## **Evaluation of antagonistic microbes against peduncle blight caused** by *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* (PAT.) Griffon & Maubl in tuberose

Muthukumar, A\*., Suthin Raj, T\*., Udhayakumar, R.\* and Naveenkumar, R\*\*.

## ABSTRACT

The effects of eight native bacterial isolates were tested *in vitro* for their ability to inhibit the growth of *Lasiodiplodia theobromae*, the causal agent of peduncle blight of tuberose. The studies revealed that *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (PFC-I<sub>8</sub>) and *Bacillus subtilis* (BSC-I<sub>1</sub>) showed the highest inhibition of mycelial growth of (70.60%; 66.41%, respectively) *L. theobromae*. Both the antagonists were compatible with each other and they were tested alone and together *in vivo* for the control of *L. theobromae*. The combined application of *P. fluorescens* + *B. subtilis* (T<sub>6</sub>) through bulb treatment followed by soil and foliar application recorded minimum incidence of peduncle blight and maximum plant growth and flower yield.

**Keywords:** Tuberose, Peduncle blight, *Lasiodiplodia theobromae*, Bacterial biocontrol agents, Disease management.

MS History: 17.02.2019 (Received)-26.05.2019 (Revised)- 27.05.2019 (Accepted).

**Citation:** Muthukumar, A., Suthin Raj, T., Udhayakumar, R. and Naveenkumar, R. 2019. Evaluation of antagonistic microbes against peduncle blight caused by *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* (PAT.) Griffon & Maubl in tuberose. *Journal of Biopesticides*, **12**(1): 83-94.

## INTRODUCTION

Tuberose (Polianthes tuberosa L.) is one of the most important tropical ornamental bulbous flowering plant cultivated for production of long lasting flower spikes. It is Rajanigandha popularly known as or Nishigandha which means night fragrant. It belongs to the family Amaryllidaceae and is native of Mexico. Tuberose is an important commercial cut as well as loose flower crop due to pleasant fragrance, longer vase-life of spikes, higher returns and wide adaptability to varied climate and soil. They are valued much by the aesthetic world for their beauty and fragrance (Biswas et al., 2002). Tuberose is grown commercially in a number of countries including China, Egypt, France, Hawaii, India, Italy, Kenya, Mexico, Morocco, North Carolina, South Africa, Taiwan, USA and many other tropical and sub-tropical areas in the world (Khan and Pal 2001). In India, commercial cultivation of tuberose is popular in Krishnanagar of West Bengal, Karnataka,

Maharashtra, Pune, Punjab, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh, Coimbatore and Madurai districts of Tamil Nadu. The total area under tuberose cultivation in the country is about 7.95 lakh ha. The production of loose and cut flower is estimated to be 27.71 000 MT and 1560.70 lakh No's respectively (Anonymous, 2015).

The major constraints in the production of tuberose are the diseases viz., tuber rot incited by Fusarium oxysporum (Muthukumar et al., 2005); leaf spot caused by Alternaria polyanthi (Muthukumar et al., 2007); collar rot caused by Sclerotium rolfsii (Behera et al., 2015) and peduncle blight, blossom blight Lasiodiplodia theobromae caused by (Durgadevi and Sankaralingam, 2012) are the other diseases recorded in tuberose. The only viral disease reported so far is mosaic caused by Tuberose mild mosaic poty virus (Raj et al., 2009). Among various diseases infecting tuberose, peduncle blight caused by L. theobromae is a serious problem in tuberose

growing areas of Tamil Nadu and causing considerable economic loss to the farmers. The symptoms included blighting of flowers followed by die-back of peduncle from tip to downwards. Several pycnidia were observed over the infected flowers and spike.

Lasiodiplodia theobromae is а plant pathogen with wide host range. It is a facultative pathogen which grows and reproduces on dead and senescing plant tissues and plant debris but can also rapidly invade healthy or wounded plant tissue when environmental conditions are favourable (Punja and Utkhede, 2003). The pathogen thrives under high humid conditions and cools to moderate temperature; conidia require free water to germinate and infect plant tissues. Ornamental plants are under constant disease pressure from fungal pathogens which infect roots, stem, leaves, flowers and fruits (Punja and Utkhede, 2003). Plant health management is a major concern for ornamental growers since all parts of the plant-flowers, leaves, stem and roots-must be of high quality for sale on the market and the economic threshold for pest damage is very low (Daughtrey and Benson, 2005).

Control of these diseases is currently achieved through the use of chemical fungicides but there is increasing interest in utilizing alternative approaches such as biological control agents (Belanger, 2006). the increasing concern about Due to potentially harmful effects of chemical pesticides on agricultural land, water and soil pollution as well as other health problems have demanded that agricultural scientist pursue alternative controls that are more environmentally friendly, ecologically viable, medically safe and specific for controlling pathogens (EI-Kassas and Khairy, 2009). More attention has been given to using biological control agents to manage diseases of flower crops (Belanger, 2006; Preethi et al., 2016). Alternatively, antifungal agents produced by microorganisms may be used as biocontrol agent (Chitarra et al., 2003), as the materials based on micro organisms have properties such as: high specificity against target plant

pathogens, easy degradability and low cost of mass production. Biological control offers an important alternative to synthetic chemicals. The successful application of antagonistic micro organisms (*Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus*) for the control of *L. theobromae* has been previously reported by several workers in various crops (Adeniyi *et al.*, 2013; Kedar *et al.*, 2014; EI-Banna *et al.*, 2015).

