

Potential of botanicals for the management of forest insect pests of India, an overview

R. Sundararaj

ABSTRACT

This paper reviews the botanicals evaluated against forest insect pests of India and presents the impact of neem and other plant products against major forest insect pests. Various neem products were found effective in field condition against many forest insect pests like the rohida defoliator, Patialus tecomella, the babul defoliator, Taragama siva, the desert locust Schistocerca gregaria, the babul whitefly Acauldaleyrodes rachipora, the spiralling whitefly, Aleurodicus dispersus and the teak defoliators Hyblaea puera and Paliga machaeralis etc. Neem oil and neem oil based formulations were used to contain the populations build up of A. dispersus on roadside plantations of Bauhinia. variegata and Michelia champaca. Neem cake, pongam cake and VAM were commonly applied in combination for the management of sucking pests on seedlings. Other than neem about 58 plant species were reported to have pest management properties on forest insect pests. In these plants, mostly crude extracts were reported to have different type of pest management properties in laboratory condition against defoliating pests. Not much work has been carried out on other group of insects like sap suckers, wood borers, gall inducers etc. Extractives of different parts of Capparis decidua were found to possess aphidicidal principles against three species of aphids viz., Aphis gossypii, Lipaphis erysimi and Mysus persicae. Plant products other than neem were not practically used much for pest control. It is recommended to have national, regional and international coordinated effort to exploit botanicals that are more potent as an integral component of pest management in different cropping systems including forestry.

Key words: Botanicals, forest insect pests, pest management

INTRODUCTION

The use of synthetic pesticides during the last half century has often been careless and indiscriminate which resulted in malicious effects on the environment and leads to "ecological backlash" (Sundararaj, 1997). Concern about this has led to a surge of research into alternative pest control technologies. One of the efforts is the development of botanical insecticides as a novel and safer alternative strategy. Botanical insecticides, which contain plant extracts as active components, are safer as well as environmentally friendlier than synthetic insecticides. Use of these chemicals of plant origin, commonly called 'botanicals' or 'phytochemicals' have attracted particular attention because of their specificity to insect pests, their biodegradable nature and their potential for commercial application (Bishop and Thronton,

1997;Shukla et al., 2000). These materials have been, since time immemorial, reported to be devoid of the various disadvantages, which are associated with the use of synthetics. Bioactivity of plantbased compounds is well documented in literature and is a subject of increasing importance. Knowledge of the toxic plants, their toxic principles and their biological activity is of paramount importance not only to enable them to be utilized as natural pest control agents and replace the commercial synthetic pesticides but also to enable us to understand the nature of their toxicity to non-targeted animals. The efficient use of such renewable natural resources is becoming increasingly important worldwide. There is no doubt that many plant secondary metabolites affect insect behaviour, development and reproduction. Characterization and identification of these

Sundararaj

substances is an important first step in understanding the effect of plants on insect life. obtained botanicals thus offer The better compatibility with other biological pest control agents than that of the synthetics and this has brought them to sudden prominence in pest management programme.

Neem- A potential source of biopesticide

Neem (Azadirachta indica) products are known in use in India from time immemorial against noxious insects. Because of its legendary insect repellant and medicinal properties, it being identified as "the most promising of all plants" and at the present moment it is the source of most promising pesticides. More than 100 protolimonoids, limonoids or tetranortriterpenoids and some nonterpenoid constituents have been isolated from various parts of neem (Koul et al., 1990). From the neem seed extract alone, over 57 components have been isolated and identified (Jacobson, 1988). It is now well established that azadirachtin, the most important phagorepellent of neem kernels protects plants against insect attack. Bernays and Chapman (1977) indicated azadirachtin as the most potent antifeedant against insects like Locusta migratatoria migratorioides and Schistocerca gregaria. It exhibits strong antifeedant activity against locusts as well as growth inhibiting properties (Rembold et al., 1980). Neem kernel extracts or their oil repel insects, act as antifeedant, cause growth disruption, deformities or mortality and impairing egg production (Sieber and Rembold, 1983). The review offer further evidence for the impact of neem products against the major forest insect pests of India. The control of forest pests like poplar defoliator, Pygaera cupreata (Bhandari et al., 1988), babul defoliator, Taragama siva (Sundararaj et al., 1995), the rohida defoliator, Patialus tecomella (Sundararaj and Murugesan, 1995), the babul whitefly, Acaudaleyrodes rachipora (Sundararaj et al., 1995; 1996, Sundararaj, 1999a, b), the teak defoliators, Eutectona machaeralis and Hyblaea puera (Kulkarni et al., 1996; Remadevi and Raja Muthukrishnan, 1988; Murugan et al., 1999; Sree et al., 2008) using different neem products have been tested and found useful. Dubey and Sundararaj (2004) demonstrated neem oil as effective like that of commercial neem

formulations and Chlorpyriphos in containing the nymphal populations of *A. disperses* infesting trees of *Michelia champaca* and *B. variegata*.

