Impact of fertilizers and green algae (*Spirogyra*) on the survival and development of immature malaria vector, *Anopheles gambiae sensu lato* Giles (Diptera: Culicidae)

Wondmeneh, J.*, Solomon, T. and Raja, N.

ABSTRACT

Anopheles gambiae is one of the important malaria vectors in Ethiopia. The survival, development and multiplication of the immature stages are determined by different interdependent factors. The application of manures and fertilizers in agro-ecosystem is one of the important factors. A laboratory study was conducted to evaluate the impact of inorganic fertilizer (DAP and UREA 1:1/2 ratio), organic fertilizer (cow dung) and green algae (Spirogyra) against immature An. gambiae. The experiment includes three doses of inorganic fertilizer, cow dung and green algae in 1 L of deionised water prepared in a square tray and 1 L of deionised water alone was considered as control. In the experiment and control group twenty five I. II. III and IVth instar larva was released into individual tray and monitored every 24 h till the completion of their life cvcle. The numbers of surviving larval stage was recorded continuously until all the larvae died or emerged into adults. The experimental result revealed that in the case of the tray mixed with 0.75g/L of inorganic fertilizer, the number of adults that emerged from four different larval instar stages was statistically significant (p < 0.05). The number of adults that emerged in high turbidity green algae filament treatment was 95.61 ± 3.86 , $93.85 \pm$ 3.30, 90.5 \pm 5.43 and 86.84 \pm 4.78 from I, II, III and IVth instar larvae respectively. This study concludes that inorganic fertilizer significantly suppressed the survival of An. gambiae immature stage whereas, green algae supported the larval development.

Keywords: Anopheles gambiae, inorganic fertilizers, cow dung, green algae, survival, developmental rate.

MS History: 28.02.2017 (Received)-28.04.2017 (Revised)- 4.05.2017 (Accepted)

Citation: Wondmeneh, J., Solomon T. and Raja, N. 2017. Impact of fertilizers and green algae (*Spirogyra*) on the survival and development of immature malaria vector, *Anopheles gambiae sensu lato* Giles (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Biopesticides*, 10(1): 35-42.

INTRODUCTION

Agricultural practices are one of the potential sources for ecosystems alteration and substantially change the nature of malaria vector risk proximal to their location (Ijumba and Lindsay, 2001, Van der Hoek *et al.*, 2003). In Ethiopia, malaria epidemiology is variable and unstable, although high and stable transmission was reported in western lowland river basin. According to WHO (2004), mortality and morbidity due to malaria was decreased but the exact factors for the reduction were not well defined. *An. gambiae* is the primary malaria vector and their immature preferred small, clear, sunlit pools of water such as foot or hoof prints, edges of bore holes and burrow pits, roadside puddles formed by tire tracks, irrigation ditches and other man-made shallow water bodies (Imbahale *et al.*, 2011, Mutuku *et al.*, 2006; Kenea *et al.*, 2011) and also polluted water with a rich source of organic matter (Awolola *et al.*, 2007).

Immature mosquito distribution and abundance are associated with different factors including the use of inorganic fertilizer and pesticides. Application of organic and inorganic fertilizers in rice fields increases the larval productivity (Service, 1997). Sunish and Reuben (2002) reported that Cx. vishnui, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus and Cx. pseudovishnui population was increased in a dose-dependent manner of inorganic nitrogen fertilizers application. The study of Mutero et al. (2004) in Kenya demonstrates that a pond treated with ammonium sulphate facilities increase in the population of Anopheles arabiensis. Kibuthu et al. (2016) observed significantly influenced oviposition preference and adult emergence rate of An. arabiensis while exposed to ammonium sulphate. Muturi et al. (2007) and Olaveme et al. (2012) reported mosquito larval development and decreased of survival in inorganic fertilizer treatment compared to control in a dose dependent manner. The survival of Culex pipiens pipiens reduced 2. mosquitoes was in 4 dichorophenoxyl acetic acid (2,4-DAA) herbicide application under laboratory condition (Olayeme et al., 2014).