The investigation of mechanisms of biological control by bacterial antagonists also revealed that biocontrol agents, which protect the plants from various pathogens in several crops, activate the defense-related enzymes including phenylalanine ammonialyase (PAL), peroxidase (PO), etc., which are involved in synthesis of phytoalexins (Van Peer and Schippers, 1992). Besides, early and increased expression of defense-related genes in induced systemic resistance (ISR) is very important in protecting the crops against several pathogens (Xue et al., 1998; Muthukumar et al., 2012; Muthukumar and Venkatesh, 2014). However, in the case of biological control of foliar diseases. knowledge of ISR for the management of peduncle blight of tuberose disease is lacking. The objectives of the present study are: (1) to evaluate the antagonistic activity of bacterial isolates against L. theobromae in in vitro, (2) to test the compatibility between effective bacterial antagonist, and (3) to study the role of effective antagonist in consortium for the control of peduncle blight of tuberose.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tuberose, variety Pajwal single bud was obtained from farmer's field at Karmangudi village belongs to Cuddalore district, Tamil Nadu, India, and used for the greenhouse experiments in the entire period of investigation.

## Isolation, maintenance and identification of pathogen

The peduncle blight symptoms were collected from the farmer's field of Karmangudi village of Cuddalore District. They were washed, cut into 5 mm segments including the advancing margins of infection. The segments were surface-sterilized in 0.5 % sodium

85

hypochlorite solution for 5 min and rinsed in three changes of sterile distilled water. The segments were separately dried in between sheets of sterile filter paper and placed (three pieces per plate) on fresh potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium (Ainsworth, 1961) impregnated with streptomycin, and incubated for 7 days at  $28 \pm 2$  °C.

The emerging colonies were sub cultured onto PDA plates. Single spore isolation of the fungus or single hyphal tip method was followed for making the pure cultures and maintained on PDA slants (Aneja, 2003). The culture thus obtained was stored in refrigerator at 5  $^{\circ}$ C for further studies and was cultured periodically. They sub were identified based on morphological and colony (Goos characteristics et al., 1961: Punithalingam, 1976) and further (ID.NO. 3/426/2016/766) confirmed by National Fungal Culture Collection of India (NFCCI), Agharkar Research Institute, Pune.

## Isolation and identification of bacteria

Soil samples were collected from major tuberose (rhizosphere) growing areas of Tamil Nadu (Coimbatore, Dharmapuri, Krishnagiri, Dindigul, Erode, Madurai. Namakkal, and Cuddalore). After removing the loosely adhering soil from freshly excised roots, root segments (1 g) were taken and isolation was done as per the method described by Elad and Chet (1983) using King's B medium (King et al., 1954). Totally eight bacterial isolates were obtained. These isolates were identified according to Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology.

# Screening of bacterial isolates against *Lasiodiplodia theobromae*

The antagonistic activity of bacterial isolates against *L. theobromae* was tested by dual culture technique (Dennis and Webster, 1971) using PDA medium. Each treatment was replicated four times with five plates per replication and experiment was repeated four times. The plates were incubated at room temperature  $(28 \pm 2 \ \C)$  for 48 h. The radial growth (in mm) of the pathogen was measured after incubation. The per cent inhibition of mycelial growth was also calculated. Based on the dual culture technique the most effective isolate of *P. fluorescens* (PFC) and *B. subtilis* (BSC) was used for subsequent studies.

## **Bioformulations of PFC and BSC**

The talc-based formulation of PFC and BSC was prepared by following the method described by Papavizas et al. (1984),Vidhyasekaran and Muthamilan (1995). The bacterial strain was grown separately in conical flasks (250 mL) containing 100 mL of King's broth (KB) and Nutrient broth and kept for 48, 72 h on a rotary shaker (150 rpm) at  $(28 \pm 2 \, \text{°C})$ . Bacteria were subsequently pelleted by centrifugation at 8,000 ×g for 10 min at  $4 \,$ °C. The pellets were washed with sterile distilled water three times, and the concentration of cells adjusted to  $3 \times 10^8$  cfu mL<sup>-1</sup> by dilution to give the suspensions an optical density of 0.45 (A610 nm) using UVvisible spectrophotometer (Mortensen, 1992). Ten grams of carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC) was added to 1 kg of talc and mixed well and the mixture was autoclaved for 30 min on each of two consecutive days. For PFM and BSC 100 mL of 48 h grown bacterial suspension containing 3×10<sup>8</sup> cfu mL<sup>-1</sup> was mixed with carrier mixture under aseptic conditions. The formulations thus prepared aseptically were allowed to dry (approximately 35 % moisture content) and were then ground to powder. They were then packed in sterile polythene bags and stored at 4℃.

## Compatibility bioassay

The compatibility of the two antagonistic organisms was tested *in vitro* through two methods. The mutual compatibility of two antagonistic organisms was tested by dual culture method, and the plates were assessed for inhibition zone after 48 h. In another method *P. fluorescens* culture was streaked on King's B medium. After 2 days, the *B. subtilis* suspension was sprayed over the *P. fluorescens* colonies. Similarly, the *P. fluorescens* suspension was sprayed over *B. subtilis* colonies and the plates were assessed for the inhibition zone after 48 h (Bharathi *et al.*, 2004).

