Evaluation of entomopathogens against lepidopteran defoliators infesting soybean.

Anjali Patel, Vaibhav Gaikwad, Krishna Ambhure, A.K. Saxena and Satish Kachare

ABSTRACT

A field trial was conducted in *kharif* seasons of 2011-2012 to study the efficacy of certain entomopathogens *viz., Beauveria bassiana, Metarhizium anisopliae, Verticilium lecanii, Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki* @ 5 g/l along with standard check - Quinalphos 25 EC @ 1.5 g/L and Spinosad 45%SC @ 73 g a.i. /ha against lepidopteran defoliators. *Bacillus thuringiensis* @ 10^{13} spores/ha followed by *B. bassiana* @ 10^{13} spores/ha were the most effective treatments when applied as foliar sprays at 38, 41 and 45 days old crop. These treatments were effective in reducing the foliage feeder larval population. The highest grain yield was obtained also in the treatment, *B. thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki* (474.77 kg/ha). The lowest yield was recorded in the control (215.23 kg/ha) which was significantly inferior to the rest of the treatments.

MS History: .25.09.2014 (Received)-08.11.2014 (Revised)-18.11.2014 (Accepted)

Citation: Anjali Patel, Vaibhav Gaikwad, Krishna Ambhure A.K. Saxena and Satish Kachare. 2014. Evaluation of entomopathogens against lepidopteran defoliators infesting soybean. *Journal of Biopesticides*, 7(2):186-190.

Key words: Soybean, entomopathogens, lepidopteran defoliators, Bacillus thuringiensis.

INTRODUCTION

Soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merrill] belongs to the family Leguminaceae and sub family Papilionaceae, and ranks first in the world for production of edible oil. The crop is mainly cultivated in USA, China, Brazil, Argentina and India. India ranks third in world in respect of area and fifth in terms of production (Padiwal et al., 2008). In India in the year 2010-11, soybean cultivation reached to 93.03 lakh ha, recording production of 101.28 lakh tonnes with an average production of 1089 kg per ha (Anonymus 2011). But subsequent to rapid growth in area the pest complex started steering up and now over 275 insect species are known to feed on various growth stages of soybean (Singh et al., 1989). The pest has developed resistance against a variety of insecticides belonging to almost all the insecticide groups used (Kranthi et al., 2002). Adverse effects due to synthetic pesticides on pests and their subsequent impact on ecological imbalance (Zadoks and Waibel, 1999) demands eco-friendly alternatives (Parmar, et al., 1993). Changing scenario in pest management concept has brought the natural products to the forefront as an

effective and reliable pesticidal molecule in the control of pests among crops. Botanical pesticides are one such alternative and an important component in Integrated Pest Management (IPM) due to its advantages such as availability, less toxicity to beneficial fauna. quick degradation and multiple functions (Isman, 2006). More than 700 species of fungi, mostly Dueteromycetes and Entomophorales from about 90 genera are pathogenic to insects (Rombach et al, 1986). Considering the importance of ecofriendly approaches to manage the pests, the present wasintended evaluation study to of entomopathogens against lepidopteran defoliators infesting soybean.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field trials were conducted in the experimental field Department of of Entomology, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, during *kharif* 2011-12 Jabalpur in а randomized block design with seven treatments *i.e.*, four entomopathogenic fungi – (Beauveria bassiana, Metarhizium anisopliae, Verticilium lecanii, Bacillus thuringiensis var. *kurstaki*@ 5 g/l), two standard check

insecticide (Quinalphos 25 EC @ 1.5 g/l and Spinosad 45%SC @ 73 g a.i. /ha) and an untreated control (Table 1). There were three replicates. The soybean variety, JS-335 was used. The entomopathogens were obtained from Biological Control Unit, Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur.

The treatments were applied twice at 10 days interval with knapsack sprayer using 500 l/ha spray fluid. Larval population of major defoliators were recorded 24 hours before spraying and 3, 7 and 10 days after spraying, on one meter row length at 5 sites in each plot. The yield per plot was recorded and computed $* = Dose = 10^{13}$ spores / ha + 0.2% sunflower oil + 0.01% sticker

Table 1. Details about entomopathogens used in the treatment.