The growth of certain poisonous algae in mosquito breeding site prevents oviposition behaviour that may be used as a possible biological control agent together with other mosquito intervention tools. On the other hand, some species of filamentous green algae are highly beneficial for rapid growth and survival of mosquito larvae (Bond et al., 2004). According to Hegewald and Schnepf (1979) many species of algae in the order Scenedesmu, Kirchneriella, Dactylococcus, Elakotothrix, Tetrallantos, Coelastrum, Selenastrum and Tetradesmus are indigestible by the larvae of *Culex*, *Aedes* and *Anopheles*. Ahmad et al. (2004) reported that Aedes aegypti mosquitoes are killed after 7 days exposure to Chlorococcum UMACC-184 and Scenedesmus **UMACC** 193. Field observation by Kenea et al. (2011) found a negative association of algae mat with densities of mosquito larvae. Kachroo (1959) reported that variety of Anopheles species

easily digest diatoms, desmids, cladophorans, filamentous green algae and planktonic green algae. The presence of filamentous algae in mosquito breeding sites provide nutrition for the development, adult emergence and also protection to immature mosquitoes against predators and water current (Sunish and Reuben, 2002). The current agricultural extension program focuses on productivity and improvement of small holder agriculture by supplying fertilizers, improved seeds and setting up credit schemes (MOARD, 2007). Therefore, the present study was conducted to confirm the influence of inorganic fertilizer, organic fertilizer and green algae on the development and survival of An. gambiae under laboratory condition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection and rearing of Anopheles Anopheles gambiae in their immature stage were collected from breeding sites of four rural localities in Dembia district, North West Ethiopia by using standard dippers (350 mL). Dembia district is known for its successive malaria epidemic with high mortality. The immature mosquitoes collected from the breeding habitat were transferred to a large container the plastic and brought to Entomology laboratory, Maraki campus, University of Gondar. In the laboratory, homogenous immature stages were separated and allowed to acclimatize in deionised water. The four immature mosquito stages namely I, II, III, IV and adults were identified based on morphological characters and identification key (Gillies and Coetzee, 1987; Walker and Lynch, 2007). In the laboratory immature mosquitoes were provided with powdered dog biscuit and yeast powder at 3:1 ratio as a source of feed. The culture of the immature mosquitoes was maintained at $27 \pm 1^{\circ}C$, 65– 70% RH and a photoperiod of 12 :12 h light: dark cycle throughout the study period.

Preparation of fertilizers and green algae

Three different doses of inorganic fertilizer namely 0.25g/L, 0.5g/L and 0.75g/L was prepared from a mixture of DAP and UREA (1:1/2 ratio). The three doses were mixed

36

individually with 1 litre of dechlorinated tap

water in the plastic tray (25 cm x 25 cm x7 cm

length, width and height) containing 25

immature An. gambiae. Similarly, three

different doses of organic fertilizer (2g/L,

4g/L and 6g/L) were prepared by using dried

cow dung. The dose of inorganic fertilizer and

organic fertilizer were calculated based on the

field recommendation (100kg/hectare for inorganic and 1000kg/hectare for organic

fertilizer) by the Ministry of Agriculture and

Rural Development (MOARD, 2007). For

green algae, high, medium and low turbidity

was placed into 1 L of dechlorinated tap water in the plastic tray. When the green algal

filament covers the entire experimental plastic

tray it was considered as high turbidity;

covering up of half of the tray indicate

medium turbidity and if the filament was

scattered in the experimental tray it was considered as low turbidity treatment. The tray

containing one liter of deionized water

without any treatment was considered as

control. The water of the culture media was

replaced by every 24 h with the same

concentration. The experiment was continued

until all the larvae were either dead or

emerged into adults. Each treatment was

The data collected from the experiment was

subjected to statistical analysis by using SPSS

statistical software package version 20. One

way analysis of variance was carried out

subjected to determine the effect of treatment

replicated four times.