#### Evaluation of biocontrol agents for their efficacy against peduncle blight of tuberose on plant growth and flower yield under glasshouse condition

A pot culture experiment was conducted using two selected bio-control agents viz., P. fluorescens (PFC) and B. subtilis (BSC) and their combinations along with fungicide namely carbendazim was laid out with 9 three treatments replicated times in completely randomized design. A single bulb of tuberose was planted in each pot containing sterile potting medium (red soil: sand: FYM @ 1:1:1 w/w/w). The method of application included bulb treatment (BT), soil application (SA), soil drenching (SD) and foliar application (FA). Talc based bioformulation of *P. fluorescens* (PFC) and *B.* subtilis (BSC) were applied as bulb treatment @ 11 g per kg of bulb before planting. Soil application with talc based bioformulation of P. fluorescens (PFC) and B. subtilis (BSC) were applied @ 2.5 kg/ha on 60, 90 and 110 DAS and foliar application was given @0.2 per cent on 60, 90 and 110 DAS. The fungicide carbendazim was applied as bulb treatment @ 0.1 per cent, soil drenching and foliar application (SD; FA) @ 0.1 per cent on 60, 90 and 110 DAS (days after sowing). L. theobromae was inoculated on the peduncle region in all the treated plants on 120 DAS. Plants inoculated with the pathogen alone served as control. Healthy controls were also maintained. Disease incidence was recorded on 120 DAI (days after inoculation). The plant height was recorded on 120 days after sowing and yield parameters such as spike length, number of flowers/spike, weight of 10 flowers and flower yield was also recorded at that time of harvest. The per cent disease incidence was calculated as follows

Disease incidence (%) = \_\_\_\_\_\_ x10 Total Number of flower buds / peduncle The treatment details are furnished below:

$T_1$ P. fluorescens (PFC)-BT+SA+FA $T_2$ B. subtilis (BSC)-BT+SA+FA $T_3$ P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-BT $T_4$ P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-SA $T_5$ P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-FA $T_6$ P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-BT+SA+FA $T_7$ Carbendazim-BT+SD+FA $T_8$ Pathogen inoculated Control- $T_9$ Healthy control-			
$T_3$ P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-BT $T_4$ P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-SA $T_5$ P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-FA $T_6$ P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-BT+SA+FA $T_7$ Carbendazim-BT+SD+FA $T_8$ Pathogen inoculated Control-	<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	P. fluorescens (PFC)	-BT+SA+FA
B. subtilis (BSC)T4P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-SAT5P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-FAT6P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-BT+SA+FAT7Carbendazim-BT+SD+FAT8Pathogen inoculated Control-	T <sub>2</sub>	B. subtilis (BSC)	-BT+SA+FA
$T_4$ P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-SA $T_5$ P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-FA $T_6$ P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-BT+SA+FA $T_7$ Carbendazim-BT+SD+FA $T_8$ Pathogen inoculated Control-	T <sub>3</sub>	P. fluorescens (PFC) +	-BT
B. subtilis (BSC)T5P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-FAT6P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-BT+SA+FAT7Carbendazim-BT+SD+FAT8Pathogen inoculated Control-		B. subtilis (BSC)	
T5P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-FAT6P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-BT+SA+FAT7Carbendazim-BT+SD+FAT8Pathogen inoculated Control-	<b>T</b> <sub>4</sub>	P. fluorescens (PFC) +	-SA
B. subtilis (BSC)T6P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-BT+SA+FAT7Carbendazim-BT+SD+FAT8Pathogen inoculated Control-		B. subtilis (BSC)	
T6P. fluorescens (PFC) + B. subtilis (BSC)-BT+SA+FAT7Carbendazim-BT+SD+FAT8Pathogen inoculated Control-	T <sub>5</sub>	P. fluorescens (PFC) +	-FA
B. subtilis (BSC)T7CarbendazimT8Pathogen inoculated Control		B. subtilis (BSC)	
T7Carbendazim-BT+SD+FAT8Pathogen inoculated Control-	T <sub>6</sub>	P. fluorescens (PFC) +	-BT+SA+FA
T <sub>8</sub> Pathogen inoculated - Control -		B. subtilis (BSC)	
Control	<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	Carbendazim	-BT+SD+FA
	T <sub>8</sub>	Pathogen inoculated	-
T9Healthy control-		Control	
	T9	Healthy control	-
		-	

## Experimental design and statistical analysis

All the experiments were carried out in a CRD. For the data on the effect of biocontrol agents on mycelial growth, percent reduction over control was calculated. The data on disease incidence were arcsine transformed before undergoing statistical analysis. The data were analyzed using the IRRISTAT version 92-1 program developed by the biometrics unit, International Rice Research Institute, Metro Manila, The Philippines. Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). The treatment mean values were compared by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) at 5 % significance level (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

#### RESULTS

The results presented in the table 1 revealed varying degree of antagonism by the bacterial isolates against *L. theobromae*. Among the isolates tested isolate-I<sub>8</sub> (PFC) recorded the maximum inhibition zone of 12.33 mm and a minimum of 26.30 mm mycelial growth of *L. theobromae* accounting for 70.66 per cent reduction in the mycelial growth over control. This was followed by isolate-I<sub>1</sub> (BSC) and I<sub>3</sub> (PFDC). The least growth inhibition was observed with the isolate-I<sub>2</sub> (PFD) and I<sub>6</sub> (PFK).