Tr. Nos.	Treatments*
T_1	Beauveria bassiana
T ₂	Metarhizium anisopliae
T ₃	Verticilium lecanii
T_4	Bacillus thuringiensis var. kurstaki
T ₅	Quinalphos 25 EC @ 1.5 l/ha
T ₆	Spinosad 45%SC @ 73 g a.i. /ha
T ₇	Control

on hectare basis. The cost effectiveness in terms of benefit: cost ratio was also calculated.

Table 2. Evaluation of entomopathogens against green semilooper infesting so	ybean.
--	--------

Treatment Nos	Treatment details	Pre-treatment	Green semilooper larvae/mrl. Days after spraying *		Overall Mean	
			3	7	10	
T ₁	Beauveria bassiana*	1.83	1.63*	1.57	1.37	1.52
T ₂	Metarhizium anisopliae*	2.17	1.87	1.73	1.40	1.67
T ₃	Verticilium lecanii*	1.83	1.83	1.93	1.77	1.78
T ₄	Bacillus thuringiensis var.kurstaki*	2.17	1.53	1.00	0.57	1.03
T ₅	Quinalphos 25 EC @ 1.5 l/ha	2.17	1.70	2.03	1.73	1.82
T ₆	Spinosad 45%SC @ 73 g a.i. /ha	2.83	1.93	1.87	1.57	1.79
T ₇	Control	2.83	2.20	3.70	2.83	2.91
SEm ±		0.10	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.06
CD at 5%		NS	NS	0.12	0.17	0.19
*=Dose= 10^{13} sore/ha+ 0.2% Edible oil + 0.01% Sticker						

* Mean of three spraying, NS= Non-significant

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Against Green semilooper, Chrysodeixis acuta Walker

There was no significant difference in larvae population among the treatments one day before the application of the treatments. After three days of application treatment *Metarhizium anisopliae* @ 10^{13} spores / haw as found to be the most effective and recorded minimum larval population (4.97 larvae/mrl). After seven days spraying all the treatments significantly reduced the larval population. The minimum larval population (3.07 larvae/mrl) was observed in Bacillus thuringiensis var. kurstaki @ 10¹³ spores/ha which was significantly superior to all other treatments. At ten days after spraying all the treatments significantly reduced the larval population. The minimum population (0.57 larvae/mrl) was observed in Bacillus thuringiensis @ 10^{13} spore/ha which was significantly superior to all other treatments. The maximum population (2.83 larvae/mrl) was observed in control which was significantly inferior to all other treatments.

Treatment	Treatment details	Pre- treatment	Tobacco c Days after	Overall Mean		
1105			3	7	10	- mull
T ₁	Beauveria bassiana*	4.67	5.33*	3.97	0.67	3.32
T ₂	Metarhizium anisopliae*	5.00	4.97	4.80	2.13	3.97
T ₃	Verticilium lecanii*	6.00	5.67	5.67	2.23	4.52
T ₄	Bacillus thuringiensis var. kurstaki*	5.67	5.50	3.07	0.63	3.07
T ₅	Quinalphos 25 EC @ 1.5 l/ha	5.67	5.67	5.50	2.33	4.50
T ₆	Spinosad 45% SC @ 73 g a.i. /ha	5.67	5.67	5.17	2.33	4.39
T ₇	Control	5.33	6.83	6.83	6.17	6.28
SEm ±		0.09	0.08	0.03	0.05	0.13
CD at 5%		NS	NS	0.10	0.17	0.40

Table 3. Evaluation of entomopathogens against tobacco caterpillar infesting soybean.

*=Dose= 10^{13} sore/ha+ 0.2% Edible oil + 0.01% Sticker

* Mean of three spraying, NS= Non-significant

Anjali patel et al.

On the basis of overall mean of three sprays, against green semilooper the difference in larval population among different treatments were significant. The minimum larval observed was in Bacillus population thuringiensis @ 10^{13} spore/ha which was significantly superior to all other treatments but at par with Beauveriabassiana @ 10^{13} spore/ha. The maximum larval population was observed in control which was significantly inferior to all other treatments.