Statistical analysis

37

development time, number of individuals surviving in each life stage and the probability of surviving from one stage to the next. Further, significant difference for individual mean of survival was calculated by using post hoc test LSD (Least Significant Difference Test, p<0.05).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Inorganic fertilizer on An. gambiae

The laboratory findings clearly demonstrate dose dependent effect of inorganic fertilizer on survival and development of An. gambiae immature stages. Mean number of survival rate of An. gambiae larvae exposed to inorganic fertilizer under laboratory condition is depicted in Fig.1. The results revealed that all the immature stages were susceptible to inorganic fertilizer. Among the four immature stages, early Ist instar larvae were highly susceptible when compared to rather later larval stages. The time taken for the completion of growth rate i.e., larvae emerging into adult stage was 14-18 days (Fig.4). The mean number of survival rate of An. gambiae Ist instar larvae exposed at 0.75g/L of inorganic fertilizer and control were 2.58 ± 0.95 and 85.34 ± 1.70 and for IVth instar larvae it was 30.17 ± 1.68 and $93.96 \pm$ 3.59 respectively. The statistical analysis results (Table I) showed significant difference at 5% level (F= 301.17, df= 3, 12, p<0.000 for 1^{st} instar larvae; F= 27.60, df= 3, 12, p < 0.000 for 4th instar larvae). The study proved that





the mixture of UREA and DAP was highly toxic to immature An. gambiae. The results are in agreement with those of earlier studies conducted by Muturi et al. (2007) and Olayeme et al. (2012). They have reported that the application of inorganic fertilizer had a significant impact on development and survival aquatic of stage of Culex quinquefasciatus and Culex pipiens pipiens respectively. However, mortality rate with the application of mosquito larvae exposed to inorganic fertilizer was higher in current study. The difference may be attributed to the geographical location and laboratory condition and also the species selected for investigation. The field application of nitrogenous fertilizers tends to increase An. arabiensis larvae productivity 2004). (Mutero et al.. Mwangangi et al. (2006) also reported the presence of inorganic fertilizer in rice field that has attracted gravid An. arabiensis mosquitoes for oviposition. Similarly, Victor and Reuben (2000) also observed higher oviposition of Culex tritaeniorhynchus and Culex vishnui in inorganic fertilizer treated rice fields. This may be associated with the amount of inorganic fertilizers applied to the rice field

and the influence of other environmental factors. Once the adult mosquitoes emerged, they may easily identify the areas with high concentration of fertilizers with their sensory structure. Later they move to areas with low concentration for oviposition.

At low concentration, nitrogenous fertilizers may not be toxic to the mosquito larvae. In addition, low concentration of inorganic fertilizer mav support growth of phytoplankton and alter the physicochemical properties of rice field that may be suitable for development and survival of mosquito larvae. availability abundance The and of decomposing microorganism in the field may break down and decompose inorganic fertilizer that may enhance the productivity of mosquito larvae in the rice field. Therefore, concentration of inorganic fertilizer applied in this study proved to be toxic to immature An. gambiae mosquitoes. The mosquito larvae were maintained in more or less constant concentrations of inorganic fertilizer throughout study period. In addition, the rate of fertilizer decomposition and utilization in the laboratory may be much slower than that in field condition.



Fig. 2. Mean percentage of survival of Anopheles gambiae larvae exposed to organic fertilizer

The survival rate of later instar larvae was increased in all concentrations of inorganic fertilizer treatment. An opposite trend was recorded by Olayeme *et al.* (2012). This may be associated with tolerance limit of different species of immature mosquitoes.