86

## Antagonistic microbes against *L. theobromae*

87

I. No.	Location	Isolates	Mycelial growth (mm)	Per cent inhibition over control	Inhibition zo (mm)	one
$I_1$	Coimbatore	BSC	30.00 b	66.41	10.6 b	
$I_2$	Dharmapuri	PFD	40.00 f	55.22	7.3 d	
$I_3$	Dindigul	PFDC	32.00 c	64.17	9.3 c	
$I_4$	Erode	PFE	35.66 e	60.10	9.0 c	
$I_5$	Madurai	BSM	34.33 d	62.00	9.0 c	
$I_6$	Krishnagiri	PFK	40.00 f	55.22	7.0 d	
$I_7$	Namakkal	BSN	36.00 e	60.00	8.9 c	
$I_8$	Cuddalore	PFC	26.33 a	70.60	12.3 a	
	Control	-	89.33 g	-	-	
BSC-Ba. subtilis		PFD-Ps. fluc	orescens (Dharmapuri)	PFK-Ps.	Luorescens	
(Coimbatore)				(Krishnagiri)		
BSM-Bacillus subtilis		PFE-Ps. fluorescens (Erode)		PFD-Ps. Fluorescens		
(Madurai)				(Dindigul)		
BSN-Ba. subtilis		PFC-Ps. fluorescens (Cuddalore)				

<b>Table 1.</b> <i>In vitro</i> inhibition of mycelial	growth of <i>L. theobromae</i> by native bacterial isolates
	growth of <i>D. meobronice</i> by harve bucterial isolates

BSN-*Ba*. (Namakkal)

Mean of three replications; Values in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to the DMRT method (P = 0.05)

## Compatibility studies

The bacterial antagonists were tested for its compatibility. The results showed that there was no inhibition zone between *P*. *fluorescens* and *B. substilis* indicating the compatible nature of both the antagonists (data not shown).

Plant growth and peduncle blight Studies on the effect of antagonists under glasshouse condition revealed that combined application of *P. fluorescens* + *B. subtilis*  $(T_6)$ through bulb treatment followed by soil and application recorded foliar minimum incidence of peduncle blight. This was on par with carbendazim which recorded 6.66% disease incidence after inoculation with pathogen. This was followed by foliar application with P. fluorescens + B. subtilis  $(T_5)$  which recorded 7.33% disease incidence (Table 2). The maximum disease incidence was observed in control.

Generally all the treatments with bioformulations showed increased plant height and flower yield when compared to control (Table 3). Of these, the treatment consisting of  $T_6$ -P. fluorescens + B. subtilis (BT+SA+FA) recorded maximum plant height at 120 days after sowing. This was on par with the treatment  $T_7$  - Carbendazim which recorded a plant height of 58.00 cm. It was followed by the treatment  $T_5$ - P.

fluorescens + B. subtilis (FA). In general all the treatments except control recorded lowest plant height.

## Spike emergence and spike length

The number of days taken for spike emergence was recorded during the emergence of spike and the data on length of spike were recorded at the time of harvest under glasshouse condition (Table 3). In general all the treatments were significantly superior over untreated control which took 128 days for spike emergence and recorded spike length of 65.70 cm. However, early emergence of spike was seen in treatment T<sub>6</sub> -*P. fluorescens* + *B. subtilis* (BT+SA+FA) and which recorded spike length of 84.00 cm. This was followed by the treatment  $T_5$ - P. fluorescens + B. subtilis (FA).

## Number of flowers and flower yield

The effect of consortium of bioagents on number of flowers per spike and yield of tuberose were recorded at the time of harvest glasshouse under condition and data presented in Table 3. In general maximum number of flowers which received through the treatment  $T_6$  -P. fluorescens + B. subtilis (BT+SA+FA) followed by T<sub>5</sub>. Weight of ten flowers was significantly higher in all the treatments compared to control. The maximum weight of ten flowers and highest flower yield (68.0 g/plant) were recorded in

Treatments	Incidence of peduncle blight (%)	Percent decrease over control
T <sub>1</sub> - P. fluorescens (BT+SA+FA)	11.66 d	82.00
T <sub>2</sub> - B. subtilis (BT+SA+FA)	12.33 e	81.00
T <sub>3</sub> - P. fluorescens + B. subtilis (BT)	10.33 c	84.00
$T_4$ - P. fluorescens + B. subtilis (SA)	9.00 b	86.00
$T_5$ - P. fluorescens + B. subtilis (FA)	7.33 a	89.00
$T_6$ - <i>P. fluorescens</i> + <i>B. subtilis</i> (BT+SA+FA)	6.00 a	91.00
T <sub>7</sub> -Carbendazim (BT+SD+FA)	7.00 a	89.11
T <sub>8</sub> -Healthy control	19.33 f	70.00
T <sub>9</sub> -Inoculated control	64.33 g	-

**Table 2.** Effect of consortium of selected bio-control agents on peduncle blight of tuberose under glasshouse condition, Mean of three replications

Values in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to the DMRT method (P = 0.05)

plants treated with consortium of bioagents (T<sub>6</sub>) through bulb treatment plus soil application plus foliar application.

## DISCUSSION

All the eight bacterial isolates showed degrees of antagonism varying to L. theobromae. Among these, PFC  $(I_8)$ recorded maximum inhibition zone with minimum mycelial growth of L. theobromae. Similarly, Govindaiah et al. (2003) and Sharma et al. (2009) reported that P. fluorescens (Pf-1) was found most effective bacterial bioagent which inhibited 84.8 percent growth of B. theobromae (stem end rot of citrus) followed by *B. subtilis* (64.05%). Kedar et al. (2014) reported that five known bio-agents tested by dual culture technique showed that P. fluorescens and B. subtilis were strong antagonism to L. theobromae (banana fruit rot) by inhibiting the mycelial growth up to 75.83 and 70.50%, respectively.