Neem seed kernel suspension as effective repellent against the polyphagous desert locust Schistocerca gregaria was demonstrated (Pradhan and Jotwani, 1971; Singh, 1985; Sundararaj et al., 1985). Ramarethinam et al. (2002) repored insecticidal property of azadirachtin against Eurema hecabe on Cassia fistula, Ambika et al. (2007) recommended neem seed oil against Pemplia morosalis on Murugesan Jatropha and et al. (2008)against recommended nimbicidin Carrvedon serratus infesting seeds of many forest trees. The application of neem cake alone or in combination with other seed cakes and VAM was recommended to control whiteflies in nurseries (Sundararai, 2010). As the neem products proved its practical utility, they are recommended for large-scale application in forestry.

Other plant products

A perusal of literature revealed that other than neem about 58 plant species were reported to have pest management properties on forest insect pests (Table 1). Mostly crude extracts were found to have different type of pest management properties in laboratory condition against defoliating pests of teak, poplar, subabul, bamboo etc., without identifying the active principles in the plant products. Defoliating insects were mostly used as test insects except the report of Sharma et al. (1992) who reported insecticidal properties of 15 plant oils against the sap sucking psyllid Heteropsylla cubana. Similarly extractives of different parts of Capparis decidua were found to possess aphidicidal principles against three species of aphids viz., Aphis gossypii on marwar teak (Tecomella undulata), Lipaphis erysimi on mustard (Brasicca compestris) and Mysus persicae on cabbage (Brassica oleracea) (Table 2). The order of aphidicidal potential of extract is root > seed > stem bark > branch > wood. These plant products are probable sources of some biologically active agents for pest management for the future. Although the potential of various plant species in pest management has been demonstrated, the plants

Tree species	No. of plant species reported	Nature of plant products	Pest species and their nature	Effects	Reference	
Acacia nilotica	1	Leaf powder: 1	Seed feeders: Bruchidius sp., and Caryedon serratus	Insecticidal	Murugesan et al., 2008	
Ailanthes sp.	3	Leaf extract: 3	Defoliator: Atteva fabriciella	Antifeedant	Ahmed et al., 1991;	
Bambusa balcooa	6	Leaf extract: 6 Flower extract: 2	Defoliator: <i>Crypsiptya coclesalis</i>	Antifeedant Resistant to	Kulkarni and Joshi, 1998; Kulkarni and Joshi <i>et al.</i> , 1999; 2003	
Bamboo spp Dalbergia	5	Leaf extract: 5	Termites Defoliator:	degradation Antifeedant	Borthakur and Gogoi, 2009 Kulkarni <i>et al.</i> , 1997b, Meshram, 2000	
sissoo Feronia elephantum	1	Leaf extract: 1	Plecoptera reflexaDefoliator: PapiliodemoliusAntifeedant		Meshram et al., 1996	
Gmelina arborea	1	Plant oil: 1	Defoliators: Calopepla leayana Eupterote geminata	Antifeedant	Singh and Sushilkumar. 1998	
Leucaena leucocephala	15	Plant oil: 15	Sap sucker: Heteropsylla cubana Defoliator:		Sharma et al., 1992	
Pongamia pinnata	2	Leaf extract: 2	Lamprosema niphaelis	Growth inhibition	Deepa and Remadevi, 2007a	
Poplar spp.	3	Bark extract: 1 Leaf extract: 2 Root extract: 1	Defoliator: Clostera cupreata	Antifeedant	Ahmad et al., 1997	
Tamarindus indica	1	Leaf powder: 1	Seed feeder: Bruchidius sp., and Caryedon serratus	Insecticidal	Murugesan et al., 2008	
		Bark extract: 5	Defoliators: Hyblaea	Antifeedant	Sundararaj <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Ramana <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Deepa and Remadevi, 2005; Senthil Nathan and Sehoon, 2006; Ramana and Himavathi, 2006; Ramana <i>et al.</i> , 2007	
Tectona grandis	36	Leaf extract: 27 Seed extract: 4 Seed oil: 1 Tuber extract: 1 Wood extract: 1	puera	Growth inhibition	Deepa and Remadevi, 2007b	
				Insecticidal Larvicidal Ovicidal	Krishnakumar <i>et al.</i> , 2011 Javaregowda and Naik, 2006; Ramana, 2005 Meshram, 1995; Kulkarni <i>et al.</i> , 1997a;	
			Paliga machaerolis	Antifeedant	Murugesan <i>et al.</i> , 2003 ; Sundararaj <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Durairaj, 2009	
				Growth inhibition	Sree <i>et al.</i> , 2008	

