domestica* L., Diptera: Muscidae) populations. *Journal of Vector Ecology*, **35**: 144-148.
- Mian, L. S., Maag, H. and Tacal, J. V. 2002. Isolation of Salmonella from muscoid flies at commercial animal establishments in San Bernardino County, California. Journal of Vector Ecology, 27: 82-85.
- Miller, J. A. and Chamberlain, W. F. 1989. Azadirachtin as a larvicide against the horn fly, stable fly, and house fly. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, **82**: 1375-1378.
- Miller, R. W., Pickens, L. G. and Gordon, C. H. 1971. Effect of Bacillus thuringiensis in cattle manure on house fly (Diptera: Muscidae) larvae. Journal of Economic Entomology, 64: 902-903.
- Mishra, S., Kumar, P., and Malik, A. 2011. Adulticidal and larvicidal activity of *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* against house fly, *Musca domestica* (Diptera:

- Morgan, P. B. and Patterson, R. S. 1990. Efficiency of target formulations of pesticides plus augmentative releases of *Spalangia endius* Walker (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) to suppress populations of *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera: Muscidae) at poultry ranches in the southeastern United States. In: *Biocontrol of Arthropods Affecting Livestock and Poultry*, (Rutz, D. A. and Patterson, R. S., eds.), Westview Press, Boulder, CO. 69-78 PP.
- Morgan, P. B., Patterson, R. S., LaBrecque, G. C., Weidhaas, D. E. and Benton, A. 1975a. Suppression of a field population of house flies with *Spalangia endius*. *Science*, 189: 388-389.
- Moriya, K., Fujibayashi, T., Yoshihara, T., Matsuda, A., Sumi, N., Umezaki, N., Kurahashi, H., Agui, N., Wada, A. and Watanabe, H. 1999. Verotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 carried by the housefly in Japan. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, **13**: 214-216.
- Mullens, B. A. and Rodriguez, J. L. 1985. Dynamics of Entomophthora muscae (Entomophthorales: Entomophthoraceae) conidial discharge from Musca domestica (Diptera: Muscidae) cadavers. Environmental Entomology, 14: 317-322.
- Mullens, B. A., Meyer, J. A., and Georgis, R. 1987. Field tests of insect-parasitic nematodes against larvae of manurebreeding flies on caged-layer poultry facilities. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 80: 438-442.
- Mullens, B. A., Rodriguez, J. L. and Meyer, J. A. 1987. An epizootiological study of *Entomophthora muscae\_*in muscoid fly populations on Southern California poultry facilities, with emphasis on *Musca domestica. Hilgardia*, 55: 1-41.
- Mwamburi, L. A., Laing, M. D. and Miller, R. 2009. Interaction between *Beauveria bassiana* and *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *israelensis* for the control of house fly larvae and adults in poultry houses. *Poultry Science*, 88: 2307-2314.
- Mwamburi, L. A., Laing, M. D. and Miller, R. 2011a. Laboratory screening of insecticidal activities of *Beauveria bassiana* and *Paecilomyces lilacinus* against larval and adult house fly (*Musca domestica* L.). *African Entomology*, **18**: 38-46. 2010.
- Mwamburi, L. A., Laing, M. D. and Miller, R. 2011b. Laboratory and field evaluation of formulated *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *israelensis* as a feed additive and using topical applications for control of *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) larvae in caged-poultry manure. *Environmental Entomology*, **40**: 52-58.
- Nayduch, D. and Stutzenberger, F. 2001. The housefly (Musca domestica) as a vector for emerging bacterial

enteropathogens. *Recent Research Developments in Microbiology*, **5**: 205-209.