Table 4	I. E	valuation	of	entomopathogen	on	grain
yield of	soył	bean.				

Treatment Nos	Treatments	Grain yield (kg/ha)		
T1	B. bassiana *	415.97		
T ₂	M. anisopliae *	352.83		
T ₃	V. lecanii *	286.90		
T ₄	B. thuringiensis var. kurstaki *	474.77		
T ₅	Quinalphos 25 EC @ 1.5 l/ha	285.93		
T ₆	Spinosad 45 SC @ 73 g <i>a.i.</i> /ha	305.00		
T ₇	Control (Untreated)	215.23		
SEm ±	19.26			
CD at 5 %	59.39			
* Dose = 10^{13} spores / ha + 0.2% Edible oil + 0.01% Sticker				

Against Tobacco caterpillar, Spodoptera litura Fabricius

The larval population 24 hrs before application of different treatments ranged from 4.67 to 5.67 larvae/mrl was found to be non significant which indicated that there was uniform distribution of larval population among the crop. After three days of application treatment *Metarhizium anisopliae* $(@10^{13} \text{ spores / ha was found to be the most effective and recorded minimum larval pulation. The highest larval population was recorded in control. However, the population$

did not differ significantly. At seven days after spraying all the treatments significantly reduced the larval population. The minimum larval population was observed in Bacillus thuringiensis var. kurstaki @ 10¹³ spores/ha which was significantly superior to all other treatments. At ten days after spraying all the treatments significantly reduced the larval population. The minimum larval population was observed in Bacillus thuringiensis var. *kurstaki* @ 10^{13} which was significantly superior to all other treatments. On the basis of overall mean of three sprayings against Tobacco caterpillar the difference in larval population among different treatments were significant. The minimum population was observed in *Bacillus thuringiensis* var.kurstaki $@ 10^{13}$ which was significantly superior to all other treatments but at par with Beauveria bassiana @ 10¹³ spore/ha, Metarhizium anisopliae @ 10¹³ spore/ha and Spinosad 45% SC @ 73 g.a.i./ha.The maximum population was observed in control which was significantly inferior to all other treatments.

Grain yield of soybean

The grain yield of net plot area of each plot was recorded and converted into kg/ha. The highest grain yield was obtained in the treatment, *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki*. The lowest yield was recorded in the control which was significantly inferior to the rest of the treatments.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous 2011. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance. Ministry of Econ. & Stat., Govt. of India, New Delhi.
- Isman, M. B. 2006. Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world. *Annual Review of Entomology*, **51**: 45-66.
- Kranthi, K.R., Jadhav, D.R., Kranthi, S., Wanjari, R.R., Ali, S.S., and Russell, D.A. 2002. Insecticide resistance in five major insect pests of cotton in India. *Crop Protection*, **21**: 449-460.

Evaluation of entomopathogens

- Padiwal, N.K., B.S. Rana, O.P. Ameta,
 G.S.Chouhan, and K.S.Rupawat. 2008.
 Management of Tobacco caterpillar,
 Spodoptera litura fabricus and grey weevil,
 Myllocerusundecim pustulatus Faust in
 soybean (Glycine max (L) Merril). Indian
 Journal of Entomology, 70(2): 100-108.
- Parmar, B.S. 1993. Scope of botanical pesticides in Integrated Pest Management, *Journal of Insect Science*, 6 (1): 15-20.
- Rombach, M.C., Shepard, B.M. and Robert, D.W. 1986. Biological control: Insect pathogens, In: EA Henrichs ed., *Management of rice insects*. John Wiley and Son, PP 25-30.
- Singh, O.P., K.K. Nema and S.N. Verma 1989. Insect pests of soybean in India.

190

International Book Distributors, Dehradun, India, **PP.** 3.

Zadoks, J.C. and Waibel, H. 1999. From Pesticides to Genetically Modified Plants: History, Economics and Politics. Netherlands. *Journal of Agriculture Science*, **48**: 125-149.

Anjali Patel, Vaibhav Gaikwad, Krishna Ambhure A.K. Saxena and *Satish Kachare

Department of Entomology, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur 482004, India

*Communication author

Email: agriksatish@gmail.com