39

Response variable	Sum of square	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F-value	P-value
Effect of inorganic fertilizer					
L1-Instar	1544.93	3, 12	1.68	301.17	< 0.000
L2-Instar	1553.75	3, 12	5.05	97.88	< 0.000
L3-Instar	1221.75	3, 12	5.25	73.57	< 0.000
L4-Instar	802.00	3, 12	8.45	27.60	< 0.000
Effect of organic fertilizer					
L1-Instar	737.00	3, 12	11.12	18.08	< 0.000
L2-Instar	416.93	3, 12	8.89	11.62	< 0.001
L3-Instar	263.00	3, 12	12.29	3.13	< 0.066
L4-Instar	221.75	3, 12	15.29	0.83	< 0.501
Effect of green algae					
L1-Instar	248.43	3, 12	18.64	0.44	<0.728
L2-Instar	257.75	3, 12	21.37	0.01	< 0.996
L3-Instar	253.00	3, 12	18.04	0.67	< 0.584
L4-Instar	246.93	3, 12	18.39	0.47	< 0.706

Table 1. One way ANOVA results for the effect of inorganic, organic fertilizer and green algae on survival and development of *Anopheles gambiae* larval stage.



Fig. 3. Mean percentage of survival of *anopheles gambiae* larvae exposed to green algae

Organic fertilizer on immature *An. gambiae* The effect of organic fertilizer on survival rate of immature *An. gambiae* revealed that the Ist instar larvae were highly susceptible when compared to latter instars (Fig.2). Total time taken for the completion of larval stage into

adult stage was 10-16 days (Fig. 4). The mean survival rate of An. gambiae Ist instar larvae exposed to 6.00g/L of organic fertilizer and control were 40.17 ± 1.89 and 84.82 ± 3.30 respectively. The results of statistical analysis (Table 1) showed significant difference at 5% level (F=18.08, df= 3, 12, p<0.000). These results are in agreement with the report of Mbuya et al. (2014) they have reported that the pond treated with cow dung significantly reduced Ansopheles species population. For the IVth instar larvae exposed to organic fertilizer and control it was 83.03 ± 6.23 and 93.75 ± 1.70 respectively. The statistical analysis results did not show any significant difference at 5% level (F= 0.83, df= 3, 12, p >0.501). This may be associated with the tolerance limit of the mature larvae. However, present findings are controversial with the report of Mbuya et al. (2014) they have observed significant reduction in larval population in a pond treated with cow dung. This may be associated with the cow dung condition, dose of the cow dung applied and variation in experimental setup. Present study was conducted in a laboratory with a square tray by using dried powder of cow dung. The dried powder may not cause any significant impact on mature larvae of Anopheles.

Spirogyra on immature An. gambiae

The mean number of immature *An. gambiae* surviving on green algae treatment under laboratory condition is reported in Fig 3. In general, survival and developmental growth rate for all immature stages was normal. The

40

time taken for completion of growth rate i.e., larval stage in to adult was 8-14 days (Fig.4). The survival rate of An. gambiae Ist instar larvae exposed to high turbidity green algae filament and control was 95.61 ± 3.86 and 89.47 ± 4.50 and of IVth instar larvae was 86.84 ± 4.78 and 87.71 ± 3.55 respectively. The maximum survival rate of 98.24 ± 3.65 was observed in IVth instar larvae exposed to low turbidity green algae treatment. In medium turbidity green algae treatment maximum survival rate of 96.49 \pm 5.06 was recorded for Ist instar larvae. The survival rate of 95.61 ± 3.86 , 93.85 ± 3.30 , 90.35 ± 5.43 and 86.84 ± 4.78 was recorded for I, II, III and IVth instar larvae respectively. The results of statistical analysis (Table 1) did not show any significant difference at 5% level (F= 0.44, df= 3, 12, p > 0.728 for 1st instar larvae; F= 0.47, df= 3, 12, p > 0.706 for IVth instar larvae). The lethal effect of some species of algae on Aedes aegypti was reported by Ahmad et al. (2004). These isolates were found to be resistant to digestion by mosquito larvae. Field observation by Kenea et al. (2011) also found negative associations of algae mat with densities of mosquito larvae. Algae may synthesize intracellular toxins, which can remain inside algal cells or extra cellular toxins, which is released into the surrounding water during active algal growth or when algal cells lyses (Ahmad et al., 2004).