- Nielsen, C. K., Skovgaard, H. and Steenberg, T. 2005. Effect of *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Deuteromycotina: Hyphomycetes) on survival and reproduction of the filth fly parasitoid, *Spalangia cameroni* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). *Environmental Entomology*, 34: 133-139.
- Nolan, M. P. and Kissam, J. B. 1987. Nuisance potential of a dump fly, *Ophyra aenescens* (Diptera: Muscidae) breeding at poultry farms. *Environmental Entomology*, 16: 828-831.
- Oh, S. T., Kim, J. K., Yang, S. Y. and Song, M. D. 2004. Characterization of *Bacillus thuringiensis* having insecticidal effects against larvae of *Musca domestica*. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 14: 1057-1062.
- Palacios, S. A., Bertoni, A., Rossi, Y., Santander, R. and Urzua, A. 2009a. Efficacy of essential oils from native medicinal plants of central Argentina against the house fly, *Musca domestica* L. *Molecules*, 14: 1938-1947.
- Palacios, S. A., Bertoni, A., Rossi, Y., Santander, R. and Urzua, A. 2009b. Efficacy of essential oils from edible plants as insecticides against the house fly, *Musca domestica* L. *Molecules*, 14: 1938-1947.
- Pavela, R. 2008. Insecticidal properties of several essential oils on the house fly (*Musca domestica* L.). *Phytotherapy Research*, 22: 274-278.
- Perry, A. S. 1958. Factors associated with DDT resistance in the house fly *Musca domestica* L. *Proceedings*, 10th International Congress of Entomology, 2: 157-172.
- Plapp, F. W. 1984. The genetic basis of insecticide resistance in the house fly: evidence that a single locus plays a major role in metabolic resistance to insecticides. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 22: 194-201.
- Prompiboon, P., Lietze, V.-U., Denton, J. S. S., Geden, C. J., Steenberg, T. and Boucias, D. G. 2010. *Musca domestica* salivary gland hypertrophy virus: a globally distributed insect virus that infects and sterilizes female houseflies. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **76**: 994-998.
- Renn, N. 1995. Mortality of immature houseflies (*Musca domestica* L.) in artificial diet and chicken manure after exposure to encapsulated entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae, Heterorhabditidae). *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, **5**: 349-359.
- Renn, N. 1998. The efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes for controlling housefly infestations of intensive pig units. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, **12**: 46-51.
- Renn, N., Barson, G and Richardson, P. N. 1985. Preliminary laboratory tests with two species of entomophilic nematodes for control of *Musca domestica* in intensive animal units. *Annals of Applied Biology*, **106**: 229-233.

- Rutz, D. A. and Axtell, R. C. 1979. Sustained releases of *Muscidifurax raptor* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) for house fly (*Musca domestica* L.) control in two types of caged-layer houses. *Environmental Entomology*, 8: 1105-1110.
- Scott, J. G. 1989. Cross-resistance to the biological insecticide abamectin in pyrethroid-resistant house flies. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 34: 27-31.
- Scott, J. G. and Georghiou, G. P. 1986. Mechanisms responsible for high levels of permethrin resistance in the housefly. *Pesticide Science*, 17: 195-206.
- Scott, J. G., Roush, R. T. and Rutz, D. A. 1989. Insecticide resistance of house flies (Diptera: Muscidae) from New York USA dairies. *Journal of Agricultural Entomology*, 6: 53-64.
- Sharma, R. N. and Saxena, K. N. 1974. Orientation and developmental inhibition in the housefly by certain terpenoids. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **11**: 617-621.
- Shen, J. and Plapp, F. W. 1990. Cryomazine resistance in the house fly (Diptera: Muscidae): genetics and crossresistance to diflubenzuron. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 83: 1689-1697.
- Sheppard, D. C., Hinkle, N. C., Hunter, J. S. and Gaydon, D. G. 1990. Resistance in constant exposure livestock insect control systems: a partial review with some original findings on cyromazine resistance in house flies. *Florida Entomologist*, **72**: 360-369.
- Shono, T. and Scott, J. G. 2003. Spinosad resistance in the housefly, *Musca domestica*, is due to a recessive factor on autosome 1. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 75: 1-7.
- Sims, S. R. 1997. Host activity spectrum of the CryIIA *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *kurstaki* protein. Effects on Lepidoptera, Diptera, and non-target arthropods. *Southwestern Entomologist*, **22:** 395-404.
- Six, D. L. and Mullens, B. A. 1996. Seasonal prevalence of Entomophthora muscae and introduction of Entomophthora schizophorae (Zygomycotina: Entomophthorales) in Musca domestica (Diptera: Muscidae) populations on California dairies. Biological Control, 6: 315-323.
- Skovgaard, H. and Nachman, G. 2004. Biological control of house flies *Musca domestica* and stable flies *Stomoxys*

*calcitrans* (Diptera: Muscidae) by means of inundative releases of *Spalangia cameroni* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, **94**: 555-567.