Table 1. Plant products other than neem reported to have pest management properties against tree pests of India

have not been exploited commercially (Srinivasan, 2012) and as of now except neem other botanicals are not practically used in pest control. Geden (2012) is of the view that further research on blends of essential oils with other botanicals and improved formulations and delivery systems could lead to substantial improvements in the performance of botanicals.

In conclusion synthetic insecticides have been used to contain insect populations since the inception of green revolution with the significant increase in crop production. However, the consequent pollution jeopardizes the agricultural as well as forestry business. In this context, plant products are preferred over synthetic chemicals as they are non-

Sundararaj

Aphid species	Host Plant	Method of application		Mortality (%) over control with 1% extracts of different parts of <i>Capparis decidua</i> at 48 hrs					
_			Seed	Wood	Branch	Bark	Root		
Aphis gossypii	Tecomella undulata	Dipping	94.85	91.20	70.28	97.24	100.00		
		Spraying	90.00	80.00	60.00	84.66	100.00		
Lipaphis erysimi	Brasicca compestris	Dipping	96.64	90.00	73.32	96.64	100.00		
		Spraying	93.33	80.00	66.66	80.00	100.00		
Mysus persicae	Brassica oleracea	Dipping	93.33	92.10	76.64	98.20	100.00		
		Spraying	90.00	90.00	73.33	76.66	100.00		
CD (P= 0.05%)	I	I	5.76	4.86	6.12	8.04	NS		

persistent and are compatible. Over 2,000 plant species have been reported to possess pesticidal activity but only a handful of pest control products directly obtained from plants are in use. Botanicals used as insecticides presently constitute 1% of the world insecticide market and in Indian market it is less than 1%. To enjoy widespread use, plant based products must demonstrate efficacy that is competitive with existing chemicals and must remain within the reach of resource limited farmers in the developing countries. Besides, there is a need for promoting the use of plant products in the insect pest management programs. Undoubtedly, neem compared to other plant products can boost the biopesticide industry if ventures of its commercial production and village industry are set up so that its neem products are available to the reach of planting community all round the year. Besides with the recent spell of research on botanicals, it is recommended to have national, regional and international coordinated effort to exploit botanicals as an integral component of pest management in different cropping systems including forestry.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, M., Gupta, B. K. and Bhandari, R.S. 1991. Efficacy of some plant extracts against *Ailanthes* web worm *Atteva fabriciella*. *Indian Journal of forestry*, **14**(1): 5-7.
- Ahmad, M., Dayal, R., Mishra, R. K. and Dobhal, P.
 C. 1997. Antifeedant potency of some plants produce against defoliating pest of poplar, *Clostera cupreata. Indian Forester*, 123(9): 821-826.

- Ambika, S., Manoharan, T., Stanley, J. and Preetha,
 G. 2007. Biology and management of *Jatropha* shoot webber. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, **69**(3): 265-270.
- Bernays, E. A. and Chapman, R. F. 1977. Deter chemicals as basis of oligophagy in *Locusta migratoria*. *Ecological Entomology*, **2**: 1.
- Bhandari, R. S. Lal, J., Ayyar, K. S. and Singh, P. 1988. Effect of neem seed extractives on Poplar defoliator, *Pygaera cupreata* Butler (Lepidoptera: Notodontidae) in laboratory. *Indian Forester*, 114: 790-795.
- Bishop, C. D. and Thornton, I. B. 1997. Evaluation of the antifungal activity of the essential oils of *Monardo citriodora* var. *critriodora* and *Melaleuca alternofloia* on post-harvest pathogens. *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, 9: 77-82.
- Borthakur, R. D., Gogoi, P. K. 2009. Studies on dimensional stability and termite resistance capacity of bamboo (*Bambusa balcooa*) after treatment with chemicals and plant extracts. *Indian Forester*, **135**(9): 1217-1231.
- Crosby, D. G. 1971. Naturally occurring insecticides. Jacobson, M, Crosby, D. G. Marcel Dekker, New York, 177-242 **PP**.
- Deepa, B. and Remadevi, O. K. 2005. Larvicidal activity of the wood extract of *Pterocarpus marsupium* on the 3rd instar larvae of *Hyblaea puera* (Hyblaeidae), the defoliator pest of the teak (*Tectona grandis*). Advances in Indian *Entomology: Productivity and Health*, **2**: 97-100.
- Deepa, B. and Remadevi, O. K. 2007a. Efficacy of plant products against the teak defoliator *Hyblaea puera* Cramer (Lepidoptera:

Hyblaeidae). *Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystem*, **13**(1):68-70.

- Deepa, B. and Remadevi, O. K. 2007b. Growthinhibiting efficacy of various plant extracts on *Lamprosema nephaelis* (Pyralidae: Lepidoptera). *Indian Journal of Plant Protection*, **35**(1):106-107.
- Deepa, B. and Remadevi, O. K. 2008. Insecticidal activity of the leaf extract of *Dodonaea viscosa* Linn. (Sapindaceae) on teak defoliator, *Hyblaea puera* Cramer (Lepidoptera: Hyblaeidae). *Recent Trends in Insect Pest Management*, 228-230.
- Dubey, A. K. and Sundararaj, R. 2004. Evaluation of some neem products against *Aleurodicus dispersus* Russell (Aleyrodidae: Homoptera) on *Bauhinia racemosa* and *Michelia champaca.*). *Indian Journal of Plant Protection*, **32**(2): 126-128.
- Durairaj, S. 2009. Preliminary efficacy of Parthenium extract on the feeding pattern of Eutectona machaeralis. Insect Environment, 14(4): 153-154.
- Geden, C. J. 2012. Status of biopesticides for control of house flies. *Journal of Biopesticides*, 5 (Supplementary): 1-11.
- Jacobson, M. 1988. The chemistry of neem tree. In: Focus on Phytochemicals. Vol.1. The Neem Tree (Jonus, P.S. et al.), 19-45 **PP**.
- Javaregowda and Krishana Naik, L. 2006. Ovicidal properties of plant extracts against the eggs of teak defoliator, *Hyblaea puera* Cramer. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **20**(2): 291-293.
- Koul, O., Isman, M. M. and Ketker, C. M. 1990, Properties and uses of neem, *Azadirachta indica*. *Canadian Journal of Botany*. 68:1-11.
- Krishnaiah, N. V. and Kalode, M. B. 1991. Efficacy of neem oil against rice insect pests under green house and field conditions. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection*, **19**: 11-16.
- Krishnakumar, N., Murugesan, S, Senthilkumar, N., Rajeshkannan, C., Manicachakam, P. and Sumathi, R. 2011. Vilvekam- *Aegle marmelos* seed oil based biopesticide for the management of teak defoliator. *Pestology*, **35**(3): 8-9.
- Kulkarni, N. and Joshi, K. C. 1998. Feeding inhibition property of a common weed, *Ipomoea carnea* (Jacq.) ssp. *Fistulosa* (Austin) against bamboo leaf roller, *Crypsiptya coclesalis*

(Walk.) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Allelopathy Journal*, **5**(1):93-96.