Production of siderophores and chitinases are two factors that may be involved in biological control activity. Indeed, it is known that chitinolytic activity and siderophore production are correlated with antifungal activity (Castoria et al., 2001; Kamensky et al., 2003; Quecine et al., 2008). In addition, P. fluorescens capable of solubilizing is phosphate and producing IAA, characteristics that may enhance its potential use as an effective biological control agent to contribute to the control of L. theobromae. Mahesh (2007) suggested that fungal growth is mainly inhibited by HCN production and

siderophore production. All these earlier results lend support to the present findings.

In addition to this, *Pseudomonas* spp. are well known for production of broad spectrum antibiotics phenazine such as by Pseudomonas sp. B-109 in tomato (Chin-A-Woeng al., 1998); 2. 4et diacetylphloroglucinol (2,4-DAPG)by Pseudomonas 28r/-96 sp. in wheat (Raaijmakers and Weller, 2001); Pyoluteorin by P. fluorescens CHAO in tobacco (Keel et al., 1992); Pyrrolnitrin by P. fluorescens BL 915 in cotton (Ligon *et al.*, 2000); Viscosinamide by P. fluorescens D1254 in sugar beet (Nielsen et al., 1998) and Zwittermycin A by B. cereus UW in alfalfa (Silo-Such et al., 1994) which proved to be a major mechanism involved in their biocontrol activity. Moreover, Baker et al. (2003) reported that ability of some Pseudomonas spp. in producing siderophores, antibiotics and lipopolysaccharides as important factors in improving the effectiveness of the antagonist. All the above reports were in line with the present observations.

The compatibility study revealed that there inhibition zone was no between Р. fluorescens and B. subtilis indicating the compatible nature of both the antagonists. Earlier studies also reported that the both bacteria are compatible and the combination was highly successful in controlling crop (Thilakavathi al.. 2007: diseases et Salaheddin et al., 2010; Sivakumar et al., 2012; Sundaramoorthy and Balabaskar, 2013;

Treatments	Plant height at 120	Days taken for	Spike length	Number of	Weight of 10	Flower yield
	DAS (cm)	spike emergence	( <b>cm</b> )	flowers/spike	Flowers (g)	(g/plant)
T <sub>1</sub> -P. fluorescens (BT+SA+FA)	52.40 d	110.00 e	75.00 e	38.70 d	13.70 cd	61.70 e
T <sub>2</sub> - B. subtilis (BT+SA+FA)	51.00 e	112.33 f	73.70 f	38.00 d	14.00 bc	60.00 f
$T_3$ - <i>P. fluorescens</i> + <i>B. subtilis</i> (BT)	54.40 c	104.00 c	78.70 c	41.00 c	14.70 ab	64.40 c
T <sub>4</sub> - <i>P. fluorescens</i> + <i>B. subtilis</i> (SA)	53.00 d	106.70 d	77.33 d	40.70 c	15.00 a	63.00 d
T <sub>5</sub> - <i>P. fluorescens</i> + <i>B. subtilis</i> (FA)	56.00 b	102.33 b	81.33 b	43.33 b	14.33 bc	65.33 b
$T_6$ - $P$ . fluorescens + $B$ . subtilis	58.70 a	100.00 a	84.00 a	46.00 a	15.70 a	68.70 a
(BT+SA+FA)						
T <sub>7</sub> -Carbendazim (BT+SD+FA)	58.00 a	100.70 a	83.33 a	45.33 a	15.00 a	68.00 a
T <sub>8</sub> -Healthy control	48.33 f	117.33 g	70.33 g	34.00 e	12.33 e	43.33 g
T <sub>9</sub> -Inoculated control	42.33 g	128.00 h	65.70 h	32.00 f	11.70 f	38.33 h

Mean of three replications; DAS-Days after sowing

Values in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to the DMRT method (P = 0.05)

Khabbaz et al., 2015). These earlier reports corroborates with the present observations. The combined application of *P. fluorescens* + В. subtilis  $(T_6)$  through bulb treatment followed by soil and foliar application recorded minimum incidence of peduncle blight. Sivakumar et al. (2012) reported that peat formulations of both strains (*P*. fluorescens strain Pf51; B. subtilis B45) were applied as rhizome bacterization and soil application which resulted in 54% reduction of rhizome rot over control as compared to individual treatments as rhizome bacterization and soil application.

Enhanced suppression disease with combination of bacterial bio-agents through combined delivery system was effective in controlling tomato damping off (Nakkeeran et al., 2006), dry root rot of green gram (Thilakavathi et al., 2007) ground nut root rot (Ramesh and Korikanthimath, 2010); bacterial blight of cotton (Salaheddin et al., 2010); tomato Fusarium wilt (Sundaramoorthy and Balabaskar, 2013). The combined application of bio-control agents provides an alternative to chemical fungicides bv offering environmentally safer management practice in tuberose. The foregoing results have also indicated the possibility of using bio as supplement for chemical inoculants fungicides in the control of peduncle blight caused by *L. theobromae*.