Fig. 4. Growth rate and time taken for *Anopheles gambiae* larvae reached to adult stage exposed to inorganic, organic fertilizer and green algae

41

The toxins produced by algae may be the cause for larval mortality or larvae of mosquito unable to feed, due to increased starvation mortality rate or because some species of algae being indigestible by mosquito larvae. However, in the current study there was a strong association between green algae treatment and larval development, where larvae develop at faster rate and adults emerged first in the green algae treatment than in inorganic, organic and control treatment. In the field wherever these algae were growing, *An. gambiae* larvae were found to be abundant and most of the larvae were observed inside the shade of algal mat.

In conclusion, findings of this study indicated that inorganic fertilizer significantly suppressed the development and survival of An. gambiae larvae in a dose dependent manner. However, the green algae and cow dung facilitate development of An. gambiae supplying extra nutrient in by the experimental trays. The application of inorganic fertilizer and reduction of green algae is important to suppress the mass multiplication of A. gambiae, thereby reducing the risk of transmission of malarial parasites.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, R., Chu, W.L., Ismail, Z., Lee, H.L. and Phang, S.M. 2004. Effect of ten chlorophytes on larval survival, development and adult body size of the mosquito Aedes aegypti. South East Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health, **35**:79–87.
- Awolola, T.S., Oduola, A.O., Obansa, J.B., Chukwurar, N.J. and Unyimadu, J.P. 2007. *Anopheles gambiae s.s.* breeding in polluted water bodies in urban Lagos, southwestern Nigeria. *Journal of Vector Borne Disease*, 44:241-244.
- Bond, J.G., Rojas, J.C., Arredondo-Jime´Nez, J.I., Quiroz- Marti´Nez, H., Valle, J. and Williams, T. 2004. Population control of the malaria vector *Anopheles pseudopunctipennis* by habitat manipulation. *Proceedings Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 271:2161–2169.
- Gillies, M.T. and Coetzee, M. 1987. A supplement to the Anopheles of

Anophelinae of African South of the Sahara (Afrotropical region). Publication of the African Institution for Medical Research.

- Hegewald, V. and Schnepf, E. 1979.
 Geschichte und Stand und Systematik der Grunalgengattung Scenedesmus.
 Schweizerische Zeitschrift fur Hydrologie, 40: 320.
- Ijumba, J.N. and Lindsay, S.W. 2001. Impact of irrigation on malaria in Africa: Paddies paradox. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, **15**: 1-11.
- Imbahale, S.S., Paaijmans, K.P., Mukabana, W.R., Van Lammeren, R., Githeko, A.K. and Takken, W. 2011. A longitudinal study on *Anopheles* mosquito larval abundance in distinct geographical and environmental settings in western Kenya. *Malaria Journal*, **10**:81.
- Kachroo, P. 1959. Aquatic vegetation of Damodar Valley. III. The role and bearing of phytoplankton in nutrition of anopheline larvae. In: *Proceedings of the Symposium* on Algology (P. Kachroo ed.), Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. **PP**. 308.
- Kenea, O., Balkew, M. and Gebre-Michae, T. 2011, Environmental factors associated with larval habitats of anopheline mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in irrigation and major drainage areas in the middle course of the Rift Valley, Central Ethiopia. *Journal of Vector Borne Disease*, 48: 85–92.
- Kibuthu, T. W., Njenga, S. M., Mbugua, A.K. and Muturi, E. J. 2016. Agricultural chemicals: life changer for mosquito vectors in agricultural landscapes?. *Parasites Vectors*, **9(1):**500. DOI:10.1186/s13071-016-1788-7.
- Mbuya, N.P., Kateyo, E. and Lunyolo, F. 2014. Assessment of *Anopheles* larval source reduction using cow dung: Environmental perspective on pro-poor tool for malarial vector control. *International Journal of Innovation and Applied Studies*, **5**(1): 30-42.
- MOARD, 2007. The National Fertilizer Strategy and Action Plan of Ethiopia