- Kulkarni, N., Joshi, K. C. and Gupta, B. N. 1997a. Antifeedant property of *Lantana camara* var. *Aculeate* and *Aloe vera* leaves against teak skeletonizer, *Eutectona machaeralis* Walk. (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Entomon*, **22**(1):63-67.
- Kulkarni, N., Joshi, K. C. and Kalia, S. 1997b. Feeding inhibition property of some botanical extracts against sissoo defoliator, *Plecoptera reflexa* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Indian Journal of Forestry*, **20**(4):390-394.
- Kulkarni, N., Joshi, K. C. and Rama Rao, N. 1996. Screening of some plant extracts for feeding inhibition property against major forest insect pests. *My Forest*, **3** (32): 118-122.
- Kulkarni, N., Joshi, K. C. and Shukla, P.K. 2003.
 Antifeedant activity of *Annona squamosa* Linn.
 against *Crypsiptya coclesalis* Walker
 (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Entomon*, 28(4): 389-392.
- Kulkarni, N., Neelu Singh, Joshi, K. C. and Lal, R.
 B. 1999. Activity of *Lantana camara* var. *aculeate* extract against the larvae or Bamboo leaf roller, *Crypsiptya coclesalis* (Walker) (Lepidoptera:Pyralidae). In: *Biopesticides in insect pest management*. (Ignacimuthu, S.J. and Alok sen, eds.), Phoenix publishing House Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. 118-123 PP.
- Meshram, P. B. 1995. Evaluation of some medicinal and natural plant extracts against teak skeletonizer, *Eutectona machaeralis* Walk. *Indian Forester*, **121**(6): 528-532.
- Meshram P. B. 2000. Antifeedant and insecticidal activity of some medicinal plant extracts against *Dalbergia sissoo* Defoliator *Plecoptera reflexa* (Lepidoptera:Noctuidae) *The Indian Forester*, **126**(9): 961-965.
- Meshram, P. B., Kulkarni, N. and Joshi, K. C. 1996. Antifeedant activity of Neem and *Jatropha* against the larvae of *Papilio demoleus*. *Journal of Environmentl Biology*, **17**(4): 301-304.
- Murugan, K., Senthil Kumar, N., Jeyabalan, D., Senthil Nathan, S. and Sivaramakrishnan, S.
 1999. Neem as a effective Bio-pesticide to control teak defoliator *Hyblaea puera* (Cramer) (Lepidoptera: Hyblaeidae), *Journal of Non Timber Forest Products* 6(1/2): 78-82.

Sundararaj

- Murugesan, S., Ramsewak, R. S., Mattson, W. J., Nair, M. G., Narayanan, C. and Mohan, V. 2003. Antifeedant and antifungal compounds from *Dirca palustris*. *The Indian Forester*, **129**(3): 364-370.
- Murugesan, S., Sundararaj, R., Prasanth Jacob, J., Anitha, J., Karthick, S. 2008. Biopesticidal potential of neem against forest insect pests. *Hexapoda*, **15** (1): 56-60.
- Singh, N. and Sushilkumar. 1998. Biopesticidal plants from Indian forests and their role in insect pest management. In: *Ecofriendly Pest Suppression* (Gautam, R. D. and Prasad, D., eds.), Westvill Publishing House, New Delhi, 73-123 PP.
- Pradhan, S. and Jotwani, M. G. 1971. Neem kernels as antifeedant for locusts. *Sneha Sandesh*, **13**: 1-5.
- Ramana, P. 2005. Ovicidal evaluation of *Chromolaena odorata* extracts on teak defoliator-*Hyblaea puera* Cramer. *My forest*, **41**(4): 519-524.
- Ramana, P. 2006. Ovicidal evaluation of Semecarpus kathalekanensis extracts on teak defoliator, Hyblaea puera Cramer. Journal of Non-Timber Forest Products, 13(4): 245-248.
- Ramanna, P. and Himavathi Bhat. 2006. Antifeedant activity of some plant products against the larvae of teak defoliator, *Hyblaea puera* Cramer. *My Forest*, **42**(4):323-326.
- Ramana, P., Patil, S. K. and Rao, K. S. 2004. Antifeedant activity of *Holigarna* against larvae of teak defoliator, *Hyblaea puera*. *Journal Non-Timber Forest Products*, **11**(2): 158-160.
- Ramana, P., Patil, S. K. and Rao, K. S. 2007. Preliminary phytochemical screening and antifeedant evaluation of *Semecarpus kathalekanensis* Dasappa. *Indian Journal of Forestry*, **30**(2): 175-178.
- Sree, D. S., Sankar, N. R. and Sreeramulu. 2008. Evaluation of *thirteen medicinal plant extracts against teak (Tectona grandis)* leaf skeletonizer *Eutectona machoeralis* Walk. *Biomed*, 3(1): 33-35.
- Srinivasan, R. 2012. Integrating biopesticides in pest management strategies for tropical vegetable production. *Journal of Biopesticides*, 5 (Supplementary): 36-45.