The combined application of *P. fluorescens* +B. subtilis (BT+SA+FA)-T<sub>6</sub> showed increased plant height, spike length, number of flowers/spike and flower yield. Rhizome bacterization and soil application with P. fluorescens strain Pf51 and B. subtilis strain B45 recorded maximum height (167.21 cm) and number of tillers in (30.14) cardamom (Sivakumar et al., 2012). The combined application of EPI (Pf-5) +KGI (Bs-4) +KPI antagonistic bacterial formulation (Pf-7) significantly increased the plant height (by 73.62 cm), dry weight (by 127 mg) and fruit yield (by 288.389 g) of tomato when compared to individual strains and untreated control (Sundaramoorthy and Balabaskar, 2013). Similar results on increased plant growth due to combined application of

#### 90

Pf1+Py15+Bs16+Zimmu in tomato (Latha *et al*, 2009). Similar observations were made by several workers in various crops (Dey *et al*., 2004; Valverde *et al*, 2006; Gopalakrishnan *et al*, 2015). The increase in plant growth might be due to the growth-promoting compounds such as auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins (Pal *et al*. 2000; Gholami *et al*., 2009; Son *et al*., 2014).

These results are consistent with Hatayama (2005), that PGPR such as Bacillus sp. and Pseudomonas sp. capable of providing a direct influence that can trigger the growth of plants (biostimulant), while the indirect effect that the bacteria is able to inhibit the growth of harmful microbes such as disease-causing (pathogenic plan). Therefore, the plants were given the treatment of bacterial antagonists gave high yield than the control. Mukaromah (2005), states that the siderophore role in the mechanism of Induced Systemic Resistance (ISR). In this condition, siderophore induce the plant to produce salicylic acid, which acts as signal transduction genes that reduces the disease incidence.

## REFERENCES

- Adeniyi, D. O, Adedeji, A. R, Oduwaye, O F, Kolawole, O. O. 2013 Evaluation of biocontrol agents against *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* causing inflorescence blight of Cashew in Nigeria. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, 5:46-48.
- Ainsworth, G. C (1961). Dictionary of fungi. Common wealth Mycological Ins., Kew Burrey, England, **Pp** 547.
- Aneja, K. R. 2003. Experiments in Microbiology, Plant Pathology and Biotechnology. 4th edition. New Age International (p) Limited Publishers, New Delhi, **Pp**196.
- Anonymous. 2015. Indian Horticulture Data base. National Horticultural Board, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, **Pp** 289.
- Baker, P. A. H. M, De Boer, M., De Bruijn I., Zhang, K., Van Der Sluis I. and Van Loon, L. C. 2003. Dose response relationships for control of *Fusarium* wilt by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* RS111. Proc. 6<sup>th</sup> Int Workshop

## Antagonistic microbes against *L. theobromae*

91

on Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria 5-10 Oct. 2003, Calicut, India. **Pp** 462-465

- Behera, P., Sundarkar, D. and Anitamohanty. 2015. Study on intensity of spread of collar rot disease in tuberose. *International Journal of Research in Applied, Natural and Social Sciences*, **3**: 59-62.
- Belanger. R. R. 2006. Controlling disease without fungicide: a new chemical warfare. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology*, 28:233-238.
- Bharathi, R., Vivekananthan, R., Harish, S., Ramanathan, A. and Samiyappan, R. 2004. Rhizobacteria-based bio-formulations for the management of fruit rot infection in chillies. *Crop Protection*, 23:835-843.
- Biswas, B., Naveen Kumar, P. and Bhattachajee, S. K. 2002 In: Tuberose, AICRP on Floriculture, *Technical Bulletin*, No 21.
- Castoria, R., De Curtis, F., Lima, G., Caputo, L., Pacifico, S. and De Cicco, V. 2001. *Aureobasidium pullulans* (LS-30) an antagonist of post-harvest pathogens of fruits: Study on its modes of action. Post Harvest Biology and Technology, 22:7-17.
- Chin-A-Woeng, T. F. C., Bloemberg, G. V, Van der Bij, A. J, Van der Drift, K. M. G. M., Schripsema, J., Kroon, B., Scheffer, R. J, Keel, C., Bakker, P. A. H. M., De Bruijin, F. J., Thomas-Oates, J. E. and Lungtenberg, B. J. J. 1998. Bio-control by phenazine-1-carboxamide producing Pseudomonas chlororaphis PCL1319 of tomato root rot caused by Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. radicis-lycopersici. Molecular *Plant-Microbe* Interaction, 10:79-86.
- Chitarra, G. S, Breeuwer, P, Nout, M. J. R, Van Aelsets, A. C, Rombouts, F. M. and Abee, T. 2003. An antifungal compound produced by *Bacillus subtilis* YM10-20 inhibits germination of *Penicillum roqueforti* condiospores. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **94**:159.
- Daughtrey, M. L. and Benson, D. M. 2005 Principles of plant health management for ornamental plants. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, **43**:141-169.

- Dennis, C. and Webster, J. 1971. Antagonistic properties of species group of *Trichoderma*I. Production of non-volatile antibiotics. *Transactions of British Mycological Society*, 57:25-30.
- Dey, R., Pal, K. K., Bhat, D. M. and Chauhan,
  S. M. 2004 Growth promotion and yield enhancement of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) by application of plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria. *Microbiological Research*, 159: 371-394.
- Durgadevi, D. and Sankaralingam, A. 2012. First report of peduncle blight of tuberose caused by *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* in India. *New Disease Reports*, **26**:5.
- EI-Banna, O. H, Haggag, W. M and Ai-Ansay, N. A. 2015 Biological products to control grapevine die-back pathogen. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmacological Research*, **7:**545-555.
- Elad, Y. and Chet, I. 1983. Improved selective media for isolation of *Trichoderma* or *Fusarium* spp. *Phytoparasitica*, **11**:55–58.
- El-Kassas, H. Y. and Khairy, H. M. 2009. A trial for biological control of a pathogenic fungus (*Fusarium solani*) by some marine microorganism. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences*, 5:434-440
- Gholami, A., Shahsavani, S. and Nezarat, S. 2009. The effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on germination, seedling growth and yield of maize. *International Journal of Biology* and Life Sciences, 1: 35-40.
- Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. 1984 Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Goos, R. D, Elsie, A., Cox, G. and Stotsky, .I. 1961. *Botryodiplodia theobromae* and its association with Musa species. *Mycologia*, **53**:262-277.
- Gopalakrishnan, S., Srinivas, V., Prakash, B., Sathya, A. and Vijayabharathi, R. 2015.
  Plant growth-promoting traits of *Pseudomonas geniculata* isolated from chickpea nodules. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 5: 656-661.