- Skovgaard, H. and Steenberg, T. 2002. Activity of pupal parasitoids of the stable fly *Stomoxys calcitrans* and prevalence of entomopathogenic fungi in the stable fly and the house fly, *Musca domestica*. *Biocontrol*, **47**: 45-60.
- Steinkraus, D. C., Geden, C. J. and Rutz, D. A. 1990. First report of the natural occurrence of *Beauveria bassiana* (Moniliales: Moniliaceae) in *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 27: 309-312.
- Steinkraus, D. C., Geden, C. J. and Rutz, D. A. 1993. Prevalence of *Entomophthora muscae* (Cohn) Fresenius (Zygomycetes: Entomophthoraceae) in house flies (Diptera: Muscidae) on dairy farms in New York and induction of epizootics. *Biological Control*, **3**: 93-100.
- Sulaiman, S., Othman, M. Z. and Aziz, A. H. 2000. Isolations of enteric pathogens from synanthropic flies trapped in downtown Kuala Lumpur. *Journal of Vector Ecology*, 25: 90-93.
- Taylor, D. B., Szalanski, A. L., Adams, B. J. and Peterson, R. D., II. 1998. Susceptibility of house fly (Diptera: Muscidae) larvae to entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae, Steinernematidae). *Environmental Entomology*, 27: 1514-1519.
- Tsai, S. F., Liu, B. L., Liao, J. W., Wang, J. S., Hwang, J. S., Wang, S. C., Tzeng, Y. M. and Ho, S. P. 2003. Pulmonary toxicity of thuringiensin administered intratracheally in Sprague-Dawley rats. *Toxicology*, **186**: 205-216.
- Turner, E. C. and Carter, L. 1990. Mass rearing and introduction of *Ophyra aenescens* (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Muscidae) in high-rise caged layer houses to reduce house fly populations. *Journal of Agricultural Entomology*, 7: 247-257.
- Turner, E. C., Ruszler, P. L., Dillon, P., Carter, L. and Youngman, R. 1992. An integrated pest management program to control house flies in commercial high rise houses. *Journal* of Applied Poultry Research, 1: 242-250.
- Urzua, A., Santander, R., Echeverria, J., Cabezas, C., Palacios, S. M. and Rossi, Y. 2010. Insecticide properties of the essential oils from *Haplopappus foliosus* and *Bahia ambrosoides* against the housefly, *Musca domestica* L. *Journal of the Chilean Chemistry Society*, 55: 392-395.
- Waliwitiya, R., Kennedy, C. J. and Lowenberger, C. A. 2008. Larvicidal and oviposition-altering activity of monoterpenes, *trans*-anithole and rosemary oil to the

Received: November 20, 2011

yellow fever mosquito Aedes aegypti. Pest Management Science, **65**: 241-248.

- Vankova, J. 1981. House fly susceptibility to *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *israelensis* and a comparison with the activity of other insecticidal bacterial preparations. *Acta Entomologica Bohemoslovaca*, **78**: 358-362.
- Varzandeh, M., Bruce, W. N. and Decker, G C. 1954. Resistance to insecticides as a factor influencing the biotic potential of the house fly. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 47: 129-134.
- Watson, D. W. and Petersen, J. J. 1993. Seasonal activity of *Entomophthora muscae* (Zygomycetes: Entomophthorales) in *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera: Muscidae) with reference to temperature and relative humidity. *Biological Control*, 3: 182-190.
- Watson, D. W., Mullens, B. A. and Petersen, J. J. 1993.
  Behavioral fever response of *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) to infection by *Entomophthora muscae* (Zygomycetes: Entomophthorales). Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, 61: 10-16.
- Watson, D. W., Geden, C. J., Long, S. J. and Rutz, D. A. 1995. Efficacy of *Beauveria bassiana* for controlling the house fly and stable fly (Diptera: Muscidae). *Biological Control*, 5: 405-411.
- Watson, D. W., Rutz, D. A. and Long, S. J. 1996. *Beauveria bassiana* and sawdust bedding for the management of the house fly, *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) in calf hutches. *Biological Control*, 7: 221-227.
- West, L. S. 1951. The House Fly. Comstock Publishing, Ithaca, NY, 584 **PP**.
- Wilson, B. H. and Burns, E. C. 1968. Induction of resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* in a laboratory strain of house flies. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 6: 1747-1748.
- Wilton, B. E. and Klowden, M. J. 1985. Solubilized crystal of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis*: Effect on adult house flies, stable flies (Diptera: Muscidae), and green lacewings (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*, 1: 97-98.
- Zhong, C. H., Ellar, D. J., Bishop, A., Johnson, C., Lin, S. S. and Hart, E. R. 2000. Characterization of a *Bacillus thuringiensis* delta-endotoxin which is toxic to insects in three orders. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, **76:** 131-139.

## Christopher J. Geden

USDA, ARS, CMAVE, 1600 SW 23<sup>rd</sup> Drive, Gainesville, Florida, 32608, USA, 352-374-5919, E-mail: chris.geden@ars.usda.gov

Revised: February 02, 2012

Accepted: February 05, 2012