(NFSAP) printed by Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

- Mutero, C.M., Ng'ang'a, P.N., Wekoyela, P., Githure, J. and Konradsen, F. 2004. Ammonium sulphate fertilizer increases larval populations of *Anopheles arabiensis* and culicine mosquitoes in rice fields. *Acta Tropica*, **89:**187-192.
- Mutuku, F.M., Alaii, J.A., Bayoh, M.N., Gimnig, J.E., Vulule, J.M., Walker, E.D., Kabiru, E. and Hawley, W.A. 2006. Distribution, description, and local knowledge of larval habitats of *Anopheles* gambiae in a village in western Kenya. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine* and Hygiene, **74**:44-53.
- Muturi, E.J., Mwangangi, J.M., Shililu, J., Muriu, S., Jacob, B., Kabiru, E.W., Gu, W., Mbogo, C., Githure, J. and Novak, R. 2007. Mosquito species succession and the physicochemical factors affecting their abundance in rice fields in Mwea, Kenya. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **44**: 336– 44.
- Mwangangi, J.M., Muturi, E.J., Shililu, J., Muriu, S., Jacob, B., Kabiru, E.W., Mbogo, C.M., Githure, J. and Novak, R. 2006.
 Survival of immature *Anopheles arabiensis* (Diptera: Culicidae) in aquatic habitats in Mwea rice irrigation scheme, Central Kenya. *Malaria Journal*, 5: 114-121.
- Olayeme, I.K., Akpan, B., Ejima, I.A.A., Ukubuiwe, A.C. and Olorunfemi, O. J. 2014. Influence of rice-farming herbicide (2, 4-Dichlorophenoxyl Acetic Acid) on the development of *Culex pipiens pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae), a major swampbreeding mosquito vector of filariasis. *Advance in Agriculture and Biology*, **2**: 131-134.
- Olayeme, I.K., Maduegbuna, E.N., Ukubuiwe, A.C. and Chukwuemeka, V.I. 2012. Laboratory studies on developmental response of the filarial vector mosquito, *Culex pipiens pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae) to urea fertilizer. *Journal of Medical Sciences*, **12(6)**:175-181.
- Service, M.W. 1997. Mortalities of the immature stages of species B of *Anopheles*

gambiae complex in Kenya: comparison between rice fields and temporary pools, identification of predators, and effects of insecticidal spraying. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **13**: 535–45.

- Sunish, I.P. and Reuben, R. 2002. Factors influencing the abundance of Japanese encephalitis vectors in rice fields in India. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **16**: 1-9.
- Van Der Hoek, W., Konradsen, F., Amerasinghe, P.H., Perera, D., Piyaratne, M.K. and Amerasinghe, F.P. 2003. Towards a risk map of malaria for Sri Lanka: the importance of house location relative to vector breeding sites. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 32: 280-285.
- Victor, T.J. and Reuben, R. 2000, Effects of organic and inorganic fertilizers on mosquito populations in rice fields of southern India. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, **14**: 361–368.
- Walker, K. and Lynch, M. 2007. Contributions of Anopheles larval control to malaria suppression in tropical Africa: review of achievements and potential. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, 21(1): 2-21.
- WHO, 2004. Community participation and tropical disease control in resource- poor settings. TD/STR/SEB/ST/04.1, 2004
 World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, **PP**. 1-52.

Wondmeneh, J.*, Solomon T. and Raja, N. Department of Biology, College of Natural and Computational Sciences, University of Gondar, Ethiopia

*Commutation author

Email: wondmeneh12@gmail.com