- Govindaiah, D., Sharma, D., Saraswathy, R. and Reddy, M. B. 2003. Effectiveness of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for the control of root rot disease in mulberry. Annual Report (2003-2004), Central Sericultural Research and Training Institute, Mysore, India, **Pp** 1-18.
- Gross, G. G (1980) The biochemistry of lignification. *Advances in Botanical Research*, **8**: 25-63.
- Hatayama, K., Kawai, S., Shoun, H., Ueda, Y. and Nakamura, A. 2005. *Pseudomonas* azotifigens sp. nov. A novel nitrogenfixing bacterium isolated from a compost pile. *International Journal of Systematic* and Evolutionary Microbiology, 55: 1539-1544.
- Kamensky, M., Ovadis, M., Chet and Chernin, L. 2003. Soil borne strain IC 14 of Serratia plymuthica with multiple mechanisms of antifungal activity bio-control of Botrytis cinerea and Sclerotinia sclerotium diseases. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 35: 323-331.
- Kedar, N., Solanky, K. U, Kumawat, G. L. 2014. Effective approaches of potential bio-agent, phytoextract, fungicide and cultural practice for management of banana fruit rot disease. *Plant Pathology and Microbiology*, **5**: 6-10.
- Keel, C., Schnider, U., Maurhofer, M., Voisard, C., Laville, J., Burger, P., Wirthner, P., Hass, D. and Defago, G. 1992. Suppression of root diseases of by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHAO: Importance of the secondary metabolite 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol. Mol *Plant-Microbe Interaction*, **5**: 4-13.
- Khabbaz, S. E., Zhang. L., Cáceres, L. A, Sumarah, M. W., Wang, A. M. and Abbasi, P. A. 2015. Characterization of antagonistic *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* strains for bio-control potential and suppression of damping-off and root rot diseases. *Annals of Applied Biology*, **166**: 456-471.
- Khan, M. R. and Pal, A. K. 2001 Plant parasitic nematode associated with tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) in west

Bengal. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences, **9**:304-306.

- King, E. O., Ward, M. K. and Raney, D. E. 1954. Two simple media for the demonstration of pyocyanine and fluorescein. *Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine*, **44**:301-307.
- Latha, P., Prakasam, V., Kamalakannan, A., Gopalakrishanan, C., Raguchander, T., Paramathma, M. and Sawiyappan, R. 2009.
  First report of *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* (Pat.) Griffon and Maubl. causing root rot and collar rot disease of Physic nut (*Jatropha curcas* L.) in India. *Australian Plant Disease Notes*, **4**:19-20.
- Ligon, J. M, Hill, D. S., Hammer, P. E., Towkewitz, N. R., Hofmann, D., Kempf, H. J. and Van Pee, K. H. 2000. Natural products with antifungal activity from *Pseudomonas* bio-control bacteria. *Pest Management Sciences*, 56: 688-695.
- Mahesh, G. M. 2007 Growth promotion and disease suppression ability of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on acid lime. M.Sc (Ag.) Thesis, Akola.
- Mortensen, C. N. 1992. Seed bacteriology laboratory guide. Danish Government Institute of Seed Pathology for Developing Countries, Copenhagen.
- Mukaromah, F (2005). Hubungan Antara Populasi Afid dengan Kejadian Penyakit CMV pada Tembakau H382 yang Diintroduksi Bakteri *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, Cacing Merah (*Lumbricus rubellus*) dan Virus CMV-48. Skripsi. Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Jember.
- Muthukumar, A. and Venkatesh, A. 2014. Biological inductions of systemic resistance to collar rot of peppermint caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii*. *Acta Physiol Plantarum*, **36**: 1921-1931.
- Muthukumar, A., Bhaskaran, R., Eswaran, A., Kumar, M. and Raj. 2007. Studies on the biochemical properties of healthy and leaf spot infected tuberose plants. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, **64**:1-14.
- Muthukumar, A., Eswaran, A. and Sangeetha, G. 2011. Induction of systemic resistance by mixtures of fungal and endophytic

## Antagonistic microbes against *L. theobromae*

93

bacterial isolates against *Pythium* aphanidermatum. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum, **33**:1933-1944.