- Ramarethinam, S., Loganathan, S., Marimuthu, S. and Murugesan, N.V. 2002. Potential of nimbicidine (0.03% Azadirachtin) in the control of *Eurema hecabe* (L.) infesting *Cassia fistula* L. (Caesalpiniaceae). *Pestology*, 26(12): 5–10.
- Rembold, H., Sharma, G. K., Czoppelt, Ch. and Schmutterer, H. 1980. Evidence of growth disruption in insects without feeding inhibition by neem seed fractions. Z. *PflKrankh. PflSchutz*, 87: 290-297.
- Senthilnathan, S. and Sehoon, K. 2006. Effects of *Melia azedarach* L. extract on the teak defoliator *Hyblaea puera* Cramer (Lepidoptera : Hyblaeidae). *Crop protection*, 25: 287-291
- Sharma, H. C., Leuschner, K., Sankaram, A. V. B., Marthanda-Murthi, Gunasekhar. D.. M.. Bhaskriah, K., Subramanyam, M. and Sultana, 1984. Insect antifeedants N. and growth inhibitors from Azardiracta indica and Plumbago zeylanica. In: Natural pesticides from the Neem tree and other tropical plants (Shmutterer, H. and Ascher, K.R.S., eds.), Proc 2nd Int. Neem Conf. (Rausischholzhausen, Germany, 1983), 291-320 PP.
- Sharma, R. N., Tare, V., Pawar, P. and Vartak, P. H. 1992. Toxic effects of some plants oils and their common constituents on the psyllid pest, *Heteropsylela cubana* (Homoptera: Psyllidae) of social forestry tree *Leucaena leucocephala*. *Applied Entomology and Zoology*, **27**(2): 285-287.
- Shukla, A. C., Shahi, S. K. and Dikshit, A. 2000. Epicarp of *Citrus sinensis*: a potential source of natural pesticide. *Indian Phytopathology*, 53, 318-322.
- Singh, B. 1985. Studies on the effect of neem kernel extract and certain less persistent insecticides on the oviposition behaviour and mortality of the desert locust (*Schistocerca gregaria* Forsk.) *Ph. D. Thesis*, Agra university. India, 162 P.
- Sundararaj, R. 1997. Evaluation of neem seed oil and some insecticides against the babul whitefly *Acaudaleyrodes rachipora* (Singh) (Aleyrodidae: Homoptera) on *Acacia senegal* seedlings. *Pestology*, **21**(5): 34-37.7.
- Sundararaj, R. 1999a. Potential of neem products for the control of the babul whitefly on *Acacia tortilis* in nursery. *My Forest*, **35**(1): 51-57.
- Sundararaj, R. 1999b. Field evaluation of neem cake with biofertilizers and conventional fertilizer

against the incidence of the babul whitefly *Acaudaleyrodes rachipora* (Singh) (Aleyrodidae: Homoptera) on *Acacia nilotica* seedlings. *Pestology*, **22**(12): 9-12.

- Sundararaj, R. 2010. Potential of neem for forest insect pests management: an overview. In : Non-Chemical Insect Pest Management (Ignacimuthu S. and David, B. V. eds.). Elite Publishing House Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 15-25 PP.
- Sundararaj, R. and Murugesan, S. 1995. Evaluation of Neem, Azardirachta indica (A. Juss) seed kernel powder against the rohida defoliator weevil, Patialus tecomella Pajni. Journal of Applied Zoological Researches, 6(2): 141-142.
- Sundararaj, R., Murugesan, S. and Mishra, R. N. 1995. Biopesticidal potential of neem against insect pests of arid zone. *Neem Newsletter of International Neem Network.* **2**: 8-10.
- Sundararaj. R., Murugesan, S. and Mishra R. N. 1996.
 Field evaluation of neem seed oil against babul whitefly *Acaudaleyrodes rachipora* (Singh) (Aleyrodidae: Homoptera) on *Acacia senegal* seedlings. *Annals of Arid Zone*, **35** (4): 369-372.

Sundararaj, R., Remadevi, K. О. and Rajamuthukrishnan, 2004. Some plant products as antifeedants against the teak defoliator. Hvblaea Cramer (Lepidoptera: puera Hyblaeidae) and teak skeletonizer Paliga machaeralis Walker (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Annals of Forestry, 12(2): 273-277.

R. Sundararaj

Wood Biodegradation Division, Institute of Wood Science and Technology, 18th cross, Malleswaram, Bangalore-560 003, India. Phone (O) +91-080-23465940; Fax +91-080-233340529 Email: rsundariwst@gmail.com

Manuscript history

Received	: 18.05.2012
Revised	: 25.05.2012
Accepted	: 30.05.2012