- Muthukumar, A., Karthigeyan, G. and Prabakar, K. 2005 Biological control of tuber rot (*Fusarium oxysporum*) in tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.). *Madras Agricultural Journal*, **92**:42-744.
- Nakkeeran, S., Kavitha, K., Chandrasekar, G., Renukadevi, P. and Fernando, W. G. D. 2006. Induction of plant defence compounds by Pseudomonas chlororaphis PA 23 and Bacillus subtilis BSCBE4 in controlling damping-off of hot pepper caused by *Pythium aphanidermatum*. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, **16**:403-416.
- Nielsen, M. N., Sorensen, J., Fels, J. and Pedersen, H. C, 1998. Secondary metabolite and endochitinase-dependent antagonism toward plant-pathogenic fungi of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates from sugarbeet rhizosphere. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **64**: 3563-3569.
- Pal, K. K., Dey, R., Bhat, D. M. and Chauhan, S. M. 2000. Enhancement of groundnut growth yield by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *International Arachis Newsletter*, **19**: 51-53.
- Papavizas, G. C., Dunn, M. T., Lewis, J. A. and Beagle-Ristaino, J. 1984. Liquid fermentation technology for experimental production of bio-control fungi. *Phytopathology*, **74:** 1171-1175.
- Preethi, D. M., Bommalinga, S., Pavithra, R. S., Ravichandra, N. G., Reddy, B. M. R. and Anjum, S. S. 2016. Evaluation of various bio-agents for their efficacy against *Meloidogyne incognita* on growth and development of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.). *Global Journal of Bio-Science and Technology*, 5:125-127.
- Punithalingam, E. 1976. *Botryodiplodia theobromae* (CMI) descriptions of pathogenic fungi and bacteria. Common Wealth Agricultural Bureaux. pp 519.
- Punja, Z. K. and Utkhede, R. S. 2003. Using fungi and yeasts to manage vegetable crop

disease. *Trends in Biotechnology*, **21**:400-407.

- Quecine, M. C., Araujo, W. L., Marcon, J., Gai, C. S., Azevedo, J. L. and Pizzirani-Kleiner, A. A. 2008. Chitinolytic activity of endophytic *Streptomyces* and potential for bio-control. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, **47**: 486-491.
- Raaijmakers, J. M. and Weller, D. M. 2001 Exploiting genotypic diversity of 2, 4diacetyl phloroglucinol-producing *Pseudomonas* spp: Characterization of superior root-colonizing *Pseudomonas* fluorescens strain Q8r1-96. Appl Environ Microbiol, **67**:2545-2554.
- Raj, S. K., Sneh, S. K., Kumar, S., Ram, T. and Goel, A. K. 2009. First report of Tuberose mild mosaic poty virus from tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosaL.*) in India. *Australian Plant Disease Notes*, 4:93-95.
- Ramesh, R. and Korikanthimath, V. S. 2010. Seed treatment with bacterial antagonists-A simple technology to manage groundnut root rot under residual moisture conditions. *Journal of Biological Control*, **24:**58-64.
- Salaheddin, K., Valluvaparidasan, V., Ladhalakshmi, D. and Velazhahan, R. 2010. Management of bacterial blight of cotton using a mixture of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus subtilis*. *Plant Protection Sciences*, **46**: 41-50.
- Sharma, R. N., Maharshi, R. P. and Gaur, R. B. 2009. Management of stem end rot of *Citrus deliciosa* through bio-agents. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*, 17:114-118.
- Silo-Such, L. A., Lethbridge, B. J, Raffel, S. I, He, H. Y., Clardy, J. and Handelsman, J. 1994. Biological-activities of 2 fungistatic antibiotics produced by *Bacillus cereus* UW85. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **60**: 2023-2030.
- Sivakumar, G., Josephrajkumar, A. and Dhanya. M. K. 2012. Evaluation of bacterial antagonists for the management of rhizome rot of cardamom (*Elettaria cardamomum* Maton). Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops, 21:9-15.

- Son, J. S., Sumayo, M., Hwang, Y., Kim, B. S. and Ghim, S. 2014. Screening of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria as elicitor of systemic resistance against gray leaf spot disease in pepper. *Applied and Soil Ecology*, **73**:1-8.
- Sundaramoorthy, S. and Balabaskar, P. 2013.
  Evaluation of combined efficacy of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus subtilis* in managing tomato wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* (Fol). *Plant Pathology Journal*, 12: 154-161.
- Thilakavathi, R., Saravanakumar, D., Ragupathi, N. and Samiyappan, R. 2007. A combination of bio-control agents improves the management of dry root rot (*Macrophomina phaseolina*) in green gram. *Phytopathologia Meditterranean*, **46**:157-167
- Valverde, A., Burgos, A., Fiscella, T., Rivas, R., Velazquez, E., Rodriguez-Barrueco, C., Cervantes, E., Chamber, M. and Igual, J. M. 2006. Differential effects of coinoculations with *Pseudomonas jessenii* PS06 (a phosphate-solubilizing bacterium) and *Mesorhizobium ciceri* C-2/2 strains on the growth and seed yield of chickpea under greenhouse and field conditions. *Plant and Soil*, 287: 43-50.

- Van Peer, R. and Schippers, B 1992. Lipopolysaccharides of plant growth promoting *Pseudomonas* spp. Strain CS417r induce resistance in carnation to *Fusarium* wilt. *Netherland Journal of Plant Pathology*, **98**:129-139.
- Vidhyasekaran, P. and Muthamilan, M. 1995. Development of formulations on *Pseudomonas fluroescens* for control of chick pea wilt. *Plant Disease*, **79**: 782-786.
- Xue, L., Charest, P. M. and Jabaji-Hare, S. H. 1998. Systemic induction of peroxidases,  $\beta$ -1, 3- glucanases, chitinases and resistance in bean plants by binucleate *Rhizoctonia* species. *Phytopathology*, **88**:359-365.

Muthukumar, A\*., Suthin Raj, T\*., Udhayakumar, R.\* and Naveenkumar, R\*\*. \*Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608002, Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu, India.

\*\*Department of Mycology and Plant Pathology, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi- 221005, Uttar Pradesh, India.

E-mail:muthu78ap@yahoo.co.in

94